

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
1				
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	(a) - Infilling and small housing additions	Mrs Susan -	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	(b) The Green Belt will threaten the quality of life for those who live in Potten End and, totally destroy the identity of the village.	Mr - Grieve	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) - c) None	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) - c) None	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) b) and c)	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) Chipperfield (No change required).	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) Chipperfield No b) Potten End No c) Wigginton No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) Chipperfield b) Potten End c) Wigginton Develop on land which surrounds these villages.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) Chipperfield. Particularly in relation to the Garden Scene Nursery. Our proposed Village Boundary has therefore been drawn having regard to: a) the desirability of correcting the anomaly that exists where the boundary currently cuts through the middle of the existing Nursery buildings; b) recognition that the Nursery site is more substantially built-up than adjoining plots to the west; c) the position of the Nursery at the heart of the village; d) the acceptance by the previous Local Plan Inspector that infilling on backland sites as well as in frontages would not be inappropriate; and e) the actual extent of built development on the ground in this location.	Mr Kevin Coleman	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) No change supported b) No change supported c) No change supported	Mr Martin Chapman	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a) yes b) yes c) yes Increase the size of villages	Mrs . Fletcher	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	a), b), c) Increase size of each village	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	ALL MINIMAL EXTENSION TO ACCOMMODATE LOCAL NEED	MR * FIELDING	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	As long as the detailed planning is handled sensitively, development must be in keeping. I see no reason why these villages can't be expanded. We have to except that houses will need to be built somewhere.	Mr Simon Roberts	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b Housing high density new centre of village	Mr Mark Gardener	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b) No	Mrs Anne Duvall	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b) No - Any extension of Potten End will link the village with Hemel Hempstead and destroy the village as a separate entity.	Mr Anthony Lucey	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b) No change	Mr Edward Kirby	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b) Only to the extent that it needs to be ring-fenced to prevent further encroachment by development and thus fundamentally change the village character.	Mr David Bates	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b) Potten End - No changes required	Mrs Woodham	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b) Potten End No change	Miss * Lovell	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b) Potten End No change. Retention of existing open land between Hemel Hempstead and village. Infrastructure and water and schools will not sustain further growth.	Mr D M Farquharson	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	b. The amended boundary (moving the boundary on Vicarage Road out 4 houses on the west of the village) would offer a more logical boundary to the village, containing the core of the village settlement while excluding the more open village fringe and countryside beyond. The boundary amendment proposed will better identify the main core of the village, and provide a more appropriate basis for distinguishing where village infill policies should apply, and where, in the more open countryside beyond, such infill development would be inappropriate and contrary to the puposes of including land in Green Belts.	Mr Roger Welchman	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	c) Wigginton	Mrs - Norwood	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Development should be restricted to within existing boundaries with minor developments at the fringes. Developments should be restricted to that required to meet local needs within the village.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Do not change the boundaries of small villages or their unique character will eventually be lost in a suburban sprawl.	Prof Roger Clampitt	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	For locals to decide.	Lord . Corbett	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	How can you justify the erosion of existing small villages by expanding areas of Hemel Hempstead so they effectively merge with those villages, i.e. Potten End, and the expansion of Chaulden to Pouchen End Lane and Gadebridge. This will eradicate the village feel and community spirit, together with devaluing existing homes. Where is the infrastructure to support this? How can this be balanced with the intention to close Hemel Hospital? The road infrastructure through the Town does not support a vast increase in housing!	Mr david harris	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	I do not believe that it is either sensible or sustainable to meet local development in villages unless there are adequate facilities already available.	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	If there are changes, the distinctive qualities of individual settlements should be taken into account. For example, Aldbury has a strong relationship to the landscape and its conservation area is characterised by a loose-knit feel. Individual allocations at places such as this (both edge of settlement and infill sites) need careful consideration. Conservation area appraisals should be used to assess suitability for development.	Katharine Fletcher	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	In general existing development criteria seem adequate, although there may be some small areas where boundaries could be adjusted to enable low cost housing for local young people.	Mr P Rabson	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mr Gruff Edwards	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mr Sean Young	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mr * McClelland	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Fiona Guest	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No	Mr Paul Harris	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr Paul Young	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mrs * Sheldrake	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mrs Tracey Young	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr David Nobbs	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr Jeremy Child	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr A Stephenson	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr Lewis Terry	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Miss Alison Cockerill	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr Stuart Turner	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr Douglas Doolan	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change	Mr Alan Fantham	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change needed	Mr Michael Tate	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change needed	Mrs P.A Fulton	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change needed	Mr John Forecast	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change should be made to existing boundaries	Mrs P M Seddon	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change suggested	Mr * Raggatt	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change. Against expansion that erodes the Green Belt.	Mr Peter Lawrence	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No change. Green Belt around small villages should be left untouched - the erosion of village life must be kept to a minimum. We have already seen Apsley Lock and soon Ovaltine stretch existing services in Kings Langley. Enough is enough.	Mr Gordon King	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No changes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No changes - keep as they are	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No changes should be made	Miss Sara Constable	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No changes should be made to the existing boundaries. The Green Belt should be preserved.	Mrs Ann Poole	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No changes. They should all be retained as small villages and not expanded into the Green Belt.	Cllr M A Clark	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No the Green Belt must be retained at all costs and local villages allowed to retain their independent character without urban sprawl merging them with the larger settlements within Dacorum.	Mr Mark Stephens	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all	Mr Colin Bayley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all	Mrs Stella Bayley	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all	Mr Colin Campbell	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all	Mr Espley	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all	Mr Anthony William Fox	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all	Mrs Espley	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all	Mr Phil Pennington	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No to all They should stay as they are	Mrs - Cox	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No, the existing boundaries for all the above villages should not be extended as there is very little scope for building except on Green Belt. The villages should keep their boundaries to retain their village status.	Mrs Sharon Sims	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No. Any changes might affect electoral boundaries	Mr W H C Saxon	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No. The green belt surrounding Potten End (and therefore the village's boundary) must be maintained to preserve the village's status. The proposed expansion of Gadebridge towards Potten End threatens the existence of the village, because removing (or drastically reducing) the green belt "buffer" between the two settlements removes any future barrier to further expansion. Once Potten End joins Hemel, the village effectively dies.	Mr Jon Garaway	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	No. There are no local development needs, just a desire from landowners to capitalise on development in an expensive area.	Mrs Anna Barnard	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr A Bolino	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr James Williams	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr Graeme Berry	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr Robin Charlton	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr Graham Christie	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mrs Charlton	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr P.S. Thring	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	None. Does not support development of Green Belt land for residential or industrial use. Need to protect environment and limited Green Belt areas.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Potten End - No change required to existing boundary	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Potten End - no change to east boundary towards Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Colin Westwood	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Q1 Don't know	Mrs Katherine Berman	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Should not build in the Green Belt.	Miss Sarah Young	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Should remain unchanged	Prof Paul Cross	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	The existing boundaries and restrictions on development must remain in place for all three villages. Any such development within those boundaries should be of a relatively minor nature, and constant with the small village character which currently obtains. No encroachment on the Green Belt should be entertained.	Mr david richard norris	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	The Green Belt policy has been in place for 60 years and the success of the policy is there for all to see i.e. "Green" space to separate large and small communities and the "Green lung" for town and country dwellers to equally enjoy. Any erosion of the Green Belt around villages should only be considered in very limited circumstances and only if the local community is supportive.	Mr Tim Varley	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	The villages are already big enough. Any larger and they will join up and become towns and create huge conurbations, not villages!	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	There is no justification for further erosion of existing small villages which would eradicate the village feel and community spirit. The infrastructure is not there to support this and such large scale development would be inappropriate.	Mr michael wallis	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	There should be no changes to existing village (parish) boundaries. To enlarge any of these villages would destroy their essentially rural character and the sense of community.	Mr Michael Putnam	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	They should stay as they are!	Mr * Playle	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	We believe that there should be no changes to existing boundaries in these small villages. Development should be directed towards the larger, more sustainable settlements.	Mr Michael Emmett	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	We do not support development of greenbelt land for residential or industrial use. We need to protect our environment and limited green belt areas remaining the the UK	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Whilst we sympathise with DBC's position with regard to increasing the number of dwellings within the borough, this Council opposes development within the Green Belt.	Mrs Susan Johnson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Wigginton Extend to merge with Tring	Mr Richard Basterfield	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Yes to a), b), and c) for small scale developments.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Yes to all three (Chipperfield, Potten End and Wigginton). Local farm shopping and local employment strategy.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Yes to all, same ratio of residents to infrastructure just like Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Peter Webber	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Yes to all. Double the size of each village	Mr Paul Fletcher	
1	Question 1: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Green Belt	Yes. Some relaxation should be possible providing it meets local housing needs for key workers and local people on lower incomes - ie not another high quality high cost development for people moving in from outside	Mr Peter Kloss	
2				
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	1	Mrs P M Seddon	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Allow enlargement providing some employment use is continued or the development has an element of community benefit	Mr Peter Kloss	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Existing boundaries with small scale commercial/industrial and residential development.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	I do not think any further development is reasonable without huge expenditure on services, for which there is no budget. Existing water supply and sewage disposal systems are already fully loaded.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	None	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Keith Long	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Guy Patterson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Grahame Jeynes	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Michael Tate	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Sean Young	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Peter Balding	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Paul Young	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs * Sheldrake	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Young	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Christine Burton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Julie Roberts	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs P.A Fulton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr G Rushton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Julian Mincham	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Carlton Humphries	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr P Rabson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Colin Campbell	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Tim Amsden	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Woodham	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr P L Ammann	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr - Stillwell	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Barry Peach	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Jeremy Child	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr A Stephenson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr John Forecast	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Miss * Lovell	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Colin Evans	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Abby Ferment	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Martin Chapman	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Richard Basterfield	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Espley	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Lesley Lee	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Miss Alison Cockerill	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Ron Starbrook	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Ron Starbrook	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Graeme Berry	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Robin Charlton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Espley	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Keith Burgess	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr R.F Lancaster	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Robert Foster	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr P.M. Clark	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Purkiss	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Graham Christie	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Robert Bell	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Wigmore	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr P.S. Thring	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr James Elphinstone	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr C C F Sutton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Paul Harris	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr J Biggs	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Keith Emerton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Miss Brenda Mariner	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mrs Ann Poole	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr * Raggatt	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Clr Lucy Foster	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1		Ms Caroline Harper
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1 - retain current boundaries	Mr Colin Westwood	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1 It is a blight in an attractive setting. Don't extend it.	Mrs Anne Duvall	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1.	Mrs B. McCracken	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1.	Mr * Craven	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 1.	Mr Peter Such	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr John Baldwin	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs K.F. Banks	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr B.J. Harris	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Peter Webber	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Roy Illott	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr David Nobbs	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Lord . Corbett	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	MR * FIELDING	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Paul Fletcher	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs Joy Buckle	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Allan Sellers	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr John Taylor	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr D M Farquharson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs K.A. Birch	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Cllr . Taunton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs - Cox	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Trevor Church	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Lewis Terry	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Colin Neal	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Stuart Turner	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs Sheila Daley	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs Doreen Wall	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs Margaret Illott	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs . Fletcher	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr J Tarbit	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs - Norwood	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs - Russell	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr * Playle	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Douglas Doolan	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Cllr M A Clark	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2	Mr Edward Kirby	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2 seems the lesser of the evils. This site would not make a good area for retired people. The proximity of the A41M give good road access for both light industry and residential areas.	Mr Simon Roberts	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2 should allo for Northern Bypass	Mr Mark Gardener	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2.	Mr N Aitchison	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2.		Mr R. M Sellwood
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option 2. Careful consideration on the impact to local services and infrastructure in this area should be reviewed to ensure it can accomodate additional building. le: the busy A4, impact on the emergency services etc.	Mrs Sharon Sims	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option1	Mr David Bates	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option1	Mrs Charlton	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Option2	Mrs Susan -	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Q2 Don't know	Mrs Katherine Berman	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Retain current boundaries	Mr david richard norris	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Retain current boundaries	Prof Paul Cross	
2	Question 2: Major developed site at Bourne End Mills	Retain current boundaries.	Mr Mark Stephens	
3				
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	2	Mrs P M Seddon	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr A Stephenson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	As the old Bovingdon airfield is not of "Outstanding Natural Beauty", I see no reason not to extend the infill. Therefore option 2 is best.	Mr Simon Roberts	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Extend the infill boundary to enable additional future development within the site	Mr Mark Stephens	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	None	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr norman jones	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Keith Long	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Michael Tate	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Sean Young	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Peter Balding	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Paul Young	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs K.F. Banks	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs * Sheldrake	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Young	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Christine Burton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Julie Roberts	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs P.A Fulton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr G Rushton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Roy Ilott	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr David Nobbs	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Carlton Humphries	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Colin Campbell	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Tim Amsden	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Woodham	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr P L Ammann	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr - Stillwell	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Barry Peach	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Colin Evans	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr J.E.B James	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Abby Fermont	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs - Cox	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Miss Alison Cockerill	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Ron Starbrook	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Ron Starbrook	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Robert Foster	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Purkiss	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Graham Christie	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs - Norwood	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Mark Berman	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr J Biggs	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Keith Emerton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr * Playle	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Miss Brenda Mariner	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr * Raggatt	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1		Ms Caroline Harper
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1 - retain current boundaries	Mr Colin Westwood	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1 & 2	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1 Houses could be built outside existing prison boundary, to cater for government's proposals on Bov Airfield.	Mr Paul Harris	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1.	Mr * Craven	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1.	Mr Peter Such	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1.	Mr N Aitchison	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1.		Mr R. M Sellwood
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr John Baldwin	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr norman jones	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Guy Patterson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Grahame Jeynes	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Colin Bayley	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Peter Webber	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr P Rabson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Mark Gardener	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr John N. Lawson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Lord . Corbett	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	MR * FIELDING	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Joy Buckle	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr David Bates	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Jeremy Child	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Allan Sellers	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr John Forecast	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr D M Farquharson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs K.A. Birch	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Cllr . Taunton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Lewis Terry	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Richard Basterfield	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Espley	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Lesley Lee	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Robin Charlton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Espley	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Sheila Daley	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Doreen Wall	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Terence Buckle	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr P.M. Clark	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Charlton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Wigmore	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Anna Barnard	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr P.S. Thring	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr J Tarbit	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr James Elphinstone	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Susan -	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs - Russell	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Douglas Doolan	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Ann Poole	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Cllr Lucy Foster	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Cllr M A Clark	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2	Mr Edward Kirby	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2 and Option 3	Mr Colin Neal	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2 As a WW2 aerodrome it is just a derelict site and may as well be used.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2.	Mrs B. McCracken	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 2.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr A Bolino	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Paul Fletcher	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr John Taylor	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Martin Chapman	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Michael Rachlin	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr R.F Lancaster	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Simon Dewar	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3.	Mrs . Fletcher	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 3.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option2	Mr C C F Sutton	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Options 1 and 2	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Options 1 or 2	Mrs Margaret Stanier	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Options 2 and 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Option 1	Mrs Katherine Berman	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Retain current boundaries	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	retain current boundaries	Prof Paul Cross	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Retain current boundaries.	Mr david richard norris	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	Retain current boundaries. Development on this site should not be considered whilst there is no fire station in Bovingdon. This particular emergency service just could not cope with additional development.	Mrs Sharon Sims	
3	Question 3: Major developed site at Bovingdon Prison	The prison is full. Central Government may insist on further building, but this should be avoided if possible.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
4				
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Further erosion of Green Belt land is not in the interests of the local community	Mr michael wallis	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Ideally the Green Belt should not be eroded. However as houses have to be built somewhere if all infill options are exhausted. As long as traffic and service issues are dealt with and the plans are in keeping, then a small amount of erosion would be acceptable.	Mr Simon Roberts	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr John Baldwin	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr david richard norris	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Margaret Stanier	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Mark Stephens	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Prof Paul Cross	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr A Bolino	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Michael Tate	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Sean Young	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Peter Balding	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Paul Young	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Miss Sara Constable	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr G Rushton	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Julie Rabson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr David Nobbs	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr P Rabson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs P M Seddon	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs . Baird	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Lord . Corbett	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	MR * FIELDING	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Woodham	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr P L Ammann	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr David Bates	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr - Stillwell	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Barry Peach	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr A Stephenson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Michael Tate	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr John Forecast	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr John Taylor	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr * McClelland	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Colin Evans	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr D M Farquharson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs - Cox	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr James Williams	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Espley	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Colin Neal	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Espley	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Fiona Guest	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr C A Goman	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr P.M. Clark	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Robert Bell	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Charlton	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Wigmore	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs JP Millis	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr N Aitchison	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr - Grieve	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr John Barson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Paul Havinden	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr James Elphinstone	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs - Norwood	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Susan -	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs - Russell	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs - Barradone	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Paul Harris	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Mark Berman	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Kim Webster	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Dawn Slade	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr J W Neale	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr J Biggs	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs S Gouch	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr * Playle	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr * Raggatt	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Cllr M A Clark	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No - Green Belt should be preserved	Dr R Gilbert	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No - no development on green belt	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No sites on Green Belt land.	Mr Keith Long	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No, the previous plan has outlined sufficient brown field sites for the next five years. There is no point in planning for future immigration when our unemployment is rapidly growing, without jobs any increase in housing will be useless.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	MR Kelvin Archer	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mr * Craven	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Dr Roy King	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mr Peter Such	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mrs Margaret Illott	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.	Mr Purkiss	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No.		Unknown Unknown
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No. We must preserve the Green Belt as much as for as long as we can.	Mr Graeme Berry	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No. Should not build on the Green Belt.	Miss Sarah Young	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No. The green Belt must be preserved.	Mrs Lesley Lee	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	No. The Green Belt must be preserved.	Mrs Ann Poole	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Q 4 No Green belt must be protected	Mrs Katherine Berman	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Whilst we sympathise with the position DBC faces with regard to increasing the number of dwellings within the borough, this Council opposes development within the Green Belt.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes - Bovingdon airfield	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes - Of north bypass happens the extend Bosted Farm	Mr Mark Gardener	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes - The existing former agricultural site which currently has planning permission for a new golf course, St Albans city council have been duped and the site has been used as a tip. The Golf course is a smoke screen for the tipping which makes this a brownfield site already - residential would be preferable - St Albans Council should investigate compulsory purchase then grant planning permission.	Mr Graham Christie	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes - Wilstone	Mr Paul Fletcher	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes Bovingdon Airfield - a new neighbourhood could be accommodated on what is already a despoiled site.	Mrs Anna Barnard	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes Sites between industrial (Maylands) area and M1	Mrs * Pratt	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes, Wilstone and Tring areas, Berkhamsted. Leave the Green Belt around Hemel.	Mrs . Fletcher	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes. Develop around Tring and Wilstone.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes. Bovingdon Airfield	Mrs Ena Hartland	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes. Land at Rucklers Lane, Kings Langley.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes. Shendish Edge.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes. Tring and Wilstone. No Green Belt development especially surrounding Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
4	Question 4: Major developed sites in the Green Belt	Yes. Redevelop the industrial area in Hemel Hempstead as housing as the space is largely not used.	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
5				
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	1	Mrs P M Seddon	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	2	Mr Gruff Edwards	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	In principle I do not support losing any Green Belt, but if this is inevitable I support Option 2. I frequently walk in the area proposed and feel that it is important to preserve its delightful rural character.	Mrs Margaret Stanier	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Make no changes to existing green belt boundaries	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Make no changes to existing Green Belt boundaries	Mr michael wallis	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Make no changes to the existing Green Belt boundary	Mr Robert Spence	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	No changes should be made to the existing Green Belt boundary. Furthermore, any redesignation of Rural Area land to create alternative Green Belt would be merely cosmetic since Rural Area land is already green open space, and any changes to the existing Green Belt would mean a net loss of green open space to the Borough.	Mr david richard norris	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	No changes to Green Belt boundaries	Mr Mark Stephens	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	No new areas can be designated until the result of the Buncefield investigations on the site future is decided.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr John Baldwin	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Chris Crawley	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Keith Long	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Michael Tate	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Sean Young	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Paul Young	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs * Sheldrake	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Young	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Christine Burton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Julie Roberts	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs P.A Fulton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Peter Webber	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr G Rushton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr W H C Saxon	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Julian Mincham	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Roy Ilott	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Julie Rabson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Carlton Humphries	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr P Rabson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Colin Campbell	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs * Pratt	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs . Baird	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	MR * FIELDING	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Paul Fletcher	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Gloria Odell	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr V. Brooks	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Woodham	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr P L Ammann	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr David Bates	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr - Stillwell	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Jeremy Child	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr A Stephenson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Michael Tate	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	MR Kelvin Archer	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr John Forecast	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr John Taylor	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Miss * Lovell	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr D M Farquharson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr J.E.B James	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs K.A. Birch	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Clr . Taunton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs - Cox	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Martin Chapman	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Dr Roy King	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Lewis Terry	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	* Bratt	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Lesley Lee	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Ron Starbrook	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Ron Starbrook	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Graeme Berry	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Joan Saxon	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Robin Charlton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Stuart Turner	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Keith Burgess	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Doreen Wall	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr R.F Lancaster	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Simon Dewar	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Robert Foster	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr P.M. Clark	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Ena Hartland	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Robert Bell	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Charlton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Keith Favell	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Wigmore	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Peter Lawrence	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr - Grieve	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Jennifer King	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr P.S. Thring	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs . Fletcher	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Paul Skipp	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr * O'Brien	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Paul Havinden	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr David Browning	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs - Norwood	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Susan -	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs - Russell	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr C C F Sutton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs - Barradone	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Paul Harris	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Keith Emerton	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr * Playle	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Anne Duvall	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Douglas Doolan	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Miss Brenda Mariner	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mrs Ann Poole	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr * Raggatt	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Cllr M A Clark	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Edward Kirby	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1		Ms Caroline Harper
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1 - make no changes to the existing Green Belt boundary.	Mr Mark Stephens	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Mrs B. McCracken	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Mr * Craven	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1.	Mr N Aitchison	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 1. Option 2 is a cosmetic ploy which does nothing to compensate for the loss Green Belt around the area of Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Simon Roberts	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr norman jones	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Dr R Gilbert	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Peter Balding	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mrs K.F. Banks	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mrs Janet Rook	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr David Nobbs	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Mark Gardener	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr John N. Lawson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Lord . Corbett	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Tim Amsden	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mrs Joy Buckle	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Barry Peach	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Allan Sellers	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Colin Evans	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	option 2	Mr James Williams	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Espley	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Colin Neal	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mrs Espley	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mrs Sheila Daley	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr J Tarbit	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Mark Berman	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr Phil Pennington	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Mr J Biggs	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2	Cllr Lucy Foster	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2 supported	Mr Colin White	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2, Tring Rural would also like to be upgraded	Mrs Abby Fermont	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option 2.	Miss Alison Cockerill	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	option1	Mr Purkiss	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Option2	Mr Terence Buckle	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Q5 Option 2	Mrs Katherine Berman	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Redesignate as Green Belt to fill in the area up to the AONB boundary - but there seems little prospect of it being a direction in which settlements could merge!	Mr Peter Kloss	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	Redesignate the land to Green Belt.	Mrs Sharon Sims	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	The East of England Planning Authority's plans to develop Green Belt Land is a scandal. The whole purpose of setting up the Green Belt was to stop the further growth of London. Substituting other greenfield sites for Green Belt land is NOT an acceptable solution. The concept of expanding the boundaries of Hemel Hempstead, in particular, is a grave error.	Mr Anthony Lucey	
5	Question 5: Compensatory Green Belt designations	There should be NO changes to the existing Green Belt areas. When they were established it was clearly stated that no building or development would be permitted on such land except under exceptional circumstances. To change the designation in order to build houses to accommodate incomers from other parts of the country is to denigrate the original purpose of establishing Green Belts.	Mr Michael Putnam	
6				
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	a) - c) No changes should be made to the existing boundaries. The Green Belt must be preserved.	Mrs Ann Poole	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	a) - c) No to all	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	a) - c) No to all	Mr * Playle	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	a) - c) None	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	a) Aldbury	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	a) No change supported b) No change supported c) No change supported	Mr Martin Chapman	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	a) No b) No c) No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	Aldbury, Long Marston and Wilstone.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	Any development within these villages should be in keeping with the existing controls for "Selected Small Villages in the Rural Area", and no parcels of land should be excluded from the village boundaries for any other type of development .	Mr david richard norris	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	As in our response to Q1 (there should be no changes to existing boundaries in these small villages. Development should be directed towards the larger, more sustainable settlements), we believe there is no justification for changes to existing boundaries of small villages.	Mr Michael Emett	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	c)Wilstone More affordable housing needed here.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	c) Double size of Wilstone to provide much needed social housing.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	c) Open space available.	Mrs - Norwood	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	c) select Wilstone for future development for affordable housing and social needs.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	c) Wilstone, provide affordable housing	Mrs . Fletcher	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	c) Only in the vicinity of Aylesbury Arm canal	Mr Tim Amsden	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	Definitely	Mrs Abby Fermont	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	Existing boundaries are probably correct although some small areas could possibly be made available or low cost housing for local young people.	Mr P Rabson	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	For local residents to decide	Lord . Corbett	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	I can see no reason why there should not be limited expansion of these villages. I live in what was once a very small village, now very large. There is no problem with retaining the character of a village as it expands. However the detailed planning must be handled very carefully.	Mr Simon Roberts	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	It would be better to allow some additional development in these villages since all are reasonable near a main-line railway station and the A41 so in terms of additional transport infrastructure their demand would be fairly low. Expanding small communities in this sense (if it is proportional) may be better than tacking on development to the extremities of much larger developed areas.	Mr Peter Kloss	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mr * McClelland	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mrs Fiona Guest	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mr Graham Christie	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mrs Charlton	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mrs Dawn Slade	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No	Mr Tony Tollinton	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No - none to any of them	Mr Mark Stephens	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mr Sean Young	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mr Paul Young	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mrs * Sheldrake	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mrs Tracey Young	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mr Jeremy Child	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mr A Stephenson	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mr Lewis Terry	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Miss Alison Cockerill	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change	Mr Douglas Doolan	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change needed	Mr Michael Tate	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change needed.	Mr Michael Wallis	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change required	Mrs Woodham	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change required	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No change required	Mr John Forecast	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No changes	Mr Stuart Turner	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No changes should be made to the existing boundaries. The Green Belt must be preserved.	Mrs P M Seddon	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No changes should be made to these small villages	Miss Sara Constable	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No changes suggested	Mr * Raggatt	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No the villages have already grown bigger, and there is no need for this.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mrs Julie Roberts	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr Colin Bayley	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mrs Stella Bayley	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr P L Ammann	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mrs - Cox	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr Espley	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr Anthony William Fox	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mrs Espley	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr Derek Proctor	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr Paul Harris	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr Phil Pennington	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Cllr M A Clark	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No to all	Mr Richard Basterfield	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	No, no change needed.	Mrs Sharon Sims	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	None	Mr Keith Long	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	None	Mr A Bolino	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	None	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	None	Mr James Williams	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	None	Mr Graeme Berry	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	None	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	None	Mr P.S. Thring	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	Should not change or build on the Green Belt.	Miss Sarah Young	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	The Board would only favour a change to the existing boundary of Aldbury if this was to allow for small-scale development that would meet identified local needs and which was designated to the very highest standard.	Mr Colin White	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	The Green Belt policy has been in place for 60 years and the success of the policy is there for all to see i.e. "Green" space to separate large and small communities and the "Green lung" for town and country dwellers to equally enjoy. Any erosion of the Green Belt around villages should only be considered in very limited circumstances and only if the local community is supportive.	Mr Tim Varley	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	Yes to all	Mr Peter Webber	
6	Question 6: Existing boundaries of selected small villages in the Rural Area	Yes. c) Wilstone. Land at Rosebarn Lane, Wilstone. Two small flat fields r/o existing hopusing New Road and Grange Road. 38 dwellings on western field (up to 50% affordable); public open space on eastern field.		Mr Richard Lewis
7				
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	As long as the Green Belt remains sacrosanct, no boundary changes are necessary . However, the current boundary between Hemel and St. Albans, at the edge of Leverstock Green, is something of an anomaly and could create a problem for local residents if Green Belt land were to be designated for housing development under the East of England plan i.e. potentially St. Albans could meet part of its quota by building on the outskirts of Hemel.	Mr david richard norris	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	(a)	Mr Alan Proctor	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	(a) - Essential to kepp all new/expanding neighbourhoods within the town and borough of Hemel & Dacorum and Council tax charges - police, rubbish etc, must be the same in all of Hemel.	Mrs Susan -	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	(a) Keep the existing boundaries as current.	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	A	Mrs - Russell	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) - f) No change supported	Mr Martin Chapman	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) - f) No changes should be made to existing boundaries.	Mrs Ann Poole	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) - f) No to all	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) - f) No to all	Mr * Playle	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) - f) None	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) Hemel Hempstead - No	Mrs Christine Burton	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) Hemel Hempstead b) Berkhamsted	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) Hemel Hempstead. A new neighbourhood at West Hemel Hempstead/Pouchen End using Pouchen End Lane as the new Green Belt boundary which would be a clearly defined defensive boundary to contain Hemel Hempsteads future growth.	Mrs P M Proctor	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	a) Yes b) Yes d) Yes e) Yes There should be a rational re-examination together with the strategy to be adopted.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	All apart from Hemel Hempstead	Mrs . Fletcher	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	All except Hemel	Mr Paul Fletcher	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Any boundary change would almost inevitably be an enlargement. None should take place.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	b) Berkhamsted Yes c) Tring Yes d) Kings Langley Yes e) Bovingdon Yes f) Markyate Yes Take pressure off Hemel Hempstead	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	b) Berkhamsted. In the context of accomodating a more balanced growth strategy for Berkhamsted and Hemel Hempstead within the District, the A41 should be considered as a long term, easily identifiable boundary to the south of the town.	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	b) No	Mrs Anne Duvall	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	b), c), d), e), f) Not Hemel hempstead.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	b. Yes, to reflect the changes created by the development at Durrants Lane/Shootersway and consolidate the physical boundary of Berkhamsted that will become poorly defined once the development is complete.	Mr James Elphinstone	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	d) The company would like to re-locate from Rucklers Lane to a more suitable location giving up about one acre of land in favour of housing development.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Defensible boundaries should take in to account what the local infrastructure can support, visual intrusion and 'natural' barriers to movement, eg by-pass or major road locations, as 'bridging' these in an enviromentally friendly way may be unduly costly	Mr Peter Kloss	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	e) Build on Bovingdon Airfield, residential homes only - one, two , three and four bedrooms and affordable homes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	f) Markyate We don't want any building on Green Belt and we don't want Markyate's boundary extended. Essential to maintain boundary.	Mrs Jennifer Bismire	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Hemel Hempstead - A new neighbourhood at Pouchen End. The new Green Belt Boundary	Mr Derek Proctor	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Robert Foster	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	I don't feel qualified to comment on this question for all six areas	Mr Mark Stephens	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	If all the "Schedule of Site Appraisals" Sites were to be released for development in Tring this would appear to approximate to a 50% increase in urban area! The "Market" town identity of Tring should be retained and the Green Belt boundaries retained. Development should not take place beyond the current Town boundaries and the green spaces between nearby communities rigorously enforced.	Mr Tim Varley	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mr P Rabson	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Lord . Corbett	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mr * McClelland	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mrs Charlton	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No - leave as existing boundaries	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No - Leave as it is	Mrs Woodham	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change	Mr Sean Young	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change	Mr Paul Young	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change	Mrs * Sheldrake	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change	Mrs Tracey Young	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change	Miss Alison Cockerill	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change	Mr Douglas Doolan	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change needed	Mr Michael Tate	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No change.	Mr Lewis Terry	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes	Mr Stuart Turner	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes required	Mr John Forecast	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes should be made to existing boundaries	Mrs Lesley Lee	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes should be made to the boundaries of large villages where they result in the reduction of the Green Belt and lead to merging of settlements	Mr Marc Boucherat	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes should be made, especially in the light of reduction in emergency service provision.	Miss Sara Constable	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes suggested	Mr * Raggatt	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes to be made to any existing boudaries	Mrs P M Seddon	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No changes.	Mrs Sharon Sims	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No comment	Mr Stewart Patience	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No developemnt of Green Belt	Mrs Fiona Guest	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No none obvious	Mr Graham Christie	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mrs Julie Roberts	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mrs P.A Fulton	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mrs - Cox	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mr Richard Basterfield	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mr Espley	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mr Anthony William Fox	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mrs Espley	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mr Paul Harris	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mr Phil Pennington	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	No to all	Clr M A Clark	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr Keith Long	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr A Bolino	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr Jeremy Child	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr James Williams	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr P.S. Thring	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	None. Moving boundaries will incur costs and lead to confusion	Mr Graeme Berry	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Possibly some small amendments as the planners consider appropriate but without reducing the Green Belt other than to an infinitesimal extent.	Mr Edward Kirby	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Re-define boundary of Bourne End.	Mr Colin Westwood	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Should not change.	Miss Sarah Young	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	There are no changes required to the existing boundaries of Tring for the sole reason of creating more easily identifiable boundaries on the ground.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	They are quite clear no further encroachments on green land are needed.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	This would encourage development creep whereby open land now within the tidied up boundary become vulnerable to development.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	We propose that the green belt boundary to the west of Tring be amended to release land bounded by Ickniel Way, Aylesbury Road and the A41 roundabout (please refer to plan submitted with our submission dated 1 June 2006), in order to provide a clearly identifiable, physical boundary contiguous with these roads.	Mr Michael Emett	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes - Hemel Hempstead. Develop a new neighbourhood to the west of Hemel Hempstead using Pouchen End Lane as the new Green Belt boundary to the north and east and Chaulden Lane to the south. A solid constraining boundary for the future.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes at Berkhamsted. A more easily identifiable boundary on the ground would be created through the inclusion of the site at New Road (Be/h3) in the urban area.		Mr Neil Goate
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes to a), b), c), e), f) No to c), d). To ensure clarity.	Mrs Ann Johnson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes to a,b,d,e. There should be a rational re-examination together with the strategy to be adopted. Green Belt boundaries should be revised to accord with long term re-zoning. In this connection, the Shootersway area of Berkhamsted could be considered for future re-allocation in addition to the preferred sites around Hemel Hempstead.	Mr N Aitchison	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes to all equate density to facilities	Mr Peter Webber	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes to all. Tree planting belts to provide wild habitat division and corridors for wildlife movement.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes, but only for the purpose of clearly defining and protecting the green belt surrounding our villages	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
7	Question 7: Existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages	Yes, but only for the purpose of clearly defining and protecting the Green Belt surrounding our villages	Mr michael wallis	
8				
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Agreed, given the situation we are faced with, all these sites represent more appropriate locations than cutting into Green Belt.	Mrs caroline garnett	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	I would like to agree with Mr Neil Barford - many key services within the town are reducing in scale (hospital and school closures spring to mind) yet the town's population continues to rise. Surely this does not add up.	Mr John Rutherford	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Sean Young	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Paul Young	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr G Rushton	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr P L Ammann	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr A Stephenson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr * McClelland	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr James Williams	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	* Bratt	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mrs JP Millis	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Paul Harris	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - all housing proposal sites should be re-assessed as the borough is already over-crowded - there should be no material further building in the area until the road network, community services (healthcare, fire service, police, schools) are able to support more people in the area.	Mr michael wallis	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - all housing proposal sites should be re-assessed. The Dacorum Borough is already over-crowded - I strongly oppose further building in the area until the road network, community services (healthcare, fire service, police, schools) are able to support more people in the area.	Miss Jody Conibear	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - Existing proposed would have a detrimental effect on the environment and quality if life and could not be supported by current infrastructure.	Mrs P M Seddon	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - I believe that the proposed housing sites should be elsewhere - Not Hemel Hempstead	Mr John Forecast	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - if unimplemented then the site should be reviewed rather than tie up land with planning permission		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - Leverstock Green Sites. The access road to Breakspear roundabout and eventually M1, floods on a regular basis. When there is heavy rain the road is impassable.	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - Should be considered	Mrs - Russell	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - the hospital site should be frozen due to the obvious need to retain the hospital in HH	Mr Graham Christie	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - These need to be re-examined in combination with new plans - they may be unsuitable.	Mrs Susan -	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - These sites have been able for many years to be developed for housing. Despite a boom in values they have not come forward. Any that are to be carried forward should be fully investigated re: availability before being included in the figures. Non available sites distort the real level of need for allocations.	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - They link to green belt sites and wil cause a burden on infrastructure and resources.	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No - Westwick should not be developed, it is an important green area and is a working farm. It is too close to Buncefield: the farmhouse received considerable damage.	Mrs - Cox	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No 1. Consideration of surrounding housing density 2. traffic flow 3 change of usuage i.e. filling in green ipen areas 4. Designated farm land should be provided.	Mrs Joyce Gray	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No as we have not got resources and should not build on green belt.	Mrs Woodham	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No development of Green Belt	Mrs Fiona Guest	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No development on Green Belt land	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No housing should be allowed on Green Belt land between Hemel Hempstead and St Albans	Mr Robert Spence	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No to NEHH, housing should not be implimented due to Buncefield. Could be used for employment use?	Mr Derek Proctor	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No Buncefield decision, road infrastructure, healthcare facilities, water and sewage capacity	Mr Douglas Doolan	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No H40 due to potential wildlife near centre of town	Mr Phil Pennington	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No No housing should be allowed on Green Belt land between HH and St Albans	Mrs Diana Spence	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No Not all The hospital, fire brigade, schools, roads, water, employment, cannot sustain a large growth of residents in this area	Mrs Espley	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	no Not H4 Paradise Fields. Has potential for conservation/wildlife showpiece area.	Mr Gruff Edwards	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No Such massive development within this area will totally swamp existing amenities with disriptive and potentially disastrous consequences	Mr - Grieve	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No There is sufficient housing in the areas i.e as due to the lack of infrastructures in place to meet the government proposals.	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No, there are developments and would remove open spaces from density developed areas/and or effect the local communities. NH10 should be removed as it is part of my garden and would need Longdean Park residents approval.	Mr Simon Dewar	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No.	Mr Adrian Lee	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No.	Mr Peter Such	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No.		Unknown Unknown
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Existing development plan produced by unelected regional body without proper consultation, so not valid	Mr W H C Saxon	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Has a very real concern that Hemel Hempstead is unable to cope with the expansion proposed, in terms of hospitals, schools, roads, emergency services. Worried that without care, the town will eventually just become a suburb of London with no identity of our own. Watford hospital alone cannot cope with such expansion.	Mr Neil Barford	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Shortage of water, road congestion and lack of medical fcaillities negate any expansion needs.	Mrs * Sheldrake	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Should only be allowed under strict environmental conditions and peoples views should be taken into consideration.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. The area is deficient and failing in many basic amenities such as hospitals, schools and roads. It is stupid to consider more housing.	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. The Paradise site has great wildlife potential for a public open space, and could be landscaped and made accessible without losing the unimproved grassland nature of the site. A small scale totally ecobuild development (i.e. BedZed) could co-exist as a template and inspiration. No cars needed as so close to town centre. Potential to showcase other environmental initiatives i.e. wind energy.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. The recent developments in the area (particularlly Ovaltine and John Dickinsons) are already overloading existing doctors, hospitals and schools. There must also be some questions regarding water, electricity and gas supplies and drainage.	Mr Peter Butterick	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Westwick should be left alone, lanes are too narrow.	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Westwick should not be developed too near Buncefield.	Mr * Playle	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. A decision should be made on a site by site basis e.g. brownfield sites could be carried forward, but not greenfield sites should probably not.	Mr Mark Berman	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. All existing unimplemented housing allocations should be reappraised against the objective of achieving a more sustainable pattern of development. Those which score poorly should be deleted.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. All existing unimplemented housing allocations should be re-appraised against the objectives of achieving a more sustainable pattern of development. Those which score poorly should be deleted.	Mr N Aitchison	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Apsley already provided more than expected also "Ovaltine" area.	Mrs - Norwood	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Existing proposals would have a detrimental affect on the environment and quality of life, and could not be supported by current infrastructure.	Mrs Ann Poole	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. For a start H42 may be ruled out by the results of the HSE enquiry into the Buncefield explosion. Again with H42, something will need to be done about traffic to / from St Albans and Watford via Bedmond. Before any work is done, a plan of how to deal with the ever increasing traffic must be in place.	Mr Simon Roberts	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. H50 in Pancake Lane should not be developed. It will involve removing the local scout headquarters which is a focal point for young people in Leverstock Green.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. I am opposed to building on green belt or rural village land.	Mr Keith Long	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Infrastructure, including hospitals, will not accommodate further development.	Mr D M Farquharson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Insufficient facilities and services.	Mr Keith Emerton	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Not until Buncefield disaster is thoroughly investigated by the HSE so that safe boundaries for any housing may be established.	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Only those where planning initiatives have commenced.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Para. 54 of PPS3 states that LPAs should identify sufficient specific deliverable sites to deliver housing in the first five years. At point of adoption of Site Allocations DPD, sites should be available, suitable and achievable. Recommends that Council assesses each of the sites against PPS3 to establish whether they are deliverable.		Mr Stephen Harris
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. PPS3 emphasises the importance of ensuring a 5 year supply of deliverable sites. This may not be the case if all unimplemented permissions are rolled forward. Therefore, these sites should only be included, if there are significant barriers to delivery.	Mr Gary Durden	Mr David Ames
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. PPS3 means the Council needs to undertake a review of its housing commitments to ensure they are likely to come forward in the next 5 years. Urban capacity study also needs thorough review. DBC will also need to consider excluding allowances for windfalls (PPS3 para 59). H18, H38, H41 and H42 should all be carried forward.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Proposals have been forced on Local Authorities by the Government Quango. We are being forced to build huge numbers of houses to meet targets, largely created by Government's own policies... we cannot travel during peak periods without sever traffic congestion. Water is scarce, shcools and hospitals are in chaos. Quality of life is as important as quantity.	Mr Keith Burgess	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Roads, schools, hospitals need to be taken into account first before adding more housing	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Sites 38 and 42 should not be considered for further development until the future of the Buncefield oil terminal has been determined. Also, traffic congestion issues in the vicinity of these sites need to be resolved before considering further housing density.	Mr david richard norris	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. The planning policy context has changed significantly since the current local plan sites were identified, most notably by the introduction of PPS3. Sites must be suitable having regard to this advice, and they should be deliverable. Each site should meet the criteria set out in paragraph 54 of PPS3, and if it doesn't it should not be carried forward in the DPD.	Mr Michael Emmett	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. The plans are very insensitive to local areas, history, wildlife and natural resources.	Miss Sarah Young	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Westwick Farm area, Leverstock Green, also land adjoining Green Lane/Buncefield Lane should not be developed.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Existing housing allocations should be checked to see if there are particular problems or issues which might prevent individual housing allocations coming forward for delivery.	Mr Paul Cronk	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Existing proposals would have a detrimental affect on the environment and quality of life and could not be supported by current infrastructure	Mrs Lesley Lee	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Further traffic to already heavily developed areas would aggravate the roads situation and require more service supplies and drainage.	Mr J Biggs	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Green Belt needs to be protected - not just for "pretty reasons" but to avoid a concrete jungle which will have horrendous consequences on the water table and carbon emissions.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Hemel Hempstead would lose arable and amenities land and would not cope with the extra traffic. flooding is now a serious problem in 14A 14B 14C	Mrs S Gouch	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. North East Hemel Hempstead should be retained until Buncefield is reviewed future safety issues.	Mrs P M Proctor	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. Retain north east Hemel Hempstead in the light of Buncefield.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. The Council should comprehensively review all existing unimplemented housing proposal sites. This reflects the approach set out in PPS3 and the very clear emphasis on deliverability.		Ms Caroline Harper
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	No. We should carry out sites at Marchmont Farm, Old Town, Wood End Farm, 9 Shendish and reserve the rest.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Not if it means losing village identity and school closures - we also need to consider why we are closing the A&E department at Hemel Hempstead hospital with all of the proposed extra population	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Not necessarily but all should be reviewed in terms of infrastructure required and impact on the community. For example, developing land near within walking distance of railway stations and major facilities is preferable. (eg: Manor Estate). However developing the rest of the Gas Board site would have big negative community impact as this would remove irreplaceable multi-use community facilities. Also the owners don't look like releasing the site in the near future.	Mr Peter Kloss	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Not necessarily. Sites should be reviewed to ensure that the road network is appropriate, the site is deliverable and an updated sustainability appraisal undertaken.	Mrs Sarah King	Mrs Sarah King
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Please refrain from using Green Belt.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	PPS3 (para 54) places emphasis on promoting allocated sites which are available, suitable and achievable. Whilst unimplemented housing proposal sites in the Local Plan have been tested through a public local inquiry in terms of their suitability, their availability may not have been previously established. These sites should be reassessed in light of the current Government guidance. Potential new allocations may meet the PPS3 requirements more readily than existing allocations and should be brought forward sooner, where this is the case.		Ms Miranda Struthers
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Q8 No Not all	Mr Espley	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Q8 No They should be looked at individually using brown field sites in preference to green.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Sites should be re-assessed to ensure that the road network and infrastructure is able to support it. Some edge of town sites maybe a more appropriate and sustainable option.	Mr Graeme Free	Mr Graeme Free
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	The Hertfordshire Constabulary do not wish to answer this question directly. Instead it would remind the Borough Council, that decisions made regarding the location of development have implications for the spatial distribution of the Police service and associated infrastructure	Mr Michael Rachlin	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	The very precious nature of village life is now threatened. It is reasonable that the villages should take up some of the development providing the infrastruce is in place. Kings Langley has already taken on huge development. Shendish Manor and Rectory Farm should most definitely be excluded from development to avoid the danger of eradication of the village as a village.	Ms Fran Beasley	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Unless there are good reasons for not doing so it would seem sensible to take forward the existing unimplemented housing proposal sites alongside new sites produced through the consultation process.	Mr Russell Monck	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Western Road, Tring: This Council supports carrying forward the existing unimplemented housing proposal (supported by a Development Brief). No. 2 King Street, Tring: This site has been allocated a net capacity of 10 units but only 2 have been built. We support carrying forward the implementation of 10 units.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Whilst we note that these have been tested through the LPI, the authority will need to ensure that these sites are robustly tested in the context of alternative sites in preparing the Site Allocations DPD. This will include subjecting existing unimplemented sites to SA and ensuring that they are in conformity with the Core Strategy.	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr norman jones	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Sharon Sims	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr David Bates	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Cllr . Taunton	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermont	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Dr Roy King	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Robin Charlton	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr David Browning	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr James Elphinstone	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Kim Webster	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes - as long as they are not on green belt land	Mr Sunit Sharma	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes - most seem to make sense without major impact on Green Belt or employment land	Mr Mark Stephens	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes - The Council should undertake a full assessment if each existing housing allocation site to assess whether these sites are deliverable within the plan period. If these sites are not demonstrated to be deliverable, they should not be included in any assessment.		Anna Gillings
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes this is much better than using green land not previously designated as housing area.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes, only if no green belt is used	Mrs P.A Fulton	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes.	Mr * Craven	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes. All existing unimplemented housing proposal sites should be carried forward.		Mr Neil Goate
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes. H12 Land at Fletcher Way/Wheatfield, Hemel Hempstead - 6-8 units affordable special needs housing or general purpose housing. H37 Land at Durrants Lane/Shootersway, Berkhamsted - Area in front of existing school (housing) and Cox Dell woodland/open land).		Mr Richard Lewis
8	Question 8: Should existing unimplemented housing proposal sites come forward	Yes. Hemel Hempstead	Mrs Ena Hartland	
9				
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	A neighbourhood at Pouchen End using Pouchen End Lane as the new Green Belt boundary to the west and north Chaulden Lane as the boundary to the south.	Mr Alan Proctor	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Again the Council should only rely on sites that are very likely to come forward from this source. Employment sites are in short supply and therefore existing use value may mean they are not viable as housing land. A good margin for error should be allowed.	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Any sites that are within or adjacent to the Chilterns AONB should clearly bear this designation in mind when or if they come forward.	Mr Colin White	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Depends on reviews of Buncefield, hospital, existing schools. Without these its is useless proceeding.	Mr W H C Saxon	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Full review of Urban Capacity Study should be carried out taking account of PPS3 guidance. This will determine which sites are developable and realistically deliverable, and may result in fewer sites being identified.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Greenbelt sites should certainly be protected (as should as many greenfield sites as possible). Failure to do so will be something we regret for generations to come.	Mr John Rutherford	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Norman Jones	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Roger Hall	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr A Bolino	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Michael Tate	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Sean Young	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Peter Balding	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Paul Young	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Miss Sara Constable	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr David Nobbs	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr P Rabson	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Lord Corbett	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr David Bates	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Barry Peach	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Will Hodgson	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Cllr. Taunton	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs - Cox	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Simon Roberts	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Dr Roy King	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr James Williams	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Colin Neal	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Espley	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr C A Goman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr P.M. Clark	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Robert Bell	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Charlton	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Wigmore	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr J Tarbit	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr David Browning	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr James Elphinstone	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Mark Berman	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Kim Webster	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr J W Neale	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr * Playle	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr * Raggatt	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Cllr M A Clark	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No development on Green Belt land	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No not useful.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No objection to KL/h2 (ex KL Building Supplies) being used for housing subject to appropriate design, and no infringement on enjoyment of our property. Concerned that map appears to show the site extending into 5 Rectory Lane.	* Mr and Mrs Barnes	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No You must leave existing Green Belt alone. It is a major plank of the quality of life in the area for everyone.	Mr - Grieve	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No.	Mr Peter Such	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No.	Mr Purkiss	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No.		Unknown Unknown
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No. However, the Urban Capacity Study need to be regularly updated to ensure that it represents an accurate assessment of capacity.		Mr R. M Sellwood
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No. None of the sites within Aldbury are appropriate for residential development. ALD1 is a community facility, ALD4 is open play space, ALD9 is pasture and ALD3 is integral to surrounding residential. Only ALD1 & ALD3 are actually 'previously developed land;' the remainder are virgin. Aldbury is unsuitable for any new housing of any scale. The conservation area has been seriously compromised by development in the 1970s and must be rigorously protected.	Mr Adrian Lee	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No. The Urban Capacity Study needs to be regularly updated to ensure that it represents an accurate assessment of capacity.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No. The Urban Capacity Study needs to be regularly updated to ensure that it represents an accurate assessment of capacity.	Mr N Aitchison	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	No/Yes - We would need to be satisfied that the Urban Capacity Study has identified all potential housing sites.		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Please see our response to Q8 (No. The planning policy context has changed significantly since the current local plan sites were identified, most notably by the introduction of PPS3. Sites must be suitable having regard to this advice, and they should be deliverable. Each site should meet the criteria set out in paragraph 54 of PPS3, and if it doesn't it should not be carried forward in the DPD.). Sites must be suitably located (and some PDL will not necessarily be suitable for housing) and they must be deliverable; available now and achievable within five years. Urban capacity sites that fail to meet these criteria should be excluded.	Mr Michael Emmett	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Q9 No	Mrs Katherine Berman	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Q9 No	Mr Espley	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	See attached comments relating to the support and objection of various sites listed in the Schedule of Site Appraisals	Clr Alan Anderson	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Site H/h62 Pouchen End is not suitable for development. No adequate road links without major road building. Creepage of town towards Berkhamsted leading to further possible development.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Site NM10 in the Urban Capacity study is not considered appropriate for housing development and should be withdrawn.	Mr Peter Lardi	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	There should be one exclusion in Tring: TC10 Silk Mill Way garage courts and green space. The Council would not wish to see the green spaces here developed.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	* Bratt	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mr Peter Foster	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mr Peter Foster	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - BW 23	Mr Colin Westwood	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - CH15 abd HSP14 should be excluded in order to retain petrol fuel filling station facilities within Hemel Hempstead	Mr P.S. Thring	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - Exclusions should be Chalden, Gadebridge and Boxmoor due to lack of amenity space and quality of life.	Mrs Woodham	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - Exclusions - Chalden, Gadebridge and Boxmoor. This would infringe on amenity space in these areas and quality of life.	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - Hemel Hempstead should be exempt from any capacity increases.	Mr John Forecast	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - KL/h5 Hill Farm, Love Lane, KL. If a number of buildings were converted into properties the increased traffic on Love Lane and Chipperfield Road would be serious. Traffic using these roads ignore the 30mph restrictions and noise from lorries and cars has increased causing more problems in Vicarage Lane and Langley Hill. Pedestrians crossing Love Lane is very Dangerous. Kings Langley cannot cope with the extra traffic and even local residents can not longer find space in the Village car park.	Patricia Hammond	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - Maintain all Green Belt Land with no additional housing permitted	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - NM 10 Silverthorn Drive, NM 14 Belswains Lane AW 34 Great Road Essential to retain open spaces within the town.	Mrs - Russell	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - the gradual erosion of Green Belt is nothing more than stealing it from us and our children.	Mr Gordon King	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - where the environment should be protected from added pollution i.e. vehicular transmissions - light and nice. Where properties overlook each other. Lack of access to local facilities and shops. Particular concern possible development of KL/h5 - Hill Farm, Love Lane. It would lead to an increase in the number of vehicles and traffic congestion, already a problem in the area, which could become overloaded and dangerous.	Mrs Joyce Gray	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - Windmill Road and Allendale - Both already densely populated and have already endured extra housing.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes - You state 13-25 dwellings in Green Lane LG36. My concern is that the access road to Breakspear roundabout and eventually M1, floods on a regular basis. When there is heavy rain the road is impassable.	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes there should be exclusions if development on these sites causes merging of settlements e.g. Kings Langley, Apsley, Hemel, development on existing Green Belt land, impact negatively on local infrastructure and environment e.g. Gade Valley, Grand Union Canal, building on flood plain, conservation areas and nature reserves	Mr Marc Boucherat	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes KL/h5 Hill Farm, Love Lane due to ability of resources and facilities. Love Lane, Langley Hill and Vicarage Lane all become blocked with traffic at least twice a day - particularly at 'school run' times. Further development of housing in this area would make matters worse. Similarly traffic is already a major problem through Apsley. While traffic intensity is the main reason to oppose further housing development in this area, there are also problems with pressure on schools, medical services, water and sewer provisions as well.	Mr Julian Mincham	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes KL16 (land at the Rose and Crown) will degrade the environment of the village. The proposal is based on commercial expediency. Objection is based on the following points: - loss of car parking will impact on a wider area, including Church Lane where inconsiderate parkers block driveways and hinder pedestrians, and parking narrows the road - adverse impact on village conservation area through unsightly street parking and increased noise and pollution in a residential area - additional housing will add to the effect of the development at the former Ovaltine factory on village school and medical facilities and traffic density.	Mr Peter Hiscock	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes There should be maximum protection for public lands such as Bunkers Park and Boxmoor Trust Land. Bunkers Park was created to replace the lost park of Jarmans Fields.	Mr Paul Harris	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, all in Tring Rural Parish Council (Wilstone and Long Marston). Our Parish Plan specifically rejects any developments over 10 dwellings as detrimental.	Mrs Abby Fermont	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, developing housing next to M1 will bring health problems	Mr Peter Webber	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, Green Belt and park land, nature reserves must be protected for future generations. Hemel has been developed by much more than it should have been since the end of the war, protect what we have now. Stand up to the government. We don't even have enough resources for the current size of the town, closure of the hospital would be suicide.	Mr Mark Stephens	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, lg36. lg40 traffic congestion, services will be effected	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, local resources won't cope with further developments	Mrs P.A Fulton	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, NH10 - should be removed. Part of my garden and the land is not for sale. Also you will read Longdean Residents Assoc. approval to cross their land for access. LG36/LG4e- this will destroy Leverstock Green Village	Mr Simon Dewar	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, sites in the Leverstock Green area should be excluded until the future of Buncefield has been decided.	Mr david richard norris	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, the majority of green belt should be retained where possible, as well as existing park and recreational land	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes, Windmill Road Allendale. They have already had extra housing imposed on them	Mrs . Fletcher	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes.	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. All the sites in Kings Langley.	Mr Peter Butterick	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Green Belt surrounding Westwick Row due to lack of infrastructure.	Mr Ron Starbrook	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. See Parish Plan. Some sites shown have already been developed.	Mrs Dawn Slade	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. All Green Belt and surrounding village areas.	Miss Sarah Young	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Delivery of sites is the key. These sites should only be considered if the tests in PPS3 are met for deliverable sites.	Mr Gary Durden	Mr David Ames

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Doesn't believe Bovingdon can support any extra housing due to infrastructure problems. Closure of the fire station increases risk factors.	Mrs K.F. Banks	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Exclude Windmill Road and Allandale both of which have already been over developed.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Generally, each identified site should be the subject of thorough consideration through the Site Allocations DPD process to justify their inclusion. Consideration should be given to whether or not the sites are deliverable and their suitability for residential redevelopment. Not all PDL may be suitable or available for development.	Mr Roger Mildred	Mrs Sarah King
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Infrastructure will not cope.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Land designated for existing Hemel Hempstead hospital and further additional development, including creche and staff housing.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. LG36, LG40, NM10	Mr John Taylor	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Site Ref. NM10 - Part of this land is owned by Longdean Park Residents' Association Limited and part by private individuals. The land is protected by restrictive covenants re building.	Mr Allan Sellers	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. St Albans District Council land between Hemel Hempstead and Redbourn.	Mr Keith Burgess	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. This area cannot cope with the numbers of houses being proposed.	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Westwick Row, Leverstock Green - Green Belt land which would have a lack of infrastructure.	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Where development could lead to the merging of two settlements.	Mr Graeme Free	Mr Graeme Free
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Exclude development at Windmill Road and Allandale Road to prevent even further overdevelopment.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Green Belt of Crown Property land around Leverstock Green due to lack of infrastructure	Mr Ron Starbrook	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Love Lane, Kings Langley. Hill Farm, kings Langley.	Mr J Biggs	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Make no allowance for windfalls in first 10 years (ref para 59 PPS3). A common site size threshold (throughout the subregion) is desirable. Certain land uses, e.g. allotments, school grounds may not have been addressed in an urban capacity study. Annex C PPS3 advises that a strategic housing land availability study should be undertaken, and studies need to determine whether sites are available, deliverable and acceptable.	Mr Paul Cronk	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. NM10 is owned by the Residents' Association. On 23/1/07 it was agreed that the RA would not dispose of the land. Futhermore homeowners in Silverthorn Drive are prevented from building any additional house on their sites. Our legal advice is that site NM10 is not a candidate for compulsory purchase and will not become available for housing.	Mr Peter Lardi	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. Some of the sites, e.g. in Wilstone are inappropriate.	Mr Peter Foster	
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes. The Council should also review the portfolio of sites within the Urban Capacity Study.		Ms Caroline Harper
9	Question 9: Exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study	Yes: Any where site descriptions contain warning phrases that indicate that their development would be detrimental to wildlife, the amenity and enjoyment of neighbouring properties and/or informal leisure use. These phrases are: "Surrounded by residential" "Unused space surrounding elderly persons home" "Densely wooded"	Mr Gruff Edwards	
10				
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	In relation to the villages, Tring and Berkhamsted: No	Mr James Elphinstone	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Robert Spence	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr John Baldwin	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr michael wallis	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	no	Prof Paul Cross	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr A Bolino	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Sean Young	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Paul Young	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr G Rushton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Diana Spence	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr John N. Lawson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Barry Peach	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr John Forecast	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr * McClelland	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs - Cox	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Ms Claire Covington	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Ann Johnson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr James Williams	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	* Bratt	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Colin Neal	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Ron Starbrook	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Ron Starbrook	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Espley	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr P.M. Clark	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Graham Christie	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Charlton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr - Grieve	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr David Browning	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Susan -	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs - Russell	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Kim Webster	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr J W Neale	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr J Biggs	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs S Gouch	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No - we should include all sites however small which all add to the total requirement.	Clir M A Clark	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No because land could be conveniently parcelled into land that could accommodate less than 10 units	Mr Marc Boucherat	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No, do not agree	Mr Colin Westwood	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No.	MR Kelvin Archer	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No.	Mr Purkiss	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No. Any sites that can help without impacting on green space or brownfield sites are worthy of consideration if they provide suitable housing and 'tidy up' and improve an otherwise untidy dilapidated area.	Mr Mark Stephens	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No. Listing all gives early warning of potential development	Mr Keith Favell	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No. Good potential for smaller infill sites e.g. in Greenacres and Leverstock Green Road.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No. Infil causes neighbour disputes	Mrs - Norwood	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No. Sites should be available, suitable and achievable as per PPS3.		Ms Caroline Harper
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Only new housing sites which have the potential to accommodate 10 or more units should be identified.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Only sites of ten or more dwellings may be allocated despite the Urban Capacity identifying large sites as these of 5 dwellings or more. This suggests that the authority may already have evidence identifying smaller sites which could be allocated, and which could provide greater certainty around delivery of those sites and where development will occur relative to them being brought forward as windfalls. We remind you of paragraph 59 in PPS3: Housing which states that allowances for windfalls should not be included in the first 10 years of land supply unless Local Planning Authorities can provide robust evidence of genuine local circumstances that prevent specific sites being identified.	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	No	Mr Espley	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Some districts are of the view that many small sites, sometimes of less than 10 units, can make a considerable contribution to the dwelling provision within the District. It may be more appropriate to look at a threshold of five units.	Mr Russell Monck	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Surely all sites should be included. Every extra housing unit reduces the deficit.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	With so many people now living alone, studio flat accommodation would be ideal. You could accommodate alot of people in a small area, preferably in town and save a lot of land.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Michael Emmett	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr norman jones	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Julian Mincham	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Lord Corbett	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr David Bates	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr A Stephenson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr A Stephenson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr D M Farquharson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Will Hodgson	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Cllr . Taunton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermont	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Peter Kloss	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Simon Roberts	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Simon Roberts	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Graeme Free	Mr Graeme Free
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Michael Rachlin	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Dr Roy King	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Keith Burgess	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Peter Foster	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Ena Hartland	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Stuart Foster	Mr Peter Lawson
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Dawn Slade	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Tony Tollinton	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes		Mr David Reaside
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes - Agree that site of 10 or more only are identified. This is a more appropriate size to justify formal housing allocations and will reduce the complexity/time required to progress the DPD.	Mr Roger Mildred	Mrs Sarah King
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes - building should be restricted	Miss Sara Constable	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes but not on green belt land	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes, but not on Green Belt land	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes, that seems a sensible way to limit the work involved while producing useful planning information.	Mr Tim Bourne	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mr Gary Durden	Mr David Ames
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mr Peter Such	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.	Mr N Aitchison	
10	Question 10: Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
11				
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	4 Through to 11 i.e. West and North	Mrs . Fletcher	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	All, with the exception of Silverthorn Drive.	Mrs caroline garnett	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	brownfield	Prof Paul Cross	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Duck Hall Farm, Bovingdon. The adjacent landowner has agreed that the boundaries of the proposed site could be extended to cover a total area of approximately 8.1 ha. This is an area we are now promoting for release from the Green Belt.	Mr Tim Warrell	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Fields End Lane, Hemel Hempstead. (Additional information included with submission).	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Graeme Free
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Full support is given to the release of (core strategy) sites 6 and 7. These sites to the w and NW of the town are well related to the town centre and rail station and fit well within the landscape structure.	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Hill Farm, Love Lane, Kings Langley	Mrs Jill Kenny	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	It is noted that a number of sites identified for potential housing development in appendix B of the document would affect existing playing fields. Whilst acknowledging that many of the potential housing sites will not be progressed further by the Council following this consultation, Sport England would wish to confirm its opposition to the allocation of any existing playing fields for new housing development unless it could be demonstrated to our satisfaction that there was no longer a need to retain sites for playing field use or satisfactory replacement provision was made through related site allocations in the DPD.	Mr Roy Warren	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	New and/or upgraded Sewage Treatment Works may be required in certain areas depending on potential large-scale housing development. The following sites are within, or serve areas within Dacorum Borough: Maple Lodge, Markyate, Caddington, Studham and Great Missenden. Impact studies are required to assess the impact any significant development would have on the relevant Sewage Treatment Works and to identify if any upgrades are required.	Ms Georgie Cook	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Guy Patterson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr A Bolino	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Michael Tate	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Peter Balding	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Miss Sara Constable	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr G Rushton	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr David Nobbs	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr P Rabson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr William Revolta	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Lord . Corbett	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	MR * FIELDING	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr P L Ammann	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr David Bates	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr - Stillwell	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Barry Peach	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr A Stephenson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr * McClelland	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Colin Evans	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs - Cox	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	* Bratt	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Colin Neal	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Espley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr C A Goman	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Graham Christie	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Robert Bell	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Charlton	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Wigmore	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs JP Millis	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr - Grieve	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr J Tarbit	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr David Browning	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Susan -	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs - Russell	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs - Barradone	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Paul Harris	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Dawn Slade	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr J W Neale	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr J Biggs	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Tony Tollinton	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs S Gouch	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr * Playle	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr * Raggatt	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Clr M A Clark	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No development of Green Belt	Mrs Fiona Guest	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No, particularly the Rectory Farm site because of -erosion of the Green Belt -mergiong of settlements -visual and environmental impact of Gade Valley -building on flood plain -diminishing of recreational value e.g. Grand Union Canal walk, and fishing lake where the swans and other rare birds live and visit -impact on roads, and local services that are already stretched due to recent development	Mr Marc Boucherat	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No, but would oppose any development in the Green Belt.	Mr david richard norris	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Mr Gary Durden	Mr David Ames
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Mr Robert Foster	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Mr Purkiss	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No. Support concentrating development proposals in sustainable locations thereby reducing the need to travel by private car. Potential impact of accumulated traffic is considered to be significant on A5 at Markyate and Junction 20 M25 at Kings Langley, if potential sites in Appendix 1 come forward. M/h2 and M/h4 are adjacent to the trunk road and would impact directly on it. Markyate is not a suitable location for this type of residential development unless public transport facilities are improved. The effect of the other possible Markyate housing sites would individually be minimal. The effect of possible housing/leisure sites at Kings Langley would also individually be minimal. Capacity issues are forecast on M1, M25 and A5 (Markyate) even without new development locally.	Mr Tony Potter	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No. I support small sites that afford no hindrance to existing residents and which they themselves would be happy to identify.	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No. It all depends on reviews of Buncefield, hospital, existing schools. Without these its is useless proceeding.	Mr W H C Saxon	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No. KL/h1 (Hill Farm, Kings Langley) should have no developemnt allowed at all. Access is on a bend with busy school traffic (20mph zone). Site is a farm - this development would make operation of the farm impossible and may lead to further development by the owners.	Mr Keith Favell	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No. T/h2 and T/h6 (sites at Marshcroft Farm) are not appropriate for housing development. Both are green field sites within the Green Belt, and located within an Area of Archaeological Significance. Development would extend Tring beyond its natural north eastern boundary. T/h2 has no road access, and Marshcroft Lane would be unsuitable. Additional traffic would exacerbate safety issues on Grove Road. Scale of T/h6 is wholly inappropriate because surrounding road structure would be inadequate to cope. New schools and other facilities would be required. The proposed development (with T/e2) would cut away half the buffer zone between Tring and Pitstone.	Mr George Bull	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	None	Mr James Williams	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	None spring to mind	Mr Mark Stephens	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	No	Mr Espley	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes Any of the sites listed on P9 of the site allocations development plan document summary	Mrs Katherine Berman	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Rather than looking at brownfield / greenfield look at infrastructure and community impact. Greenfield sites, if not 'Grade A' Agricultural land near railway stations, shopping facilities, major roads (eg Manor Estate / Shendish, land near Tring station) are preferrable to poorly served / congested brownfield sites with employment potential. Also, need to take Buncefield exclusion zone into account	Mr Peter Kloss	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	See attached comments relating to the support and objection of various sites listed in the Schedule of Site Appraisals	Cllr Alan Anderson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Site H/h42 is bounded by two other suggested sites H/h32 and H/h68. We would strongly support this site as a sensible option to enable the strategic growth of Hemel Hempstead. (Additional plan submitted separately).	Mr stephen healey	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Sites O/h6 and O/h1. Mixed use redevelopment of Bourne End Mills would resolve the uncomfortable relationship between commercial activities and adjacent residential properties. A mixed use scheme represents an opportunity to resolve both functional and environmental issues the village needs to address, e.g. shops and services which will be self sustaining.	**	Mr Paul O'Neil
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	The criterion for our support is that open land is not built on, so I do not support e.g. "Green Belt to Residential"	Mr Gruff Edwards	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	The full Regional Strategy allocation should be regarded as a minimum level of provision. Certain sources of housing supply are referred to: i.e. subdivision of existing housing, flats over shops, empty homes, intensification of existing areas, redevelopment of existing housing, development of car parks, conversion of commercial buildings to housing, unimplemented planning permissions, existing housing allocations, redevelopment of existing other uses (primarily employment), allotments, open space and playing fields. Caveats are raised in estimating their contribution to housing supply: namely, no allowance should be made for windfalls in the first 10 years; calculations should only cover the (net) additional dwellings; sites must be available and deliverable; open land sites are unlikely to be replaceable; site constraints must be identified and action indicated to overcome them (ref Annex C in PPS 3). In assessing the capacity of sites, density indicators must be realistic. Housing for families, which is an important need, may well be at a lower level of density. Furthermore, a minimum density of 30dph should not be ascribed to a site if it is inappropriate on design im	Mr Paul Cronk	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	The Kodak Tower site is one, although the current application is still too badly designed, and could house the same square footage in a much better way.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	This submission seeks the allocation of Blegberry, Shootersway, Berkhamsted (Ref: Be/h6) for residential development. It is close to the H37 site already allocated in the adopted Local Plan and would contribute towards meeting a local housing need in the event that not all growth is focused at Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Terry O'Brien	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Tring Town Council, as its owner, wishes to point out that site T/h13 is not "Cattle Market, Brook Street" but "Tring Market Place, Brook Street". It has not been used as a cattle market for over 15 years and is now laid out and functioning as a general market place. Its use for housing was ruled out after the Inspector's decision following the Public Inquiry into the last Dacorum Local Plan.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	We should concentrate in maximising vacant sites in Maylands Avenue, Bovingdon Airfield and Jarmans Park.	Mr John Forecast	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	We wish to endorse land to the south of Icknield Way, previously suggested in our submission dated 1 June 2006 and included in appendix 2 of this DPD under site ref T/h4. Please note that we believe this site is suitable for either housing or employment or both, and could also deliver substantial public open space.	Mr Michael Emmett	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	With reference to comment 2516 consideration of Brownfield sites must always take preference to Green field sites. Yes infrastructure is an issue but redevelopment can be the cause of improvement.	Mr Tim Varley	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	With so many people now living alone, studio flat accommodation would be ideal. You could accommodate alot of people in a small area, preferably in town and save a lot of land.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	x	Mrs caroline garnett	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes	Mrs Kim Webster	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - A new neighbourhood at Pouchen End, West Hemel Hempstead	Mr Alan Proctor	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - Be/h3 - Lock Field, New Road, Northchurch. We support the allocation of the land on the basis that it may provide impetus to redevelop the derelict Sea Scout building (which lies immediatly to the west of the site) for our use. If the developer could be encouraged to incorporate the land where the derelict Sea Scout building stands into their site, there could be the opportunity for a new building to be provided as part of the overall proposal.	Mr Peter Watson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - Core strategy sites 4 though to 11, West and North	Mr Paul Fletcher	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - H/h44, H/h45, H/h49 (past east of Fletcher Way) and H/h63	Mr P.S. Thring	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - No. 13, 14a, 14b, 14c	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - redevelopment of the Sappi site in Nash Mills	Cllr Lucy Foster	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - Site O/h7 Wilstone Bridge	Mr Tim Amsden	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - TH9, Land at Miswell Lane, Tring. The site is currently allocated for employment use, but has not come forward in that plan period. Given the location of the site within Tring, its proximity to adjacent residential development and the town centre, re-allocation to housing is considered to be appropriate and preferable. It represents an obvious residential opportunity. See also the submission in respect of Q22.	Mr Roger Mildred	Mrs Sarah King
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - The Council have identified land at Pouchen End (West Hemel Hempstead) as having potential for residential development within teh Growth at Hemel Hempstead Strategy Paper.		Anna Gillings

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - the Council supports change of designation of T/h1 Land rear of Western Road, Tring from Employment to Residential/Mixed Use. This is the land encompassed by a Concept Statement to enable coherent housing development to take place.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - The mixed retail / employment sites between Lawn Lane, Corner Hall and Two Waters Road.	Mr Trevor Church	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - The West Herts College Site at Marlowes - it is a brownfield site in a sustainable urban town centre location.		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - Those which are already built up communities and not connected directly to green belt i.e. Core strategy sites 8, 9, 10 and 11.	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes - West Herts two small pockets of land NW of Fields End Estate	Mr Mark Gardener	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes and we consider Rectory Farm to be of significant environmental impact and also a green field site	Mr Marc Boucherat	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes those which are already built up communities and are not directly building on green belt ie core strategy sites 8, 9 and 2	Mrs Woodham	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes An industrial estate situated on the western side of Hicks Road, Markyate town centre		Mr Graham Murdoch
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes Frogmore Road as it is not best suited to modern day business needs being accessed by largely residential or mixed-use roads and not sufficiently close to the major business concentrations.		Mr Chris Palmer
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes Frogmore Road as it is not best suited to modern day business needs being accessed mainly by residential or mixed-use roads and not being sufficiently close to the major business concentrations.		Mr Chris Palmer
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes Site 1 - Two Waters East - on the corner of Two Waters Way, Two Waters Road and London Road. Site 2 - London Road Boxmoor (H/h 71) Both sites are in good proximity to the town centre and public transport and are significantly closer than proposed development on the eastern edge.	Mr Will Hodgson	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes Sunderlands Yard (KI/h1) - an eyesore whose redevelopment would at least give something back to the village - i.e. housing in recompense for nuisance from lorry traffic.	Mr Peter Hiscock	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes, A new Neighbourhood at Pouchen End. West HH (Proposal included)	Mr Derek Proctor	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes, Berkhamsted has a tightly defined settlement boundary and a dense urban form which limits the opportunity for residential development within the town. This is clear from the list of housing sites identified in the Council's Urban Capacity Study at Appendix A to the issues paper, where capacity for just 56 units is identified for the period to 2021. However, there is significant demand for new housing within the town and this lack of supply has resulted in the town becoming one of the most expensive in the UK in which to purchase a house, with serious knock-on implications for the labour market and other sustainability issues. Owing to these problems a sustainable urban extension to the town should be considered, with site Be/H2 promoted at an earlier stage in the LDF process on behalf of Legal and General Assurance Society Ltd on land south of Berkhamsted representing the most sustainable option for which we affirm support.	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes, site Be/h9 - Land Adj to Hilltop Road, Ashlyns School site to be released for housing.	Mr Garrick Stevens	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Bov/h2 - land off Louise Walk, Bovingdon	* Mr & Mrs E J West	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. 4 through to 11 (west and north of Hernel) i.e. away from St Albans.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Any of the urban sites listed on page 9 of the Site Allocations Development Plan document summary.	Mr Mark Berman	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Be/h5 and Be/c1.		Mr David Reaside
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Longdean School has land that in the past they have been willing to release for housing that would not detract from the existing playing fields.	Dr Roy King	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Marchmont Farm (site 9) as it has already been identified in a previous Plan Review.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Marchmont Farm as it has already been identified in a previous Plan Review. The owners are willing and indeed are seeking to support the re-zoning of this land.	Mr N Aitchison	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Marcmont Farm, Hemel Hempstead (site 9).		Mr R. M Sellwood
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Nash Mills 'SAPPI' housing, but enough.	Mrs - Norwood	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. The Leverstock Green Lawn Tennis Club at Grasmere Close would consider their site (approx. 1.2 hectares) being redesignated for housing development and the Tennis Club being relocated.	Mr Stuart Foster	Mr Peter Lawson
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. There would be planning merit in allowing development to release an industrial use from a brownfield site and use for housing.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Waterside Way, land north of Icknield Way, Tring should be identified in the Preferred Options. It will help to meet shortfall in affordable housing (possible 264 units). Would be prepared to discuss a mix of uses, should the need arise for additional employment land. Sustainable location for shops and services, schools, public transport, employment.		Mr Stephen Harris
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. 1-13 Frogmore Road Hemel Hempstead. 74-78 Wood Lane End/		Ms Caroline Harper
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. A new neighbourhood at Pouchen End West Hemel Hempstead.	Mrs P M Proctor	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. A new neighbourhood at Pouchen End, west Hemel Hempstead.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Great Road. Deaconsfield Road. Fetherbed Lane. St. Albans Hill. Silverthorn Drive. Silkmill Way.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. H/h 55 Martindale Primary School (up to 50 dwellings) H/h 56 Pixies Hill JMI School (47 dwellings, whole site; 27 if confined to existing footprint) H/h 57 Barncroft Primary School (up to 52 dwellings, whole site; 22 if confined to existing footprint) H/h 58 Jupiter Drive JMI School (17 dwellings, confined to existing footprint)		Mr Richard Lewis
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. H/h40 - Wider Gorhambury Estate, Hemel Hempstead H/h63 - Land beside M1, Hemel Hempstead H/h 22- Land at Three Cherry Trees Lane (change from employment to residential) H/L2, H/h70, H/h74, and H/L2 - Land immediately north of Westwick Farm (for residential). This is supported as part of a wider allocation incorporating the existing Westwick Farm allocation. Retention of existing sites: H/h26 (Land south of Redbourn Road H/h27 (Buncefield Lane) H/h28 (Westwick Farm) H/h29 (Land at Three Cherry Trees Lane - residential)	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. H/h65 Land North of Gadebridge Be/h1 Ivy House Lane H/h62 Pouchen End	Mr Graeme Free	Mr Graeme Free
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Lockfield, New Road, Northchurch (Be/h3).		Mr Neil Goate
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. Sites 4-11 to prevent Hemel joining with St Albans.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
11	Question 11: Particular new sites for consideration	Yes. The former Kodak site H/h 53. It is an opportunity for mixed use development and would greatly assist in the wider regeneration of Hemel Hempstead Town Centre and act as a catalyst for inward investment.	Ms Rachel Allwood	
12				
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Certainly this is the correct approach - the development of greenfield sites should be avoided at all costs. In contrast, the development of brownfield sites can be positive for the town.	Mr John Rutherford	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Green Belt designation should not be regarded as a key environmental designation. It is still an important designation but as the quality of some small areas of GB land has been undermined by adjoining development or arbitrary revision of its boundaries, there should be a separate qualitative review where a proposal is put forward that includes GB land.	Mr James Elphinstone	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	It is planning policy to develop existing brown field sites rather than encroach on existing Green Belt land. The proposals to change the designated use of land in KL H3 and KL L1 from Green Belt to residential or leisure to recreational breaks this policy.	Mr Marc Boucherat	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Just brownfield.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	New neighbourhoods will produce large numbers of dwellings needed to reach housing figures/these will need to be phased over a number of years and should be given priority.	Mr Derek Proctor	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	no	Prof Paul Cross	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mr John Taylor	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mr * McClelland	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mr Graham Christie	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mrs JP Millis	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No	Mrs S Gouch	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No - Brownfield sites and Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty should be included. Places like Bunkers Lane open space (i.e. amenity Land) that should remain untouched.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No - Hemel should be exempt from any further development.	Mr John Forecast	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No - I think careful consideration should be given regarding building on open spaces within the town and villages. i.e. playing fields etc. If every open space is built on Hemel Hempstead will lose its character and just become an urban sprawl.	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No - Prioritisation of sites should be based upon a more complex consideration/scoring of all relevant criteria, including PDL status, sustainability appraisal, deliverability and environmental issues. Paragraph 38 of PPS3 refers.	Mr Roger Mildred	Mrs Sarah King
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No - The figures have to be reached and neighbourhoods are the best and logical way of achieving the number needed. These should be started as soon as possible.	Mr Alan Proctor	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No - we need all the green belt to stay	Mr Terence Buckle	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No Brownfield and AONB should be included. Bunkers Park should be protected.	Mrs . Fletcher	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No development of Green Belt	Mrs Fiona Guest	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No I do not agree with or approve of any development of green belt land	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No Any extra housing should be accommodated on brownfield sites	Mr Paul Harris	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No Hemel Hempstead should be balanced both physically and socially and currently the focus on the east side of town will further distort the town make up away from the town centre and public transport.	Mr Will Hodgson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No, Hemel Hempstead is already at optimum size	Mr James Williams	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No, we acknowledge that major environmental constraints have been identified by referring to the AONB and SSSIs etc. However, these are national designations and do not reflect wider ecological resources. As such we consider that Wildlife sites should be referred to, if not to discount them, at least to highlight their values and requirement for compensation and /or mitigation if affected adversely by development proposals.	Mr Martin Hicks	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No, we acknowledge that major environmental constraints have been identified on an international and national level - but sites of county and borough importance have not been considered - such as Wildlife Sites, their value and requirement for compensation and mitigation must be considered if affected.	Miss Carol Lodge	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No.	Mr Adrian Lee	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Depends on reviews of Buncefield, hospital, existing schools. Without these it is useless proceeding.	Mr W H C Saxon	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Do not know what they are	Mr A Bolino	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Hemel Hempstead is being swamped by the mass of new housing	Mr P L Ammann	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Housing sites should only go forward for planning after residents affected have been consulted.	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Insufficient knowledge of sites.	Mrs * Sheldrake	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. KL/h5 (Hill Farm, Love Lane) could be seen as a brownfield site, but it is next to a Major developed Greenfield Site. Once a few houses are built on this site there is a significant risk of further encroachment of Green Belt up to the A41.	Mr J W Neale	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Too much Green Belt lost	Mrs Joy Buckle	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Agree with brown belt development. Also some small developments within Chilterns Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty should be allowed. Amenity areas of edge of towns must not, and should not be developed i.e. Bunkers Park.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Although the principles are sound, the criteria should recognise sustainability issues, which prioritise some greenfield sites.	Mr Gary Durden	Mr David Ames
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Because under 'windfall' provision smaller sites should also be considered.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Does not agree with or approve of any development of Green Belt land	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. More open meetings for all Dacorum tax paying Council residents.	Mrs - Norwood	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. There is inadequate brownfield land available.	Mr N Aitchison	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Whilst Government policy encourages as the first preference the development of previously developed land, the reality in Dacorum is that in order to achieve the RSS housing provision both previously developed and greenfield sites will need to be brought forward from the start of the plan period.		Mr R. M Sellwood
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Allow brownfield development, some development in villages. No to development on edge of Hemel. No change of use to Bunkers Park.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Given the minimum housing numbers in the Proposed Changes to the regional plan, and the need for a significant step change in completions from 2006 onwards, brownfield and greenfield sites will need to come forward together to ensure supply. Overreliance on phasing and bringing capacity sites forward first is likely to result in problems meeting the minimum completion rates. Crown Estate agrees that sites with a detrimental impact on key environmental designations or a poor sustainability assessment should be discounted, but the approach used for sustainability appraisal is rather simplistic. Overall sustainability (including regeneration and delivery of transport facilities) should be considered rather than emphasising particular designations.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Larger neighbourhoods will need to be phased over a long period, will need to be spread over a number of years. Brownfield sites are now more scarce in Hemel Hempstead and are a lower percentage of total housing figures.	Mrs P M Proctor	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. New neighbourhood will create the numbers needed and should be made a priority.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. Not if includes removing land from the Green Belt and land in Conservation Areas to be built on.	Mr J Biggs	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	No. The sequential test referred to is no longer applicable as such, given the advent of PPS3 which emphasises the importance of ensuring that required housing numbers are actually delivered.	Mr Paul Cronk	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Not really, brown field sites will be proposed and developed according to financial viability. This is not the planners expertise.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Q12 Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Q12 Yes	Mr Espley	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	The authority will need to ensure that the allocation of sites is consistent with the Core Strategy	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	The Council agrees with the suggested approach to prioritising new sites.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	The County Council is supportive of the approach to prioritising new sites but would point to the guidance contained within the recently published Planning Policy Statement 3 that now supersedes PPG3 mentioned in the consultation document.	Mr Russell Monck	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	The DPD's approach to prioritising sites appears to follow the old sequential test of PPG3 whereas it should now embrace the guidance provided by PPS3, notably paragraph 38. The use of vacant and derelict sites is just one criterion and there is no explicit priority given to other forms of PDL.	Mr Michael Emett	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	The site allocations that currently recognise that development may take place in the flood zone should be avoided at all costs.	Deborah Coates	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	The site selection process is supported. Consideration of site Be/H2 against these criteria shows there would not be a detrimental impact on any key environmental designations (AONB, SSSI and SAMS). The site also scores well in terms of sustainability assessment as set out on the schedule of site appraisals document	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	This is the appropriate approach: the development of greenfield sites should be avoided at all costs whilst development of brownfield sites should be maximised..	Mr michael wallis	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Whilst this is the correct approach, some greenfield sites may prove more sustainable on the future.	Mr Graeme Free	Mr Graeme Free
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr norman jones	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Sean Young	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr David Bates	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr A Stephenson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Colin Evans	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Simon Roberts	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Dr Roy King	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Robin Charlton	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Espley	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Peter Foster	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr - Grieve	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr David Browning	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Kim Webster	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Dawn Slade	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Tony Tollinton	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr * Playle	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Joyce Gray	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes - discounting new sites put forward if they would have a detrimental impact on the Chilterns AONB is supported.	Mr Colin White	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes - If only brownfield sites	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes, brownfield sites must be used ahead of greenfield sites.	Mr Neil Barford	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes, but we must protect employment sites for local employment and green fields for what they are. The former will be critical in years to come vis-a-vis traffic congestion and pollution. Too many employment sites in Hemel have been turned into housing in recent years, so the local population drive to other towns or take the train into London. Take action now.	Mr Mark Stephens	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes, only brownfield sites	Mrs P.A Fulton	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes, should rule out those local to Wilstone/Long Marston	Mrs Abby Fermont	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes. But keep to a minimum. We cannot sustain this increased level of housing.	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes. Brownfield sites.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes. There is inadequate brownfield land available.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes. Brownfield sites should be prioritised for new housing development and surplus employment locations should be brought forward for housing, where there is no demonstrable need for employment floorspace.		Ms Miranda Struthers
12	Question 12: Prioritising new sites	Yes. We support the Council's approach to prioritising brownfield Land		Ms Caroline Harper
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	As noted in our response to Q11, our site at Icknield Way, Tring is suggested as appropriate for a mix of housing and employment.	Mr Michael Emett	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Bovingdon Airfield is overlooked as a prime housing site with appropriate access opportunities and the totality of the discussion ignores necessary infrastructure realities. Encroachment into the main areas of the Bulbourne and Gade valleys prejudices what is best about Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Peter Ablett	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Broadwater/Football Club site - Close to Berkhamsted Town Centre and Railway Station. As such this is a highly sustainable site for new dwellings. The Borough Council and the freeholder will wish to consider how best to include this site in a consortium arrangement to lease land at Long Green for public community recreation.	Mr Garrick Stevens	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Field adjoining Fields End Farm Estate: - the use of the site for residential development would not harm the character of Fiedls End Lane - nor would it have an undue impact on the openness of the Green Belt - nor would it harm any of the relevant purposes of the Green Belt as set out in PPG2 para 1.5. The Council, in the Core Strategies Issues and Options Paper, have identified potential sites adjacent for possible future development (at Pouchen End and north of Gadebridge).	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Graeme Free
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Given the projected housing growth for Hemel Hempstead proposed in the East of England plan, it is clear that no suitable land will be available within the present boundaries for the settled community, let alone gypsies and travellers. However, accepting that the Council has little room for manoeuvre in this matter, small scale integration on previously developed land would seem to be the answer. So, yes to all three questions. Under no circumstances, should Green Belt land be made available to gypsies or travellers or, indeed, any other development.	Mr david richard norris	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	I would like to resubmit a request for the release of land at 'Hanburys', Shootersway, Berkhamsted, HP4 3NG.	Mr D. Brightman	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	In the event that greenfield releases prove to be necessary to accommodate whatever the final scale of growth contained in the East of England Plan is, then we request that the merits of HCC land at Gadebridge North should be considered as part of a comprehensive assessment of sites with all other greenfield sites.	Mr Matthew Wood	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Jarmans Park hotel site. Boxmoor House School, West Herts College, Town Centre.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Land at Fields End Lane, Hemel Hempstead. See my report of January 2007.	Mr Graeme Free	Mr Graeme Free
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Land south of Grand Union Canal, Billet Lane, Berkhamsted. (Details and plan submitted seperately).		Mr Martin Friend
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	New site in centre of Bourne End village. Emphasis would be on sustainability, with all houses making use of rain water, solar heating / power, exceed current insulation targets and extensive planting of native species in the finished scheme. The houses would be designed along a traditional theme and would take their features from local examples, including building materials. The plot is bordered on 3 sides by developed land and a proposed development here would allow 'infill' without altering the balance of Bourne End village.	Mr Adam Rowe	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Michael Tate	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Balding	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Miss Sara Constable	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr G Rushton	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr David Nobbs	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr P Rabson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Lord . Corbett	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	MR * FIELDING	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Woodham	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr P L Ammann	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr David Bates	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Barry Peach	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr A Stephenson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr John Forecast	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr * McClelland	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Colin Evans	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Cllr . Taunton	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs - Cox	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Dr Roy King	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr James Williams	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Lewis Terry	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	* Bratt	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Colin Neal	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Espley	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr C A Goman	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Foster	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Robert Bell	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Charlton	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Wigmore	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs JP Millis	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr - Grieve	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr David Browning	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Susan -	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs - Russell	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Dawn Slade	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr J W Neale	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr J Biggs	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs S Gouch	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr * Playle	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Anne Duvall	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr * Raggatt	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Cllr M A Clark	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No development of Green Belt	Mrs Fiona Guest	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No I believe the Council has put forward a very full range of options.	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No, we support the proposed sustainable urban extension site on land south of Berkhamsted (Be/H2), promoted on behalf of our client Legal & General Assurance Society Ltd at an earlier stage in the LDF review. Development of this site would make Berkhamsted a more self contained and sustainable community consistent with government guidance, relieving localised traffic congestion, providing substantial additional housing including affordable, to meet local needs and address the current market imbalance, improving the provision of open space and recreational facilities and providing high quality urban design. It also represents a more sustainable approach compared with directing all development towards the comprehensive expansion on constrained sites around Hemel Hempstead	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No.	Mrs Margaret Illott	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No.	Mr Purkiss	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No. Depends on reviews of Buncefield, hospital, existing schools. Without these its is useless proceeding.	Mr W H C Saxon	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No. The Crown Estate has set out its preferences for greenfield land to the east of Hemel Hempstead and for its smaller sites in the existing urban area..	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	None I am aware of	Mr Mark Stephens	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	None that I am aware of at present.	Mr david richard norris	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	On the question of any other Green Belt land release, inadequate information has been provided in regards to a proper cost benefit analysis of each site.	Mr N Aitchison	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	No	Mr Easley	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	The Council considers there are no other identifiable sites within Tring that should be considered.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Throughtout Watford and Hertfordshire there are many new offices that have not been occupied since they have been built, obviously there is a surplus to requirement and these could be considered for change of use	Mr Marc Boucherat	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	We notes that at this stage Green Belt section and the identification of sites in the Schedule do not respond to potential growth at Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	When the answers to buncefield are received, and if the result means that sites 13 on your map can be built upon, this is the only site to be recommended.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - Bovingdon airfield	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - Breakspear House, Hemel Hempstead for mixed use retail, residential and employment (see attached plan and site assessment)		Caroline Dawson
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - Build on the old Lucas and Kodak sites. This can then be used for Leverstock Green's Housing contribution.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - Land at Pouchen End Farm and in particular the southern section. (see attached information and plans submitted separately).		Anna Gillings
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - Lucas and Caravan Club sites, subject to HSE recommendations	Mr John Baldwin	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - The combined Dacorum & St Albans Coty Councils should consider the Golf Course site the the junction off the M1 and St Albans Road A4147	Mr Graham Christie	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - The National Film and Television Archive, Berkhamsted (see site plan and information attached)		Mr Anthony Plumbly
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - The old Lucas site on the Maylands Industrial estate. This should be used only for housing - there could be road access on to the dual carrageway and out on to the M1	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes - The playing field site north of Gadebridge Lane and south of Link Road if it becomes surplus to requiremants (see attached plan)		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes to all three criteria	Mrs Sheila Daley	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes Bovingdon Airfield could be considered	Mr Paul Harris	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes Site 1 - Two waters East Site 2 - London Road Boxmoor They should be seriously considered for the contribution they could make to residential and a mixed-use development in the case of Two Waters East.	Mr Will Hodgson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes, at Durrants Lane, Berkhamsted. See plan reference DurrantsQ9, sent under separate cover.	Mr James Elphinstone	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes, Old Lucas and Kodax site in Maylands, this will count for Leverstock Greens allocation	Mrs . Fletcher	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes, Rear of Berkhamsted Civic Centre	Mr Colin Westwood	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Any greenfield site not in the Green Belt	Mr Graeme Berry	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. land adjacent to Bov/h2 (r/o Green lane and Austins Mead, Bovingdon)	* Mr & Mrs E J West	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. R/O 114-139 Piccotts End (Old infirmary yard). Previous site for 9 houses (demolished 1955). See planning refusal 4/01677/FUL for details.	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Surplus land within the Frogmore Mill site for such purposes	Mr Peter Burford	Mr Henry Clarke
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Greenhills Day Centre, Tenzing Road, Hemel Hempstead. Being vacated as part of "The Way We Work" office rationalisation programme. Capacity 60 x 1 and 2 bedroom flats. Land at Chaulden Lane and Gadebridge, Hemel Hempstead (former school playing fields) also identified as being located in the vicinity of Core Strategy Development Sites (Pouchen End and North of Gadebridge)		Mr Richard Lewis
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Lucas Aerospace/Kodak brownfield site for residential development.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. More sites should be considered. Apart from the Governments growth requirements for Hemel Hempstead, the Council should be aware that the expansion of Luton Airport will act as a catalyst for futher development within Luton and the surrounding areas such as Dacorum Borough.		Mr Nick Guildford
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. North East of England!	Miss Sarah Young	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Residential development adj to Station, London Rd, Hemel Hempstead and off Springfield Road, Berkhamsted (see attached maps).	Mr Steve Austin	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Site of former Three Horseshoes Garage, Leverstock Green Village Centre - consider for retirement or starter home apartments (H/h24)	Mr Peter Hobson	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Tennis Club relocation to periphery of Leverstock Green (Greenfield site)	Mr Stuart Foster	Mr Peter Lawson
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. The surrounding land to the coachworks would be appropriate as it is already in housing use.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. 64-68 Akeman Street, Tring should be allocated for mixed use development. Redevelopment would enhance the appearance of the conservation area. Current buildings are substantially underused and have significant limitations for modern business use. Narrow roads surround the site. Limited parking provision restricts servicing and manoeuvring of commercial vehicles. Edge of town centre location will hamper securing of new tenancies. Site is available for mixed use development and is within easy reach of the town centre and well located in terms of recreational open space.		Ms Miranda Struthers
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Land at Northchurch Berkhamsted - land south of Tring Road and Land north of Tring Road Land at Durrants Lane Berkhamsted - land at the junction of Shootersway and Durrants Lane.		Mr Jeremy Woolf
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. Lucas Aerospace/Kodak brownfield site for residential development.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
13	Question 13: Other sites the Council should consider	Yes. The land south of Red Lion Lane, Nash Mills, HH.	Mrs Kim Webster	
14				
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	(a) and (c) No (b) Yes Due to a previous experience of gypsy sites, no provision should be made in the area.	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	(a) and (c) Yes (b) No. Add traveller growth to existing gypsy sites.	Mr Alan Proctor	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	(a) No (b) and (c) Yes Permanent residents in housing association accommodation and an housing benefit should have preferential access to services and facilities.	Mrs Susan -	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	(b) Avoid local concentrations Yes (c) PDL in preference to greenfield Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a - no b & c - yes No not wish to see any increase in the provision of sites for the travellers. I have seen rubbish and increased crime associated with these people.	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a - yes, b - yes. c) would be the aim but there are many factors/other needs also to be accommodated, so fixed rule amy not always result in the best overall solution.	Ms Claire Covington	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a & b No c Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a & c Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a b & c	Mrs - Barradone	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) No to all, please retain existing sites only.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) Yes to all	Mr J W Neale	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) - c) Yes to all	Mr Alan Fantham	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good access No b) Avoid local concentrations No c) PDL No Improve existing site. Do not spread across whole community.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good access Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good access Yes b) Avoid concentrations Yes c) PDL Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good access yes b) Avoid local concentration Yes c) PDL Yes	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good Access Yes b) Avoid local concentrations Yes c) PDL Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good access Yes b) Avoid local concentrations yes c) PDL Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good access Yes b) Avoid local concentrations Yes c) PDL Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Good access Yes c) PDL Yes Brownfield sites should be first preference to reduce need to travel and ensure proximity to local services and facilities. Capacity sites are often smaller sites and therefore more likely to meet the 1ha size.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Hemel Hempstead	Mr Robert Foster	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Hemel Hempstead	Mrs Ena Hartland	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No - Not different to those of normal residents b) Yes c) Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) no - this should not be a requirement - they have the means to travel to facilities if required. b) yes c) yes, provided it is not near to existing housing.	Mrs caroline garnett	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) no b and c Yes Why should they be encouraged to come to HH when they are only a nuisance. Particularly the gypsies who leave their household waste etc.	Mrs - Russell	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) no B) and c) yes	Mr A Stephenson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) No c) No	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) No c) No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) No c) No No further developments beyond existing sites already provided by the council.	Mrs P.A Fulton	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) No c) No We have enough already	Mr A Bolino	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) No c) No Local services/facilities cannot cope. Better on one site than spread, and not on greenfield sites which must never be developed. Remain at existing site, with improved facilities.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) No c) No Regrets that opinion is that such sites concentrate the problems that these people create. Integration rather than segregated camps within communities, coupled with effective policing is required.	Mr Keith Emerton	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) No c) Yes Leave it as existing but let them pay rental and maintenance and repair costs.	Mr * McClelland	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) Yes c) No Access to facilities should be given no higher priority than any other development. Land use must bring the best use of land for the Borough. At present the land in (c) should be used for housing or employment.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) no b) yes c) yes	Mrs caroline garnett	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Julian Mincham	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Julian Mincham	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No b) Yes c) Yes Concerns regarding pressure on local health facilities and infrastructure.	Mr Peter Butterick	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No c) Avoid rural areas	Mr Peter Lawrence	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) No. b) No. c) No. As gypsies are expected to come into the country from the EU Eastern expansion, any further expansion will encourage more immigration of travellers.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) No c) Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) No c) Yes Add to already established traveller sites at Cherry Tree Lane and Redbourn	Mr Derek Proctor	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) No. There should be one site. This is to prevent proliferation of smaller sites which could have an adverse affect on local neighbourhoods. c) Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) No A lot of brownfield land is ex-commercial and therefore not suitable for residential. Gypsy sites often work best in rural sites, with suitable landscping, as gypsy activities are often of a rural nature.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) No All development must stay away from greenfield sites.	Mr John Forecast	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) yes b) yes c) yes	Mr Mark Stephens	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) yes b) yes c) yes	Mr Michael Tate	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) yes b) yes c) yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mrs Gloria Odell	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mr David Bates	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Lord . Corbett	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a)Good access Yes b) Avoid local concentrations Yes c) PDL Yes	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a. - near facilities to improve integration	Mr Peter Kloss	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a. No b. Yes. c. No.	Mr Peter Such	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Agree - Yes to all. In addition constraints such as the AONB should also be mentioned.	Mr Colin White	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	All - Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	All No We already have a gypse site, why more?	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	All no Gypsy and traveller sites should not be a priority and should be encouraged.	Mrs P M Seddon	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	All No. You are asking from trouble in considering this. The Police Force are already notable by their absence and history shows that these sites always create friction within the community.	Mr - Grieve	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	All Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) & c) Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) and c) - No	Mrs - Cox	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Avoid local concentrations Yes c) PDL in preference to greenfield Yes	Mr Paul Young	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Avoid local concentrations Yes c) PDL in preference to greenfield Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Avoid local concentrations Yes c) PDL in preference to greenfield Yes	Mr Sean Young	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes	Mrs Joyce Gray	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) yes c) yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Dr Roy King	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b) Yes c) Yes	Mr * Playle	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b)Avoid local concentrations Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	b. Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	C	Mr Lee Spencer	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c)	Mr W H C Saxon	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c) No. Why do we need more gypsy sites? In Redbourn we area surrounded (within 2 miles) by 3 sites - 2 official and 1 unofficial. Other parts of the county and the country should also bear their fair share.	Mr Keith Burgess	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c) yes	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c) Yes	Mr P Rabson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c) Yes	Mrs Diana Spence	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c) Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c) Yes. De-valuing and saleability of nearby housing.	Mr Keith Long	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	c) Yes. What about Bovingdon aerodrome behind the Mount Prison.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	C, is the answer. Travellers should never be allowed to buy sites without planning permission and then build on them. The life style always seems to leave huge cleaning bills for the council I think travellers should be only on sites with the facilities for clean up.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Definitely Yes to B and C.	Mr Simon Roberts	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	New provision for Gypsy and Traveller sites should be located with good access to local services, should avoid creating local concentrations and be located for preference on previously developed land.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Marc Boucherat	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No there are sufficient sites in the area	Mr Stephen Brinkman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all criteria. There should not be any new provision for gypsy and travellers sites in Dacorum. Existing sites should be closed.	Miss Sara Constable	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all, Dacorum has sufficient traveller sites	Mr James Williams	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all, if further provision is required it must be as an addition to existing sites - if at all. This type of development is environmentally unsustainable.	Mr James Elphinstone	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all, no suitable sites in Hemel Hempstead	Mrs . Fletcher	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all. No more sites in Dacorum	Mr Richard Basterfield	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all. Should not have them	Mr Ron Starbrook	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all. There are no other suitable sites in Hemel.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all. Provision already made and feel that resources should be used to meet the housing requirement.	MR * FIELDING	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No to all. Why do we have to have gypsy sites in Hemel Hempstead.	Mrs Doreen Wall	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	No. There are sufficient gypsy sites in the area.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	On previously developed land in preference to greenfield sites.	Mr Robert Spence	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Provision for gypsy and traveller sites should not be a priority, and should not be encouraged.	Mrs Ann Poole	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Provision for gypsy and traveller sites should not be a priority.	Mrs Lesley Lee	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	a)? b) No c) Yes One site is better so that we don't end up with smaller sites all over the place. We have suffered from this locally and it is a bad effect on the neighbourhood.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Regard should be taken to policy H4 of the RSS Proposed Changes Document.	Mr Stewart Patience	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Should avoid local concentrations. Preferably on previously developed land with sufficient hard standing area.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Sites should be located to avoid local concentrations and on previously developed land.	Mr Peter Hobson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	The Issues and Options consultation appears to introduce matters relating to the broad location and criteria for allocating sites for gypsies and travellers which should be determined through the Core Strategy DPD. We request you give this matter further consideration as you progress with the Core Strategy and Sites Allocations DPD to ensure that matters that should be set in the Core Strategy are not devolved to this DPD.	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	This Questionnaire does not correspond with the form(yellow) so I have to complete this form and send in my answers	Mr Roger Hall	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes	Mr Michael Rachlin	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	yes but there should be certain restrictions as to how the sites are maintained.	Prof Paul Cross	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to (a), where possible in relation to (c), and dependent upon needs of gypsy and traveller communities regarding (b).	Mr Russell Monck	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to a and c	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to a) and b)	Mrs Dawn Slade	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to a) and No to b). Enlarge existing traveller sites.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Guy Patterson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr G Rushton	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr H G Clapham	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mrs Stella Bayley	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Roy Ilott	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Carlton Humphries	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mrs Maureen Driver	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Tim Amsden	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Barry Peach	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Colin Evans	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Clr . Taunton	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mrs Abby Fermont	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	* Bratt	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Graeme Berry	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Simon Dewar	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Peter Foster	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Robert Bell	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Wigmore	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr P.S. Thring	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Paul Harris	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Phil Pennington	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr Douglas Doolan	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Mr * Raggatt	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all	Clr M A Clark	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all criteria	Mrs Janet Rook	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all criteria	Mr Stuart Turner	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all criteria	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all criteria	Mrs Anna Barnard	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all criteria	Mr John Barson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all Is there any proof that more gypsy sites are needed at all? I haven't seen anything to indicate this.	Mrs Espley	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all.	Mr John N. Lawson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all.	Mr Adrian Lee	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all.	Mr R.F Lancaster	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to All. a), b), and c).	Mr Colin Neal	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to all. I feel that travellers should pay Council tax for the period they stay in Hemel Hempstead and its environments.	Mrs Joy Buckle	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to b)	Mr Colin Bayley	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to b) and c)	Mrs P M Proctor	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to b) and c)	Mr C A Goman	
14	Question 14: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites	Yes to b) and c)	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
15				
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	(b) (c) (d) (e) and (f)	Mr Alan Proctor	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	A	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a b and e	Mrs - Russell	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	A purpose built camp away from rural areas, and somewhere where they are not an eyesore.	Mr Mark Stephens	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f) All	Mr John Taylor	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f) All suitable	Mrs - Norwood	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f) All unsuitable.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f) All.	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f) Detrimental to existing environment.	Mrs Ann Poole	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f) Regrets that opinion is that such sites concentrate the problems that these people create. Integration rather than segregated camps within communities, coupled with effective policing is required.	Mr Keith Emerton	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f) Yes Site at Cherry Tree Lane is well established and adequate.	Mr * Playle	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) - f). De-valuing and saleability of nearby housing.	Mr Keith Long	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Already massive pressure on existing infrastructure, facilities and resources in Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) and e)	Mrs Doreen Wall	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Hemel Hempstead	Mr Michael Tate	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Hemel Hempstead	Mr Alan Fantham	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Hemel Hempstead unless it replaces existing site. Hemel Hempstead already has a site. Don't know about the others.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Hemel Hempstead b) Berkhamsted e) Kings Langley Sites located close to areas of high concentrations of retail and leisure facilities are potentially sources of public order concerns	Dr Roy King	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Hemel Hempstead d) Bovingdon e) Kings Langley Strain on local services	Mrs Christine Burton	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Hemel Hempstead e) Kings Langley	Mr Lewis Terry	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Hemel Hempstead. Existing infrastructure cannot cope in Hemel Hempstead.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) unsuitable e) unsuitable	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Unsuitable. Existing gypsy sites are already within the Borough	Mr John Barson	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) b) d) f) Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted, Bovingdon and Kings Langley due to pressure on facilities.	Mr Julian Mincham	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) There is already existing provision	Mr Barry Peach	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a) Traveller Site at Three Cherries has been source of crime and problems	Mr William Revolta	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a), d) and e)	Mr Peter Butterick	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	a, b and f	Mrs Susan -	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All	Mr - Grieve	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All inappropriate. Travellers don't want to integrate - so don't accomodate them anywhere.	Mr Peter Lawrence	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All listed locations unsuitable. We have enough.	Mr A Bolino	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All locations unsuitable	Mrs P.A Fulton	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All locations unsuitable. Sites detrimental to the environment.	Mrs Lesley Lee	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All locations unsuitable. The Green Belt should not be developed.	Mrs Fiona Guest	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable	Mr James Williams	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable - Cherry Tree Lane is ideally suited for this purpose.	Mr John Forecast	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable.	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable. Not tidy people. What they have is ok.	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable. The sites already allocated should be maintained and monitored. These sites should be sufficient for the population of the local area.	Mr Peter Balding	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable. Does not approve of any additional gypsy sites being located in this area - there are enough.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All unsuitable. Should not have them.	Mr Ron Starbrook	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All Yes Detrimental in many ways to existing environments.	Mrs P M Seddon	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All, evidence of rubbish left on sites	Mr B.J. Harris	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All, The presence of Gypsy and Traveller Sites Should be discouraged	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Away from dense housing and shops.	Mr Sean Young	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Away from housing.	Mrs Tracey Young	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b) Berkhamsted	Mr D M Farquharson	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b) Berkhamsted and c) Tring	Mrs Joy Buckle	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b) Berkhamsted c) Tring d) Bovingdon f) Markyate	Mr Jeremy Child	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b) c) d) and e) all unsuitable. Smaller communities tend not to have the support to cope with the travellers and are more unwelcoming to them.	Mr Graeme Berry	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b) to e)	Mr Colin Bayley	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b) to f) unsuitable.	Mrs P M Proctor	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b), c), d) and e) unsuitable locations	Mrs * Sheldrake	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b,c,e, and f	Mr Tim Amsden	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	b. Yes. They would probably be made to feel like an outcast in this area.	Miss Sarah Young	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Berkhamsted, Tring, Bovingdon and Markyate unsuitable. All too self contained to for such a difficult addition.	Mr * Raggatt	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Berkhamsted, Tring, Bovingdon and Markyate unsuitable. Difficult choice as the majority of people would say ok, but not near me.	Cllr M A Clark	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Berko, Tring and Markyate	Mr Colin Campbell	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Bovingdon and Kings Langley unsuitable due to road problems and lack of parking.	Mr Stuart Turner	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Bovingdon, Kl and Markyate - These three communities are small relative the the likely size and effect of traveller sites.	Mr P.S. Thring	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	C	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	c) Tring unsuitable.	Mr Adrian Lee	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	c) Too small to accept new community members	Mr A Stephenson	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	d), e) f), limited infrastructure	Mrs K.F. Banks	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Depends upon the scale / types of residents of the site. Small permanent sites suitable in town edge / rural locations, with appropriate landscaping.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	f) Markyate Yes as we already have one in close proximity to Markyate.	Mrs Jennifer Bissmire	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Hemel Hempstead and Berkhamsted because of previous record of criminal activities occurring when gypsy travellers are in temporary residence and rubbish left when vacating premises.	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Hemel Hempstead and Kings Langely unsuitable due to planned expansion and new development making it difficult to accommodate under existing rules.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Hemel Hempstead as resources are already overstretched.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Hemel Hempstead inappropriate	Mrs . Fletcher	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted, Bovingdon, Kings Langley, Markyate	Mr Richard Basterfield	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	I do not approve of any additional sites being located in the area - there are enough.	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Kings Langley and Markyate because they are small communities with few facilities.	Mrs Joyce Gray	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Kings Langley is unsuitable - the area is overcrowded enough.	Mr - Jewell	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Leave as existing.	Mr * McClelland	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Markyate, considerable provision at Redbourn and Tullocksick, at Horse and Jockey A5, Pepsal End/Pepperstock	Cllr . Taunton	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	No	Lord . Corbett	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	No - as long as they are small.	Mr Martin Chapman	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	No to a) and f). As stated above, both Hemel Hempstead and Redbourn have already more than their fair share of sites. the Redbourn site is within 2 miles of Markyate. Enough is enough.	Mr Keith Burgess	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	No to all	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	None unsuitable. Sites should be spread throughout the district rather than concentrated in one particular settlement.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Not near E	Mr Lee Spencer	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Not on Green Belt land. What about unused land on Maylands Estate?	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Providing gypsy and traveller families pay council tax and water rates and sewage rates as I do then OK.	Mrs Stella Bayley	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	All They need to hace designated sites, but should be prevented from setting up temp. sites wherever they like. The mess associated with temp. sites is appalling	Mrs Katherine Berman	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Sites NEAR to the settlements listed would presumably mean that they would be located in Green Belt or Rural Area land, so all would be unacceptable.	Mr david richard norris	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	The Board considered that Berkhamstead, Tring and Markyate would be unsuitable due to their constrained nature and the proximity of the AONB	Mr Colin White	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	The exact position of a site is more important than a general area.	Mr P Rabson	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	These sites are always unwelcome because of the problems they create to local residents. Gypsy sites should be located well away from residential housing.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	They should be away from all of these towns	Mr Graham Christie	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Unsuitable for all ones. When gypsies and travellers turned up very close to us, there was a huge increase in litter and general rubbish. There were also abandoned cars, washing machines and dead animals. They had no respect for the area.	Mr Mark Berman	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Unsuitable a) and e)	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes	Mr Marc Boucherat	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all	Miss Sara Constable	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all	Mr G Rushton	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all	Mr - Stillwell	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all except Hemel.	Mr Derek Proctor	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all sites	* Bratt	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all Real Gypsies have disappeared. Travellers should be housed and be part of the community	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all. This type of development is environmentally unsustainable and can lead to unacceptable pressures on neighbouring residents. It might also give rise to policy conflicts with existing secure neighbourhood initiatives	Mr James Elphinstone	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all. Provision already made and feel that resources should be used to meet the housing requirement.	MR * FIELDING	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all. As gypsies are expected to come into the country from the EU Eastern expansion, any further expansion will encourage more immigration of travellers.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to all. Theft rises, dogs are stolen.	Mrs S Gouch	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to b) and f)	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to b,d,e,f. Totally inappropriate areas whose natural communities are either already overloaded or in which such provision would be detrimental to the nature and size of those communities.	Mr Peter Such	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to c), d), e).	Mrs Ann Johnson	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to d) and e).	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
15	Question 15: Location of new gypsy and traveller sites near specific settlements	Yes to them all - The site at Cherry Tree Lane is adequate.	Mrs - Cox	
16				
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	I do not believe that a Gypsy or traveller site has anything beneficial to add to the communities around the area.	Mr Ian Heather	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Robert Spence	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr david richard norris	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Keith Long	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Roger Hall	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr A Bolino	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Michael Tate	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Peter Balding	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Miss Sara Constable	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Diana Spence	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr William Revolta	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	MR * FIELDING	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr V. Brooks	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr David Bates	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr David Bates	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Sunil Sharma	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr - Stillwell	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Barry Peach	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr John Forecast	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr John Taylor	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr * McClelland	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs - Cox	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Peter Hobson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Dr Roy King	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr James Williams	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	* Bratt	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Colin Neal	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Ron Starbrook	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Ron Starbrook	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr C A Goman	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Graham Christie	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Ena Hartland	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Robert Bell	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Charlton	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Wigmore	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs JP Millis	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr - Grieve	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr J Tarbit	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr John Barson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr James Elphinstone	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs - Norwood	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Susan -	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs - Russell	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs - Barradone	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs S Gouch	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr * Playle	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Cllr M A Clark	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No - already one in NE Hemel Hempstead	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No, I do not believe that Hemel will be offering opportunities suitable for travellers. They should be located nearer agricultural opportunities, rather than town centres.	Mrs caroline garnett	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mr * Craven	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No.	Mr Purkiss	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No. Given their relatively small size, brownfield sites are likely to be more suitable.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	No. Gypsies and travellers lifestyles and needs are incompatible with such locations.	Mr Paul Cronk	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Other than an expansion to the existing site, NO.	Mr Simon Roberts	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes Just one	Mrs Katherine Berman	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Try to fight the decision that it is considered for an area of growth. If it has to be, then a small allocated area should be considered.	Mr Mark Stephens	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr H G Clapham	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr A Stephenson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr D M Farquharson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Cllr . Taunton	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermont	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Peter Foster	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes - if in conjunction with other local authorities study undertaken with.	Ms Claire Covington	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes new gypsy and traveller sites would be appropriate as part of growth at Hemel Hempstead subject to the provision that these should be well integrated and not have a detrimental impact on the surrounding countryside.	Mr Colin White	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes.	Mr Gary Durden	Mr David Ames

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
16	Question 16: Gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)	Yes.	Mr Peter Such	
17				
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Expansion to the existing site.	Mr Simon Roberts	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	f) Markyate	Mr Michael Tate	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	I am not sure about this.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr david richard norris	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Keith Long	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Roger Hall	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Michael Tate	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Peter Balding	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Miss Sara Constable	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr G Rushton	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr H G Clapham	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr David Nobbs	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr P Rabson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr William Revolta	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	MR * FIELDING	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr V. Brooks	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr David Bates	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr - Stillwell	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Barry Peach	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr A Stephenson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	MR Kelvin Archer	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr John Taylor	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr * McClelland	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr D M Farquharson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Cllr . Taunton	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Dr Roy King	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr James Williams	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	* Bratt	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Colin Neal	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr C A Goman	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Graham Christie	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Ena Hartland	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Robert Bell	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Charlton	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Wigmore	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs JP Millis	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr - Grieve	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr John Barson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr James Elphinstone	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs - Norwood	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Susan -	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs - Russell	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs - Barradone	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs S Gouch	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr * Raggatt	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Clr Lucy Foster	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Clr M A Clark	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mr Gary Durden	Mr David Ames
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mr Peter Hobson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mr * Craven	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No.	Mr Purkiss	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No. Not in this area.	Mr A Bolino	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	No. Priority should be given to Hemel Hempstead East.	Mr Edward Kirby	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	None specifically	Mr Mark Stephens	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Self contained or near ind. est.		
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Wilstone - This would allow them to graze their horses in a semi rural environment	Mr Paul Fletcher	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes - Bovington airfield (south west location)	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes - Cherry Tree Lane	Mr John Forecast	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes - enlarge traveller sites at Cherry Tree Lane and Redbourn	Mr Alan Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes - Three Cherry Tree Lane - their present site.	Mrs - Cox	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes Places where there is plenty of land such as Wilstone and Tring and less pressure on the infrastructure and facilities allowing for easier integration into the local community.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	yes to all sites As stated previously gypsy and traveller sites should be built on brownfield sites.	Mr Paul Harris	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes East of Maylands Avenue - good transport links	Mr Jeremy Child	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes, their existing site.	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes, Wilstone, nice semi rural environment - places to graze their horses	Mrs . Fletcher	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. Buncefield and Bovingdon Prison	Mr Stuart Turner	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. Industrial areas, but near schools	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. The site in Three Cherry Trees Lane could be enlarged.	Mrs Joy Buckle	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. As existing in Hemel industrial area.	Mr Martin Chapman	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. Existing traveller sites at Redbourn and Cherry Tree Lane, Hemel	Mr Derek Proctor	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. It should be a self contained area near or on industrial estates.	Mr Mark Berman	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. Unused land on Maylands Industrial Estate near local facilities but away from neighbourhoods to minimise disruption to local communities.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. Enlarge existing traveller sites at North East Hemel and at Redbourn.	Mrs P M Proctor	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. North and West Hemel.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. North east Hemel Hempstead and Redbourn.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. Three Cherry Tree Lane.	Mr * Playle	
17	Question 17: Particular locations for gypsy and traveller sites	Yes. Wilstone and Tring is surrounded by land suitable for Gypsy and traveller sites with the infrastructure and facilities suitable to facilitate integration into the local community.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
18				
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Consitent with representations made to other questions posed by the DPD, we consider land adjoining Icknield Way, Tring should be released from the greenbelt in order to permit expansion of the GEA as and when needed, possibly in conjunction with housing should this be required.	Mr Michael Emmett	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	I think they are reasonable.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	It seems to me that we are in a dynamic situation here. Whilst it is important to maintain and create local jobs(not least to reduce the present level of commuting), the level to which employment land is actually being used needs to be constantly monitored and, where it is being under-utilised, should be converted to other suitable usage. Forget about the implications for employment growth stemming from the East of England plan - the proposed growth is to provide homes for commuters to the Metropolitan area, not for local workers.	Mr david richard norris	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Local employment reduces dependancy on long-distance transport which is a major improvement in quality of life (providing of course the employees are local!). Not in favour of reducing local employment land.	Mr Peter Kloss	
18	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Most definitely Option 1 - retain GEA designation over the whole site. This is sacrosanct brown field here must mean what it says, no more houses on land generating employment for 200 years please.	Mr Mark Stephens	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Keith Long	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Michael Tate	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Sean Young	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Paul Young	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Miss Sara Constable	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr G Rushton	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr David Nobbs	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr John N. Lawson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr V. Brooks	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr P L Ammann	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr David Bates	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr - Stillwell	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Barry Peach	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr A Stephenson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	MR Kelvin Archer	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr * McClelland	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr D M Farquharson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs - Cox	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Colin Neal	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Espley	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr C A Goman	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Robert Bell	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Charlton	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Wigmore	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs JP Millis	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr N Aitchison	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Susan -	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs - Russell	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs - Barradone	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Paul Harris	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr J Biggs	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs S Gouch	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Clr M A Clark	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No - except to the extent required by answers Q19 to Q25 below	Mr P.S. Thring	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No changes should be made to the detailed boundaries of the existing GEAs.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No, Buncefield should be turned into enlarged office/factory area	Mr Ron Starbrook	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No, Employment has gone from manufacturing	Mr Peter Webber	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No, empty offices need filling first	Mr Peter Lawrence	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No, enough sites already available for rebuilding	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No, no changes until sensible decision enforced over Buncefield, hospital, schools and M1 noise and pollution.	Mr James Williams	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No, we have an industrial area - or whatever is left of it after the Buncefield blast - with the number of large companies vacating the area there is no need - Kodak, Dexion, BT, Bartlett to name just a few.	Mr Anthony William Fox	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mr * Craven	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mrs P M Proctor	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mr Peter Such	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.	Mr * Playle	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No.		Unknown Unknown
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. Make better use of the Buncefield site	Mr Ron Starbrook	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. The existing sites have not all been taken up. Some for years.	Mr A Bolino	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. There needs to be a balance between housing and employment uses. At present there are a number of office buildings that have been vacant for a number of years i.e. People Building	Mr Peter Balding	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. Any changes should be in the Maylands industrial area.	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. Insufficient facilities and services.	Mr Keith Emerton	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. Make new factory /office use of Buncefield.	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. There are empty offices and buildings following the buncefield disaster and we need to encourage companies back into the town to fill these vacancies.	Miss Brenda Mariner	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. We have empty site now.	Mr Colin Bayley	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No. We only have infrastructure to support places of work and housing here at present.	Mrs Tracey Young	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Should be looked at in relation to residential dwellings	Mr Grahame Jeyes	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Site allocations help provide the spatial framework for sustainable economic development and regeneration. Should take account of the regional economic strategy. Needs to take account of RES policies regarding the London Arc - improving competitiveness in key sectors such as biotechnology and the creative, cultural industries, ensuring efficient use of existing employment land, adequate land for affordable housing including key workers, supporting sustainable patterns of movement, harnessing the economic strengths of the area. EEDA estimate that 50,000 jobs allocated in proposed changes translates to a figure nearer 10,500 jobs.	Susan Heinrich	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	The designation of the GEA is outdated. The Texaco petrol filling station is vacant and the site is ripe for redevelopment. Although it is evident that the intention of the Council is for the entire Corner Hall GEA to be developed as a single entity; the Texaco site is at a much further advanced stage of preparation for redevelopment and the holding back of this site is not beneficial. The development opportunity of the site is paramount to the creation of a gateway site to Hemel Hempstead town centre. The site is suitable for a mixed use development of exceptional design merit.		Mr Richard Butler
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Whilst we note that these have been tested through the LPI, the authority will need to ensure that these sites are robustly tested in the context of alternative sites in preparing the Site Allocations DPD. This will include subjecting existing unimplemented sites to SA and ensuring that they are in conformity with the Core Strategy.	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Within reason some of the existing employment areas could be used for housing, providing that there are not significant job losses involved	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes - areas where people live e.g the town centre and Apsley. The Sappi site at Nash Mills must be protected as an employment area. John Dickinson would turn in his grave if he could see the impact on his Homepark, Apsley and Croxley sites. Nash Mills must be kept for employment on this side of the town and near to residential areas.	Mr Mark Stephens	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes - but only if there is tangible evidence of employment growth and change.	Mr * Raggatt	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes - General Employment Area should be positioned by the new Hemel Hempstead bypass.	Mr John Forecast	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes - Maylands Avenue. If there are empty offices than can be revitalised/converted for residential housing.	Mr Sunit Sharma	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes - possibly ahead of any housing scheme beyond existing plan being approved.	Mr Graham Christie	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes Extension to Maylands employment area, without encroaching on Buncefield.	MR * FIELDING	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Crown Estate wishes E4 site to be allocated for residential development and reprovided to the east of the town. Also new employment area west of M1 in St Albans District.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Maylands business park	Mr John Barson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Possible Maylands only.	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Review of E6 - Proposed employment site - extension to the Icknield Way, Tring, GEA. The re-allocation of this area to residential is supported - see response to Q22. The existing General Employment Areas across the District should be protected.	Mr Roger Mildred	Mrs Sarah King
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Sappi site, Nash Mills. There are areas of the site that should be retained for historical reasons - buildings of interest and a Memorial Garden. Local residents may prefer housing to heavy industrial use. The village hall may consider relocating to this site.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. The detailed boundary around the Frogmore General Employment Area. To exclude the Frogmore Mill site that extends broadly north of the back stream within the site to the frontage of Frogmore Road.	Mr Peter Burford	Mr Henry Clarke
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. To provide steady expansion.	Mr W H C Saxon	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. We should consider extending the boundary out to run alongside the M1.	Mr Graeme Berry	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. As considered suitable by local planning.	Mr Edward Kirby	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. East of Buncefield	Mr William Revolta	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Lucas.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Nash Mills Sappi Graphics site to residential use.	Mr John Taylor	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Small changes may be acceptable.	Mr P Rabson	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Surplus industrial land could be used for housing.	Mr Mark Berman	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. The industrial area should be halved and 50% made over to housing.	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. The land south of the Grand Union Canal, west of Billet Lane, and north of High Street, Berkhamsted, should be deleted from the General Employment Area designation.		Mr Martin Friend
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. 64-68 Akeman Street should be removed from Akeman Street General Employment Area. The site is heavily constrained for modern employment requirements. However the site would be suitable for smaller, modernised office space and a mixed use development would be the most sustainable form of development, maintaining an element of commercial use in a central location in Tring.		Ms Miranda Struthers
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. The Council should assess existing employment areas and consider the extent to which they may have better alternative uses.		Ms Caroline Harper
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes. Town Centre, and all large villages, as this will encourage less travel to work.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	No	Mr Espley	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Yes Surplus ind. land could be used for housing provided it is not needed for employment purposes for all the new residents	Mrs Katherine Berman	
18	Question 18: Existing boundaries of the General Employment Area	Q18-25. No comment other than to refer to our more general responses contained within answers in questions 23-28 of our original Core Strategy Issues and Options responses dated 2 June 2006. This is supplemented by Question 4 of the Supplementary Core Strategy Growth at Hemel consultation.	Mr Russell Monck	
19				
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	2	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	3	Mrs P M Seddon	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	3	Mr William Revolta	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Michael Tate	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Julian Mincham	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Carlton Humphries	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Colin Campbell	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	MR * FIELDING	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Tim Amsden	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Woodham	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr P L Ammann	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr J.E.B James	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Lewis Terry	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Richard Basterfield	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Sheila Daley	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Simon Dewar	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs Ena Hartland	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr N Aitchison	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Paul Skipp	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Paul Harris	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1		Mr R. M Sellwood
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1.	Mrs B. McCracken	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1.	Mr Peter Such	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 1.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mrs Julie Roberts	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr Peter Webber	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mrs Maureen Driver	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr John Forecast	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr John Taylor	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr R.F Lancaster	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	option 2	Mr Purkiss	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr Mark Berman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mrs Kim Webster	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2 reassign for residential.	Mr Simon Roberts	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 2.		Unknown Unknown
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr John Baldwin	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Guy Patterson	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Sean Young	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Peter Balding	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Paul Young	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Tracey Young	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs P.A Fulton	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Colin Bayley	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr G Rushton	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr H G Clapham	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Roy Ilott	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr David Nobbs	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr P Rabson	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Mark Gardener	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Paul Fletcher	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr V. Brooks	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Joy Buckle	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr David Bates	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Barry Peach	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Jeremy Child	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	MR Kelvin Archer	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Allan Sellers	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs K.A. Birch	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs - Cox	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Martin Chapman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Trevor Church	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Dr Roy King	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	* Bratt	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Lesley Lee	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Miss Alison Cockerill	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Colin Neal	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Robin Charlton	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Espley	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Doreen Wall	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Robert Foster	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr P.M. Clark	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Graham Christie	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Charlton	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Wigmore	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Peter Lawrence	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs JP Millis	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Anna Barnard	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr P.S. Thring	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs . Fletcher	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr John Barson	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs - Norwood	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Susan -	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs - Russell	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr C C F Sutton	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Phil Pennington	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr J W Neale	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr J Biggs	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Keith Emerton	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr * Playle	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Anne Duvall	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Douglas Doolan	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Miss Brenda Mariner	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mrs Ann Poole	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr * Raggatt	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Cllr M A Clark	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3	Mr Edward Kirby	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3 - but see supporting letter regarding possible location of new Area HQ for Herts Constabulary	Mr Michael Rachlin	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3 - redesignate for a mix of employment and residential use	Mr Colin Westwood	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3 (plus village hall building)	Cllr Lucy Foster	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3 as this is a compromise between housing and employment - Sappi site covers a huge area that could accommodate both	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3, assuming there will be some flexibility on the proportion of housing to industry i.e if insufficient industry can be attracted to the area, further residential development should be allowed. No speculative industrial development should be allowed.	Mr david richard norris	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3.	Mr A Bolino	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3.	Miss Sarah Young	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option 3. Also make use of the canal frontage and links to the Kingfisher Drive and Apsley Lock development.	Mr norman jones	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Option3	Mr Terence Buckle	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Options 1 and 3	Mrs * Sheldrake	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Q19 Option 2	Mrs Katherine Berman	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Q19 Option 3	Mr Espley	
19	Question 19: Nash Mills General Employment Area	Redesignate for a mix of use - good access to facilities for housing on site, but also good to retain local employment possibilities	Mr Peter Kloss	
20				
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	3	Mrs P M Seddon	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	3	Mr William Revolta	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Mixed of employment and residential use.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr norman jones	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Keith Long	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Guy Patterson	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Sean Young	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Peter Balding	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Roy Ilott	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr David Nobbs	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr P Rabson	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Mark Gardener	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Colin Campbell	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	MR * FIELDING	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr V. Brooks	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Tim Amsden	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Jeremy Child	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Allan Sellers	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr John Forecast	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr J.E.B James	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Lewis Terry	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Espley	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Ena Hartland	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr N Aitchison	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mrs Kim Webster	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	ClIr Lucy Foster	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	ClIr M A Clark	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1		Mr R. M Sellwood
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1 - Current rents are being increased beyond what is reasonable for a site of this quality. If the site is developed, where do all the small businesses move to?	Mr Carlton Humphries	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1 - site retained for current employment use	Mr Colin Westwood	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1 - The Hertfordshire Constabulary would be concerned about traffic levels should the land be designated for residential development.	Mr Michael Rachlin	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1 It's too far from town facilities, especially for the elderly.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1.	Mr Peter Such	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1.		Unknown Unknown
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mrs Julie Roberts	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr Peter Webber	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr David Bates	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr Richard Basterfield	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr R.F Lancaster	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	option 2	Mr Purkiss	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr Paul Harris	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr Mark Berman	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr Douglas Doolan	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2.	Mrs B. McCracken	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr John Baldwin	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr david richard norris	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr A Bolino	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Michael Tate	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Paul Young	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs K.F. Banks	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs * Sheldrake	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Tracey Young	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs P.A Fulton	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr G Rushton	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr H G Clapham	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Julian Mincham	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Mervyn Sellick	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Lord . Corbett	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Paul Fletcher	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Maureen Driver	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Woodham	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr P L Ammann	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Joy Buckle	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Barry Peach	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	MR Kelvin Archer	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr John Taylor	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs - Cox	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Martin Chapman	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Simon Roberts	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Trevor Church	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Dr Roy King	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Miss Sarah Young	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Lesley Lee	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Miss Alison Cockerill	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Colin Neal	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Robin Charlton	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Sheila Daley	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Doreen Wall	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Simon Dewar	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Robert Foster	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Terence Buckle	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr P.M. Clark	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Graham Christie	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Charlton	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Wigmore	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Peter Lawrence	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr P. S. Thring	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs . Fletcher	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Paul Skipp	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs - Norwood	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Susan -	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs - Russell	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr C C F Sutton	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr J Biggs	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Keith Emerton	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs S Gouch	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr * Playle	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Miss Brenda Mariner	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mrs Ann Poole	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr * Raggatt	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3	Mr Edward Kirby	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3 a sensitive mix to improve the look of this area	Mr Mark Stephens	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 3.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 1	Mr Espley	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Option 2	Mrs Katherine Berman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Retain as far as possible for employment or mixed use. The A41 route and junction were planned for this purpose. To be entirely for housing some major community benefit would have to be demonstrated	Mr Peter Kloss	
20	Question 20: Options for Bourne End Mill site	Support all three because LDF policy should recognise that environmental improvements can only be delivered through comprehensive redevelopment of the site. The options would provide policy support for comprehensive redevelopment which will deliver environmental improvements required.	**	Mr Paul O'Neil
21				
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	2	Mrs P M Seddon	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	3	Mr William Revolta	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	MR Kelvin Archer	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Change designation to residential housing.	Ms susan woolnough	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	CHANGE TO RESIDENTIAL USE	Ms jennifer sanger-anderson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Develop the surplus land for residential purposes.	Mrs ruth ellis	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	I support the Paper Trail's proposal to change and develop the surplus land for residential purposes.	Mrs Jennifer Flynn	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	If the Paper Trail is to receive Council funding for development, it must surely retain local plan designation for the Paper Trail. No more houses here please either. There were enough put up in recent years on the other side of the river.	Mr Mark Stephens	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	It's already over-developed.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr John Baldwin	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Guy Patterson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Paul Young	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs * Sheldrake	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Young	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs P.A Fulton	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr G Rushton	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Julian Mincham	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Carlton Humphries	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Mark Gardener	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	MR * FIELDING	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Paul Fletcher	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr V. Brooks	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Joy Buckle	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr John Taylor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs - Cox	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Lewis Terry	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Richard Basterfield	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	* Bratt	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Sheila Daley	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Robert Foster	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Terence Buckle	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Wigmore	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr N Aitchison	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs . Fletcher	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs - Norwood	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs Kim Webster	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Keith Emerton	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr * Playle	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Douglas Doolan	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1		Mr R. M Sellwood
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1 - have you seen the traffic in Apsley lately?	Mr Gordon King	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1 or as a national heritage site	Mr Peter Webber	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1.	Mr Peter Such	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1.		Unknown Unknown
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1. Further housing or retail outlets in Apsley will only increase the traffic congestion in this area.	Mr Paul Jenkins	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 1. or 3., 1. preferred - obvious funding for the Paper Trail trust is a problem but the development of the site would restrict community use which is not ideal	Mr Peter Kloss	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr norman jones	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Peter Balding	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Janet Rook	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Julie Roberts	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr H G Clapham	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Stella Bayley	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr David Nobbs	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr P Rabson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr P Rabson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Woodham	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Barry Peach	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Jeremy Child	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr John Forecast	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr J.E.B James	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Jean Archibald	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Dr Roy King	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Lesley Lee	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Miss Alison Cockerill	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Colin Neal	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Doreen Wall	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr R.F Lancaster	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr P.M. Clark	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Charlton	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Peter Lawrence	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Paul Skipp	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Ruby Rowe	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Ruby Rowe	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Susan -	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs - Russell	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Paul Harris	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr Phil Pennington	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr J Biggs	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Miss Brenda Mariner	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Ann Poole	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 - As a 1st time home buyer, I am aware of the critical shortage of homes in the Hemel Hempstead area and I would urge that serious attention is given to this matter.	Mrs Phyllis Wheeler	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 - fits in with increasingly residential nature of the Nash Mills area, whilst providing a 'heritage' attraction for the the area. However, some minor retail development to support the housing should not be ruled out.	Mr david richard norris	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 This is the most relevant and will fit in with the severe shortage of residential housing.	Mrs Ruby Rowe	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 to secure the delivery of the Visitor Centre Project at Apsley Mills, which is strongly supported by the local community.	B.D. Overhead	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 As properties require major refurbishment so it may be more economical to redevelop the site for residential or mixed-use.		Mr Chris Palmer
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 As properties require major refurbishment so it may be more economical to redevelop the site for residential or mixed-use.		Mr Chris Palmer
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 This will enable the funding of a sustainable Visitors Centre	Mr Andrew Holder	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2 To change the use to residential will help the Paper Trail to achieve its aim to open a Visitor Centre on the site.	Miss Kerry Green	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2.	Mrs B. McCracken	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2.	Miss Claire Hollingsworth	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2. This will assist the Paper Trail in achieving its aim to complete the Visitor Centre at the John Dickinson site. Plus will also give much needed new housing in this area.	Mr Tommy Roe	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 2. You need all the building land you can get.	Mr Simon Roberts	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs Marjorie Shellum	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Michael Tate	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs K.F. Banks	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Colin Campbell	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Lord . Corbett	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Tim Amsden	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr David Bates	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr David Bates	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Peter Butterick	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Allan Sellers	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs K.A. Birch	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Martin Chapman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Peter Hobson	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Trevor Church	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs Espley	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Simon Dewar	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	option 3	Mr Purkiss	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Graham Christie	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr P.S. Thring	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs - Barradone	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Mark Berman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr J W Neale	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Cllr Lucy Foster	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Cllr M A Clark	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mr Edward Kirby	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3 will give the area not only mix use with residential and other uses giving some life to the area. Also provides with funds for the Paper Trail to create more jobs by being able to expand with a visitor centre at this site.	Mrs Marga Pelli	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	OPTION B	Mrs Paula Watkins	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option 3	Mrs Katherine Berman	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Option3	Mr Espley	
21	Question 21: Options for the Paper Trail site	Retain existing	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
22				
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	2	Mrs P M Seddon	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	2	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	I am not sure about this.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Not able to comment.	Mr david richard norris	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Guy Patterson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Michael Tate	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs * Sheldrake	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Carlton Humphries	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Colin Campbell	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Tim Amsden	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Barry Peach	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Lewis Terry	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Espley	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Sheila Daley	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Robert Bell	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Wigmore	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr P.S. Thring	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs - Norwood	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Susan -	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs - Russell	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr J Biggs	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Cllr Lucy Foster	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Cllr M A Clark	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1		Mr R. M Sellwood
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1.		Unknown Unknown
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1. Small units.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Sean Young	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Paul Young	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs Tracey Young	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Colin Bayley	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Peter Webber	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr David Nobbs	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Paul Fletcher	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr A Stephenson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr John Forecast	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs Abby Fermont	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs - Cox	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs Lesley Lee	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Miss Alison Cockerill	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Colin Neal	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Robin Charlton	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Terence Buckle	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Graham Christie	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs Charlton	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs JP Millis	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs . Fletcher	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr C C F Sutton	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Paul Harris	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr * Playle	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr Douglas Doolan	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mrs Ann Poole	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 2.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr Mark Stephens	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs Janet Rook	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs P.A Fulton	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr V. Brooks	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs Woodham	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs Joy Buckle	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr David Bates	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr Trevor Church	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr Richard Basterfield	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr R.F Lancaster	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr Simon Dewar	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Miss Brenda Mariner	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3	Mr * Raggatt	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3.	Mr A Bolino	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 3.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option1	Mr P Rabson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option1	Mrs Kim Webster	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Options 1 and 2. The 3rd option is objected to by the Board because an extension of the site any further to the west would take it right up to the AONB	Mr Colin White	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Possibly option 3. but benefits seem small	Mr Peter Kloss	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Option 1	Mr Espley	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	The Council supports Option 1 - site retained for employment use - for the undeveloped land at Miswell Lane, Tring.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	The unimplemented part of the Icknield Way GEA fronting Miswell Lane is very small. While we make no comment on the future use of this land we strongly suggest that, whether it is retained for employment or reallocated for housing, additional land is allocated to facilitate expansion of the GEA to the west (option 3).	Mr Michael Emmett	
22	Question 22: Options for undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring	Very strongly support either Option 2 or 3 to enable the site off Miswell Lane to be brought forward for residential development. The site is considered to be most suitable for residential development, given its close relationship to existing housing on Miswell Lane. In addition, the site is very small in employment land terms and is, therefore, of only limited value to the expansion of the GEA. A new reserve of land to the west is supported if sufficient need/demand for additional employment land is justified.	Mr Roger Mildred	Mrs Sarah King
23				
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	As a result of the RSS new sites may need to be identified to compensate for the loss of any existing designations/allocations and identification and allocation of sites for office use. Office uses are defined as a town centre use for the purpose of PPS6 - Planning for Town Centres. The authority should ensure the proper regard is given to PPS6 in allocating sites for office use.	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Develop south east of Adeyfield East ward (old Lucas Aerospace site)	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Increased growth at Hemel Hempstead may have implications for employment allocations, which again would need to be addressed in any further Issues and Options consultation	Mr Nick Vass-Bowen	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Land adjoining the urban edge of Hemel Hempstead on the eastern side of the town	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	n	Mr A Stephenson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr A Bolino	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Michael Tate	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Peter Balding	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr G Rushton	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Julian Mincham	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr David Nobbs	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr P Rabson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	MR * FIELDING	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	MR * FIELDING	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr V. Brooks	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr David Bates	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Barry Peach	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr * McClelland	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs - Cox	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr James Williams	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Colin Neal	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Espley	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr C A Goman	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Robert Foster	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Robert Bell	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Charlton	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Wigmore	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs JP Millis	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr N Aitchison	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Susan -	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs - Russell	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs - Barradone	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Paul Harris	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr J Biggs	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs S Gouch	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr * Playle	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr * Raggatt	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	ClIr Lucy Foster	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	ClIr M A Clark	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No T/e2 (with T/h6) is inappropriate for the following reasons: - a green field site in the Green Belt - located in an Area of Archaeological Significance - development would cut away half the Green Belt buffer between Tring and Pitstone - current road structure is completely inadequate to take the extra traffic - existing infrastructure is inadequate - new schools and facilities of all types would be required.	Mr George Bull	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No, I think you need to assess the demand before even considering the designation of any other areas for industrial use.	Mr david richard norris	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No.	Mr Purkiss	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No.		Unknown Unknown
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No. The empty buildings in Maylands Avenue should be used before building new ones.	Miss Sara Constable	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No. Development of M/t1a and M/t1b would have a major impact on Junctions 9 and 10 of the M1, and mitigation measures would have to be considered if the sites were to be progressed. Congestion problems are forecast at peak periods at the junctions without the potential developments	Mr Tony Potter	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	No	Mr Easley	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Recognises that sites to the east of Hemel Hempstead will be considered separately in East Hemel Hempstead Town Gateway Area Action Plan, but consideration of these sites also impacts on Site Allocations. E4 should be redesignated for residential and replaced in the Hemel Gateway Area. New employment area should be allocated west of the M1 as an extension to the existing employment areas.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	There are no other areas of land Tring TC would like considered designated for employment uses.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes	Mrs . Fletcher	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes - Development could happen South East of Adeyfield East Ward (Lucas Aeospace) etc	Mrs Woodham	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes - Sappi Graphics land for housing/employment use	Mr P.M. Clark	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes - the areas bounded by the new A41 bypass and two waters	Mr John Forecast	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes, Jarmans Field Area	Mr B.J. Harris	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes, land around Wilstone	Mr Paul Fletcher	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes, Town Hall site	Mr Peter Webber	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes. Both sides of the M1	Mr H G Clapham	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes. Both sides of the M1.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes. Existing unused buildings.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes. We would request that an area of land is set aside to relocate local concerns.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
23	Question 23: Other areas of land designated for employment uses	Yes. The Council should maintain a flexible approach to considering areas of land to be designated for employment use.		Ms Caroline Harper
24				
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Ebbens Road - Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Ebbens road may offer some possibilities , otherwise no	Mr Mark Stephens	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Gossoms End - Stag Lane - overtaken by events - grant of planning permission for site development.	Mr Colin Westwood	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Markyate - No knowledge of others	Mr Colin Campbell	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr david richard norris	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Keith Long	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr A Bolino	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Michael Tate	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Peter Balding	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Miss Sara Constable	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr G Rushton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr V. Brooks	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr David Bates	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Barry Peach	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr A Stephenson	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr John Forecast	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr John Taylor	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr * McClelland	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs - Cox	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	NO	Mrs Jennifer Habib	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr James Williams	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Colin Neal	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Espley	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr C A Goman	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr C A Goman	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Robert Bell	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Charlton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Wigmore	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs JP Millis	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr N Aitchison	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs - Norwood	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Susan -	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs - Russell	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Paul Harris	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Jennifer Bissmire	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr J Biggs	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs S Gouch	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr * Playle	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr * Raggatt	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Cllr M A Clark	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No change	Mr Paul Young	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No change	Mrs Tracey Young	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No.	Mr Peter Such	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	No.		Unknown Unknown
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	no	Mr Espley	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes ebbens road	Mrs Katherine Berman	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Reiterates previous point about E4 (reallocation for housing).	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	There should be no change to the boundary of the Western Road, Tring site.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	We do not consider it either necessary or desirable to allocate land or premises in beneficial use for non-employment purposes. While PPS3 encourages the redevelopment of vacant or derelict land, this does not extend to occupied premises. Accordingly, we oppose any future loss of employment land at Western Road, Tring.	Mr Michael Emmett	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes - has the infrastructure already in place - i.e. schools, sport facilities	Mrs Woodham	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes - Stage Lane East - would be good - infrastructure already in place - schools- sports - shopping etc.	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes Ebbens Road	Mr P.M. Clark	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes, Ebbens Road Apsley	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes, Maylands Avenue - half the buildings are empty	Mrs P.A Fulton	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes, Small biz original have grown	Mr Peter Webber	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes. Western Road, Tring	Mrs Janet Rook	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes. All.	Mrs K.A. Birch	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes. Don't know enough about it - but very overcrowded (traffic etc)	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes. Ebbens Road.	Mr Mark Berman	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes. Ebbens Road.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes. The potential should be explored positively at all these sites for some residential use.	Mr Edward Kirby	
24	Question 24: Changes to specific site boundaries	Yes. Gossoms End (East) Ebbens Road	Mr Douglas Doolan	
25				
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Apsley, Bourne End.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Both (Maylands and other) ideally suited and already (in principle) of that nature of development.	Mr Peter Such	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Both Maylands and other locations such as Nash Mills and Paper Trail site	Mr Peter Balding	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	If Buncefield Oil Depot is recommissioned residential building at Maylands appears inappropriate.	Mr Allan Sellers	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Mayland Business area	Mr Richard Basterfield	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr michael wallis	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Roger Hall	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Christine Burton	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr B.J. Harris	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	maylands	Mrs P.A Fulton	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs P M Seddon	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Colin Campbell	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr William Revolta	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Sunit Sharma	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr John Forecast	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Anthony William Fox	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Robin Charlton	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Espley	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Simon Dewar	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr P.M. Clark	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	maylands	Mr Purkiss	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Graham Christie	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Charlton	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Wigmore	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr P.S. Thring	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs - Russell	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs - Barradone	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Paul Harris	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Phil Pennington	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Douglas Doolan	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Susan -	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands would be suitable for this sort of development but should not be considered until the future of Buncefield is resolved. However, the demand for this type of development may be more apparent than real.	Mr david richard norris	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands and all sites named in Q24	Mr Peter Webber	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands and Bourne End	Mr John Baldwin	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands and if allowable, the area around Buncefield, depending on the safety aspects not yet clarified.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands and other - but not specified	Mrs Doreen Wall	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands and other locations as Q. 20, 21 and 24.	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands and Stags Lane End (as Q 20 and 21)	Mrs Woodham	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands area not considered suitable for residential.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Keith Long	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr A Bolino	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Michael Tate	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Paul Young	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Tracey Young	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Julie Roberts	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands Business Area	Mr Colin Bayley	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr G Rushton	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr H G Clapham	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands Business Area	Mrs Stella Bayley	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Julie Rabson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr David Nobbs	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr P Rabson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr John N. Lawson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Maureen Driver	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr P L Ammann	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr David Bates	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Jeremy Child	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr John Taylor	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Miss * Lovell	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr * McClelland	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs K.A. Birch	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs - Cox	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Martin Chapman	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Dr Roy King	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Lewis Terry	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Lesley Lee	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Colin Neal	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Graeme Berry	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Stuart Turner	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Sheila Daley	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr R.F Lancaster	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Robert Foster	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Robert Bell	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs JP Millis	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr John Barson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs - Norwood	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr C C F Sutton	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Mark Berman	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr Keith Emerton	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr * Playle	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Miss Brenda Mariner	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mrs Ann Poole	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Mr * Raggatt	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area	Clr M A Clark	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands Business Area		Mr R. M Sellwood
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area , town centre, parts of Apsley, Paradise area	Mr Mark Stephens	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands Business area and at all areas designated.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area should be explored within the East Hemel Hempstead Town Gateway Area Action Plan. The Council should should also give due to consideration to urban locations for live/work uses on a site specific basis and with regard to market demand.		Ms Caroline Harper
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area Other locations. Supports this but cannot suggest any sites not already known to you.	Mr Edward Kirby	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area.	Mr Sean Young	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area.	Lord . Corbett	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area.	Mr J.E.B James	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands Business area.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands Business Area.	Miss Sarah Young	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area.	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area. Live/Work with respect to Maylands must come after resolution of future of Buncefield.	Mr J W Neale	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands business area. Other locations - in other mixed use areas	Mrs Anna Barnard	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	None. Who would want to live near Buncefield now?	Miss Sara Constable	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Nowhere	Mr James Williams	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other locations	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other Locations	Mr Alan Proctor	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other Locations perhaps included into new neighbourhoods. Cutting car use.	Mr Derek Proctor	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other locations. Not known.	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other locations. Live/work units could be developed as part of an urban extension east of Hemel Hempstead as part of a sustainable new community incorporating a mix of uses.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other locations. Areas could be included into selected new neighbourhoods.	Mrs P M Proctor	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other locations. Areas could be included into selected new neighbourhoods.	Mrs P M Proctor	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other locations, but Maylands isn't a nice residential place	Mr Peter Lawrence	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Other: Nash Mills - part of site should retention of some employment land be necessary.		Unknown Unknown

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Possibly Maylands business area	Mr W H C Saxon	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mrs Katherine Berman	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Maylands	Mr Easley	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	Since Buncefield, any live/work projects in the Maylands business area may create problems i.e. insurance	Cllr Lucy Foster	
25	Question 25: Successful accommodation of Live/Work units	The Council considers that Live/work units could be successfully accommodated in Maylands and every other available site in the borough.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
26				
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	I am not qualified to comment on this	Mr Mark Stephens	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	I think they are all working, but the local small shopping centres need more support to remain viable. Can their rents be adjusted to ensure they remain in business?	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Maylands business area. Should only be considered after the future of Buncefield is resolved.	Mr J W Neale	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr John Baldwin	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr david richard norris	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Keith Long	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr michael wallis	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Mark Stephens	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr A Bolino	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Michael Tate	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Sean Young	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Peter Balding	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Paul Young	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Miss Sara Constable	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr G Rushton	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr P Rabson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	MR * FIELDING	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr V. Brooks	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr P L Ammann	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr David Bates	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr - Stillwell	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Barry Peach	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr A Stephenson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr John Forecast	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr * McClelland	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Colin Evans	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr James Williams	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	* Bratt	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Colin Neal	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Ron Starbrook	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Ron Starbrook	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Espley	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr C A Goman	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Robert Foster	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Robert Bell	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Charlton	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Wigmore	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs JP Millis	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr - Grieve	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr John Barson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Susan -	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs - Russell	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs - Barradone	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Paul Harris	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr J Biggs	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs S Gouch	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr * Raggatt	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Cllr M A Clark	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No		Mrs Christa Master
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No		Mr Sean McGrath
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No - The shops in Maylands Avenue, Adeyfield and Leverstock Green are adequate, although some enlargement of the Maylands Avenue Shopping area may prove worthwhile.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No (except improve retail facilities in the Maylands area).	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No (except improve retail facilities in the Maylands area).	Mr N Aitchison	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mr * Craven	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mr Peter Such	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No.	Mr Purkiss	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No. But, minimal enlargement of the Maylands Avenue shopping area may be considered	Mrs . Fletcher	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No. Except improve retail facilities in the Maylands area.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No. No new local centre to serve Maylands Business Park. Expand existing shops area which already exists in Maylands Ave.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No. No to having a new local centre to serve Maylands Business Park. Should expand existing shops which exist in Maylands Avenue.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Not sure but High Street needs some how to be linked to the main town centre to allow shoppers in without changing the look of the old town	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Q. 26-35. Please refer to the general comments contained within the County Council's core strategy responses to Q29-35.	Mr Russell Monck	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	No	Mr Espley	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Tring Town Council is satisfied with the boundaries of the existing town and local centres within Tring.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes - Agree with east Maylands as suggested in chapter 4.	Mrs Woodham	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes - agree with with East Hemel Hempstead Gateway	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes - Jarman Field, currently designated as a 'local centre' clearly fulfils a wider district shopping and leisure function. As such we consider that the Council should designate Jarman Fields as a higher order centre and acknowledge its wider catchment area. Its suitability to accommodate large scale retail development should be confirmed.	Ms P Farrell	Mr Sean McGrath
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes - The Local Centre to serve Maylands if Maylands is developed safely.	Mrs - Cox	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes do not expand as retail will decline due to the internet	Mr Peter Webber	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes Develop a centre at Maylands/Buncefield	Mr William Revolta	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes, S1 (Water Lane) should be deleted for retail and retained for mixed residential and parking	Mr Colin Westwood	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes. Agree Maylands should be considered.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes. Developing Maylands business area to give a centre of shops/facilities for businesses.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes. Maylands	Lord . Corbett	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes. New local centre for Maylands Business Area.	Mr Jeremy Child	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes. New centre for 'Maylands'!	Mr * Playle	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes. Scope to enlarge Stoneycroft, Warners End increased parking spaces by using very small piece of nearby parkland.	Mrs P M Proctor	
26	Question 26: Existing boundaries of town and local centres	Yes. Scope to enlarge Stoneycroft, Warners End. Increased parking spaces by using very small piece of nearby parkland.	Mrs P M Proctor	
27				
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	It is logical. Shops live or die according to their goods ranges, pricing structure and fashions. No Council can guarantee business viability. Oxford Street in London is losing stores because of the congestion charge. Less people came into central London and the departmental Stores are suffering. It is these kinds of expenses which make shops fall. Old Hemel High Street is having a similr problem because of less parking availability.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	No	Mr V. Brooks	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	No	Mr Graham Christie	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	No	Mrs - Barradone	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	No, Not if the expansion of Hemel Hempstead is forced through	Mr James Williams	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	No.	Mr Purkiss	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	No. Market a disgrace.	Mrs - Norwood	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Espley	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	The Council agrees with DBC's approach to defining the primary shopping areas in town centres.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Keith Long	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Sean Young	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr John N. Lawson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr William Revolta	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Joy Buckle	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr David Bates	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr - Stillwell	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr A Stephenson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Colin Evans	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermont	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Peter Kloss	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Ron Starbrook	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Ron Starbrook	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Robin Charlton	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Espley	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Keith Burgess	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Terence Buckle	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr - Grieve	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr John Barson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Anne Duvall	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes		Mrs Christa Master
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes I think so, although parking and congestion do also come into play. Is there any secondary area that might develop? In reality I guess not.	Mr Mark Stephens	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mr * Craven	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
27	Question 27: Defining the primary shopping area in town centres	Yes.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
28				
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Diversification and support for mixed use should be encouraged. However, the economics of a prosperous major shopping centre in Hemel seem to be elusive and i'm not sure that improvong this is entirely a planning issue. However, allowing more mixed use could encourage specialism and diversification which would benefit the shopping scene in Hemel itself	Mr Peter Kloss	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	It may be too late, but the loss of traditional shops is as marked in Hemel as many other towns. There are too many estate agents, insurance brokers etc. This needs to be looked at. Bring back shops and restaurants.	Mr Mark Stephens	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	More department stores and perhaps some specialist shops. Although strictly not retailing an entertainments venue and art gallery/museum are long overdue in the town.	Mr Mark Stephens	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr A Stephenson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Keith Long	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Roger Hall	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr A Bolino	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Michael Tate	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Sean Young	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Paul Young	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Miss Sara Constable	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr G Rushton	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr P Rabson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr John N. Lawson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr William Revolta	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Lord . Corbett	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Woodham	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr P L Ammann	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr David Bates	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr - Stillwell	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Barry Peach	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr John Forecast	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr * McClelland	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Colin Evans	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr J.E.B James	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs - Cox	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr James Williams	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	* Bratt	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Espley	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Derek Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Robert Foster	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Peter Foster	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Robert Bell	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Charlton	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Wigmore	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs JP Millis	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr - Grieve	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr J Tarbit	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr John Barson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Susan -	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs - Russell	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Paul Harris	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr J W Neale	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr J Biggs	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs S Gouch	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr * Playle	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr * Raggatt	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Clr Lucy Foster	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Clr M A Clark	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No		Mrs Christa Master
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No - Restriction of fascia size and design and retention of traditional details	Mr Colin Campbell	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No (Berkhamsted)	Mr Colin Westwood	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No.	Mr * Craven	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No.	Mr Purkiss	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	No	Mr Espley	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	The frontage of the buildings currently occupied by Tesco and others at 160 High St , Berkhamsted are out of character with the rest of the High St and would benefit from redevelopment.	Mr david richard norris	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	The shopping frontages identified in Tring are too limited. Tring TC would wish to include: 1. High Street, south side, Akeman Street to the Rose & Crown 2. Western Road, north side, from Miswell Lane (not including the 2 houses to the left of Miswell Lane) to the Royal Mail sorting office 3. The Miswell lane shops	Mrs Susan Johnson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	They have to be seen on an individual basis. Even Macdonalds change their frontage when pressured by the planners. It can be done. Shops should attract customers but do not need to be farish or ugly.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes - a more flexible approach to include cafes, cars and restaurants needs to be approved.	Mr Peter Balding	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes - The town centre shopping frontage should extend to include the college site		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes Hemel Hempstead - more mixed to encourage evening usage as town centre dies after 18h00	Mr Phil Pennington	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes, Encourage 'user friendly' establishments. Discourage estate agens, fast food outlets and other 'none domestic outlets'	Mr V. Brooks	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes, make good the old Pavilion site	Mrs P.A Fulton	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. Better use of old High Street for specialist retail stores	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. More mixed use generally. There are too many failing shops given over to tat and cheap stuff.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. Where appropriate, pubs, bars and restaurants should be allowed to have areas outside that can accommodate more people during given hours in place of built extensions.	Mr Graeme Berry	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. Frontages should reflect a modern town of mixed occupancy with visually attractive and welcoming frontages leaving the outside clear of clutter, other than cafe seats and tables.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. More cafes and restaurants.	Mr Jeremy Child	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. More mixed shopping frontages.	Mr Colin Neal	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. More retail outlets in Hemel rather than banks/pubs. Need more clothing stores like BHS.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. The flat roofs of the shops in the Marlowes at Hemel proclaim they are only temporary. The cheap paving is cracked and uneven. Gaps are filled with black asphalt. What proposals are there for improving this unsatisfactory development?	Mrs Ena Hartland	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. The suggestion that the car park area at Water Lane (and to include redevelopment of the present Civic Centre and backyard) at Berkhamsted should be fully investigated with intention of creating an alternative to Waitrose and further developing a proper commercial town centre.	Mr Peter Such	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. West side of Marlowes needs another department store to balance the Marlowes centre.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. Market square to become a shopping parade market transferred to the Marlowes.	Mr C A Goman	
28	Question 28: Spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring	Yes. More control in Tring High Street/Western Road to prevent any more cafes/restaurants; important to conserve shops.	Miss Alison Cockerill	
29				
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	1	Mr William Revolta	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	2	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	3	Mrs P M Seddon	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	3	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	No	MR * FIELDING	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Guy Patterson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr G Rushton	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Roy Ilott	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr P Rabson	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	MR * FIELDING	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr P L Ammann	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr David Bates	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Sunit Sharma	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Martin Chapman	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Richard Basterfield	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Robert Foster	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Paul Harris	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr J Biggs	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Douglas Doolan	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	ClIr Lucy Foster	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1		Mr Sean McGrath
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1 - designate as main shopping frontages	Mr Mark Stephens	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1.	Mr * Craven	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr david richard norris	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr michael wallis	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr Carlton Humphries	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr Mark Gardener	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mrs Maureen Driver	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr V. Brooks	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr Barry Peach	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr Jeremy Child	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr Graeme Berry	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mrs Ena Hartland	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr P.S. Thring	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr C C F Sutton	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr * Raggatt	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 2	Mr Edward Kirby	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr John Baldwin	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr norman jones	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Chris Crawley	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Roger Hall	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr A Bolino	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Michael Tate	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Peter Balding	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs K.F. Banks	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Christine Burton	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr B.J. Harris	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Julie Roberts	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs P.A Fulton	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Miss Sara Constable	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Colin Bayley	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Lisa Seggery	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr H G Clapham	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Stella Bayley	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr David Nobbs	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr John N. Lawson	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Colin Campbell	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Lord Corbett	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Gloria Odell	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Woodham	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Joy Buckle	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Allan Sellers	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr John Forecast	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Colin Evans	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr J.E.B James	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs K.A. Birch	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs - Cox	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Trevor Church	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Dr Roy King	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr James Williams	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Lewis Terry	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Lesley Lee	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs P M Proctor	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Miss Alison Cockerill	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Anthony William Fox	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Robin Charlton	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Espley	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr C A Goman	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Sheila Daley	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Doreen Wall	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Derek Proctor	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr R.F Lancaster	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Simon Dewar	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	option 3	Mr Purkiss	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Charlton	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Wigmore	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs JP Millis	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr N Aitchison	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Jennifer King	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Paul Skipp	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr J Tarbit	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr John Barson	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs - Norwood	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Susan -	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs - Russell	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Mark Berman	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Phil Pennington	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Keith Emerton	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs S Gouch	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr * Playle	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Miss Brenda Mariner	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mrs Ann Poole	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Cllr M A Clark	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3		Mrs Christa Master
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3		Mr R. M Sellwood
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3.	Mrs B. McCracken	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Option 3	Mr Espley	
29	Question 29: Options for the Riverside development	Yes, but the existing buildings, especially Debenham's do not have interesting elevations. The blank brick walls are very dull, and the lack of windows is claustrophobic for customers. The main shops would benefit from a covered street, so that customers are warmer and dryer than at present!	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
30				
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Confirm Ladbroke's continued support for the allocation of site for primarily retail warehousing which will be confirmed through an application in near future - site S3 - Jarmans Field		Ms Jacqueline Ford
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Just do not let them shrink any more!	Mr Peter Kloss	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Keith Long	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr michael wallis	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Roger Hall	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr A Bolino	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Michael Tate	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Sean Young	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Paul Young	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Miss Sara Constable	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr G Rushton	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr W H C Saxon	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr David Nobbs	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr P Rabson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr John N. Lawson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr William Revolta	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Lord . Corbett	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	MR * FIELDING	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr V. Brooks	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Woodham	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr P L Ammann	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr David Bates	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Barry Peach	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimdsdale	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr John Forecast	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr John Taylor	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr * McClelland	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Colin Evans	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr J.E.B James	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs - Cox	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Dr Roy King	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Colin Neal	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Espley	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Peter Foster	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Robert Bell	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Charlton	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs JP Millis	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr N Aitchison	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr John Barson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Susan -	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs - Russell	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs - Barradone	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Paul Harris	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr J W Neale	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr J Biggs	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs S Gouch	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr * Playle	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr * Raggatt	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Cllr M A Clark	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr david richard norris	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mr * Craven	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mr Purkiss	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No. I would be happy to see some extended and modernised, even specialised, areas - e.g antique collector type stores in certain areas, arts and crafts in another and specialist food shops in another that bring in other similar shops, farmers markets. For permanent retail units, how about Hemel's own Camden lock. Develop the town as an asset providing employment, countryside on our doorstep etc and not government induced soulless housing estates for 200.000.	Mr Mark Stephens	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Not specifically, but the shops need low rents to survive against the supermarkets. Can we prevent Tesco's from getting bigger? Local shopping is environmentally friendly and walking is healthier than driving.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	No	Mr Espley	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	See to Answer Question 28.	Mr C A Goman	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	The regeneration of Apsley centre, in keeping with the new housing developments there, is needed.	Mr Mark Stephens	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	There should be less estate agents, take aways, pound shops and insurance brokers.	Mr Mark Stephens	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes	Mr James Williams	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes - all local centres need to contain a mix of food stores and services i.e. chemists and newsagents	Mr Peter Balding	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes More in keeping with historical shop fronts. Future planning of shop fronts should be more in keeping with the historical shop fronts.	Mrs Jennifer Bismire	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes, cafe/bistro in Leverstock Green not a betting shop to replace greengrocers or butchers which are struggling	Mr Peter Webber	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes, The denes, Barnacres road - need for parking	Mr B.J. Harris	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. Leverstock Green - provision of a medical centre or surgery	Mr H G Clapham	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. Scrap the Riverside development parades.	Mr Peter Butterick	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. There are two 'Nursery centres' that have been permitted. In favour of extending this idea to other local centres. They meet the needs of communities and bring trade to existing businesses.	Cllr Lucy Foster	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. Hemel Hempstead shops should sell their goods inside the shop not on the pavement. This would make Hemel a smarter outlook.	Mrs - Norwood	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. Leverstock Green - provision of medical centre or surgery	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. Leverstock Green shopping parade needs a better convenience store (e.g. a Co-op) with cashpoint now cashpoint has gone from garage. Could extend shopping centre to where garage was. Also needs a lot more parking spaces.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. More encouragement for new shops, banks, post offices, to save trips to town centre and reduce parking problems. Grocery and greengrocery shops are essential.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. No bookmakers or slot machine arcades to be allowed in local centres.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. Enlarge parking area at Stoneycroft, Warners End.	Mrs P M Proctor	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. Less food take aways, less cafes and less bars.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
30	Question 30: Changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres	Yes. No betting shops. No slot machines.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
31				
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	31	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	As part of the redevelopment Hertfordshire Constabulary would request the provision of a Type 3 Police Station - see supporting letter.	Mr Michael Rachlin	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr david richard norris	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr A Bolino	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs Woodham	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr P L Ammann	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr David Bates	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr * McClelland	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Colin Neal	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr C A Goman	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr - Grieve	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs S Gouch	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No, do not agree	Mr Colin Westwood	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No. No new supermarket should be approved. Sites should be explored for residential use.	Mr Edward Kirby	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	No. You would have to put the car park somewhere else. Where? Besides it's an open space where people can move around, as was the new Waitrose site before it was built on and now extended. Leave it as it is.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Espley	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	This is fine. But PLEASE stipulate that the design of the rear walls should be as interesting as the frontages, so we do not get any more architectural deserts ripe for graffiti	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	We welcome reference to a mixed use scheme and trust that the Council will ensure that the scale of any development is appropriate to this traditional High Street, where building heights are mostly within three storeys. the highest standard of townscape design is expected.	Katharine Fletcher	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Mark Stephens	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Dr Roy King	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr James Williams	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Espley	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr John Barson	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr * Playle	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr * Playle	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mr Robert Bell	
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
31	Question 31: Should proposal site S1 be brought forward	Yes. Providing there is sufficient car parking provided for the whole of the High Street.	Cllr M A Clark	
32				
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr A Bolino	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr David Nobbs	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr James Williams	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr Colin Neal	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr - Grieve	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No	Mrs S Gouch	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Espley	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr norman jones	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Sean Young	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr David Bates	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Dr Roy King	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Espley	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr John Barson	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr * Playle	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes - traffic through Apsley is a nightmare. Very careful consideration must be given to this approach.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes generally	Mr Mark Stephens	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes.	Mr Carlton Humphries	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
32	Question 32: The Council's approach to proposal sites TWA9 and TWA10	Yes. To seek design advice.	Cllr M A Clark	
33				
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Keith Long	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Sean Young	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs P A Fulton	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Miss Sara Constable	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Peter Webber	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr David Nobbs	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr P Rabson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr John N. Lawson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr William Revolta	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Lord . Corbett	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	MR * FIELDING	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr V. Brooks	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr P L Ammann	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Joy Buckle	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr David Bates	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr John Taylor	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr * McClelland	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr J.E.B James	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs - Cox	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr James Williams	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	* Bratt	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Colin Neal	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Espley	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Robert Foster	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Terence Buckle	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Robert Bell	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Charlton	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Wigmore	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr - Grieve	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs - Russell	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Paul Harris	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr J W Neale	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mrs S Gouch	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr * Playle	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Cllr M.A. Clark	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. It should be kept as gardens, car parking etc	Mr Mark Stephens	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. There's already quite enough.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. This would include the Water gardens, which are a defining and original feature of Hemel Hempstead.	Mr Gruff Edwards	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. Too congested.	Mrs - Norwood	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. We have enough shops.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. What on earth are you thinking of?? Appalling loss of amenity!	Mr Chris Crawley	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. Would support up to Waterhouse Street. Do not support inclusion of Water Gardens by using Leighton Buzzard Road as the western boundary. Protection of open and public space through the town centre.	Ms Claire Covington	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No. As owners of the Marlowes Shopping Centre, my clients are keen to ensure that the principal focus for shopping remains within the existing shopping core of Hemel Hempstead and that any future proposals should only move forward on the basis that any additional floorspace is complementary and not competing with the existing shopping core of Hemel Hempstead. Previously it had been envisaged that this additional retail floorspace would take the form of a foodstore with a small element of additional non-food floorspace within the town. My clients also request that they are actively involved in any proposals for this site including the format and layout of any retail units proposed.		Mrs Christa Master
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	No	Mr Espley	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Since it seems difficult to fill the existing retail space planning more seems a bit odd - an increase in civic / community space would be more beneficial. As ever, funding is a problem which needs to be solved	Mr Peter Kloss	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr H G Clapham	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr W H C Saxon	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr - Stillwell	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Trevor Church	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Dr Roy King	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Lesley Lee	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr John Barson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr Alan Fantam	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes provided that the gardens and rover with the ducks and water features are not lost.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes, if the shops were of a different character to those in the Marlowes (i.e. smaller specialist shops)and sensitively integrated with the Water Gardens and some residential development to provide an enjoyable leisure shopping experience. At present , there is nothing to distinguish Hemel from a thousand other shopping streets in the country, and some imaginative planning will be needed.	Mr david richard norris	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes.	Mr * Craven	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
33	Question 33: Land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road and Marlowes for future shopping floorspace	Yes. If its is developed in next 5 years and not allowed to blight.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
34				
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Definitely not. The key factor to the survival of Tring High St for mixed retail is the availability of reasonable parking. Take away the parking and the High St will die. Yes it would be good if the former Budgens food store could be reopened (was the M&S rumour just pie in the sky) - a strong retailer here would have a major beneficial impact on the Town Centre.	Mr Tim Varley	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr A Stephenson	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Keith Long	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr A Bolino	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Michael Tate	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Sean Young	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Miss Sara Constable	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Peter Webber	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Peter Webber	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr G Rushton	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr David Nobbs	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr V. Brooks	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Woodham	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr David Bates	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Barry Peach	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr * McClelland	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Abby Ferment	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs - Cox	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Colin Neal	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Espley	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Peter Foster	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr P.M. Clark	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Graham Christie	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Robert Bell	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Charlton	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Wigmore	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs JP Millis	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr - Grieve	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs - Norwood	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Paul Harris	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Dawn Slade	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr J W Neale	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr J Biggs	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr * Playle	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr * Raggatt	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Clr Lucy Foster	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Clr M A Clark	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	NO never. This would kill all the other shops in Tring, and would be extremely detrimental to the town. Tesco's already have a store in Tring. It is big enough!	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No views on this issue.	Mr david richard norris	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No.	Mr Adrian Lee	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No. Unless very small like a co-op.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No. Housing more appropriate.	Mr michael wallis	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No. The society, as lessees of part of the land, have a vest albeit 'not for profit' interest that its designation should not be changed. As residents of the town, many of us have been puzzled and impatient at the continuing vacancy of the Dolphin Square supermarket. Its suitability and convenience to the town centre is wholly obvious. To develop a further site for a supermarket which would encourage more trade away from the town centre does not reflect the current emphasis on issues such as sustainability.	T.H. Amsden	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No. This area is important as a market, car park and auction rooms - the nicest part of Tring which should retain its country town charm	Mr Mark Stephens	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No. Old Budgens site is now being redeveloped.	Miss Alison Cockerill	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	No	Mr Espley	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Supermarket expansion at the cost of local retail sites is currently a hot topic - prefer recycling the existing site than another big supermarket on the fringe sucking the life out of the existing shops	Mr Peter Kloss	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Tescos needs competition, but we are led to believe that M7S is already lined up to move to the Budgens site. Forge car park could be used, NOT the cattle market	Mrs Janet Rook	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Tring Market Place (formerly the Cattle Market site) and the Forge car park should not be allocated for a new supermarket. There is already a supermarket in London Road and a town-centre site for one (currently unoccupied) in Dolphin Square. These two provide adequate supermarket provision for Tring. Additionally the use of this site for retail would have a seriously detrimental effect on the historic core of the town and town centre car parking provision; and result in the loss of the new, dedicated Charter Market site.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Paul Fletcher	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr James Williams	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes, Agree with T/r1 but should be enlarged to include T/h13 for comprehensive re-development includig a supermarket on the Cattle Market Site.		Mr Sean McGrath
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
34	Question 34: A new supermarket at land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park, Tring	Yes.	Mrs S Gouch	
35				
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Any expansion of out of centre retailing would not create an additional out of centre regional/sub regional centre. Policy E6 of the Proposed Changes document states that there is no need for additional centres within the East of England region during the plan period (up to 2021)	Mr Stewart Patience	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	In line with the current top level policy expansion should be discouraged so don't grow the boundaries	Mr Peter Kloss	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr John Baldwin	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr david richard norris	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Keith Long	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Guy Patterson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr michael wallis	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Roger Hall	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr A Bolino	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Michael Tate	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Peter Balding	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Miss Sara Constable	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr G Rushton	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr W H C Saxon	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr P Rabson	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr William Revolta	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	MR * FIELDING	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr P L Ammann	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr David Bates	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr - Stillwell	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Barry Peach	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	MR Kelvin Archer	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr John Taylor	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr * McClelland	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr J.E.B James	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Abby Fermon	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Peter Hobson	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Dr Roy King	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr James Williams	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Espley	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr C A Goman	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Stuart Turner	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Peter Foster	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr P.M. Clark	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Graham Christie	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Robert Bell	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Charlton	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Wigmore	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs JP Millis	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr - Grieve	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr John Barson	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs - Norwood	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Susan -	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs - Russell	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs - Barradone	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Paul Harris	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr J W Neale	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr J Biggs	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs S Gouch	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr * Raggatt	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Cllr M A Clark	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No		Mrs Christa Master
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No - no more out of centre retailers.	Mrs - Cox	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No No further expansion.	Mrs Jennifer Bismire	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No.	Mr Peter Such	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No.	Mr Purkiss	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No. No more out of town shops.	Mr and Mrs Tominey	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No. Should not be encouraged.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No. A lot of us don't drive. How are we supposed to get to these out of town complexes.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No. As long as it is only minor.	Mr Mark Berman	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No. Specifically not Stoneycroft, where previous attempts to expand into Northridge Park were withdrawn following a 100-strong petition.	Mr Gruff Edwards	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	NO. The level of pollution from cars is already too high. Asthma is increasing in children at an alarming rate. The more out-of-town supermarkets there are, the less local shops and the more pollution. Why do it?	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	No	Mr Espley	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes As not a large expansion	Mrs Katherine Berman	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	S3 in conflict with potential uses at Maylands. The Council, as landowner, must take an impartial view.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	S3 is in conflict with potential uses at Maylands. The Council, as landowner, must take an impartial view.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	S3 is in conflict with potential uses at Maylands. The Council, as landowner, must take an impartial view.	Mr N Aitchison	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	This should be played by ear. If there is a need for more shops then applications may come in. At present the shops are just Ok, not making large profits, Enlarge and there is a risk of unsightly empty buildings, not busy streets.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes	Mr * Playle	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes - expansion in line with any new development	Mrs Woodham	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes - Expansion to coincide with any new local residential development	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes - for improvement purposes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes - minor changes, if they lead to improving and enhancing facilities.	Mr Mark Stephens	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes - Save having to pay to park your car in the town	Mr Paul Fletcher	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes to Apsley if needed as it is reasonably central and can be accessed by public transport. No to sites without good public transport links.	Mr Norman Jones	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes, allow large stores like Walmart	Mr Peter Webber	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes, changes should be made to allow for the expansion of retail floorspace to existing retail outlets in principle out of centre retail locations to protect the ability of existing retailers to respond to future growth in Dacorum. This would make best use of Previously Developed Land and help to preserve the Green Belt by reducing pressure for Greenfield Development.		Mr Sean McGrath
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes, save having to pay car parking in Hemel Hempstead town centre	Mrs . Fletcher	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes. Parking is the main problem in the centre. That is the main advantage of out of centre locations.	Mr H G Clapham	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes. Increase size of boundaries to allow greater service and facilities.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes. Parking is the main problem in the centre of town so people use 'out of centre' shopping more readily.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
35	Question 35: Expansion of the main out of centre retail locations	Yes. Change boundaries to encourage their expansion.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
36				
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	A by-pass to Water End village is highly desirable in order to retain the character of this hamlet, facilitate traffic movement and avoid road traffic accidents.	Mr Michael Putnam	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Any approach to transport problems needs to consider the multi-modal non-car approach first before considering car based options (see Policy T1 in Proposed Changes to Draft RSS14 which seeks to reduce the rate of road traffic growth).	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Both no	Mrs - Russell	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	I do not think that proposal Ti should be retained as it has not been possible to identify a suitable line and there would be major nature conservation impacts.	Mrs Margaret Stanier	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No	Lord . Corbett	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Dr R Gilbert	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Miss Sara Constable	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Mr Peter Webber	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Mr Peter Butterick	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Mrs Abby Fermont	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Mrs - Cox	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Mr C A Goman	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Mrs Doreen Wall	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both	Mrs Anna Barnard	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both A Water End by-pass would wreck the aesthetic beauty and rural charm of Water End. We already have too much concrete and noise pollution in the area. Tunnel fields link is far too close to Ashridge.	Mr Paul Harris	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both The building of these roads would spoil the beauty and natural habitat of the area.	Mrs Espley	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both Ti - wreck the aesthetic beauty and rural charm of Water End Tii - Is too close to AONB	Mr Phil Pennington	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both, ecological grounds - due to the impact on Wildlife Sites and local river valley and parkland sites.	Miss Carol Lodge	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both. New roads only attract more traffic and increase congestion elsewhere. Tunnel Fields will result in more Bridgewater Road traffic and then more jams at bridge by railway station.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both. They should both be deleted because they haven't come forward in the life of the plan and both would have implications for the AONB (directly and indirectly).	Mr Colin White	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both. Ti is within a very sensitive area. Any bypass to the west would impact severely upon the ecological character of the river valley and its habitats, whilst any bypass to the east would also impact upon wetland habitats and parkland. Tiii at Tunnel Fields would severely impact upon the last surviving remnant of chalk grasslands in the former area of extensive calcareous grassland, all of which has now been lost to development. The road would subdivide and fragment the small site that currently remains	Mr Martin Hicks	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both. The existing facilities are adequate.	Mr J Biggs	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to Tiii (Tunnel Fields link). A new road link would induce new traffic and create more 'rat running' across Ashridge and other parts of the Chilterns, especially to Berkhamsted Station. It would be detrimental to recent initiatives by bodies like the Chilterns Conservation Board.	Mr Graeme Cannon	Mr Michael Stubbs
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to Water End Bypass - Because no satisfactory line has been identified and it would have a major conservation impact. Don't know enough about it - where would the bypass feed into.	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No view. There is too much congestion around Leverstock Green and between Leverstock Green and St Albans. The infrastructure will not support further development.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No No	Mrs P M Seddon	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No No	Mr Paul Skipp	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No No	Mrs Susan -	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No Yes	Mr - Grieve	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No.	Mr Gruff Edwards	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Not applicable	Mrs . Baird	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Perhaps a cheaper and more acceptable option to Ti would be for a new wider bridge to be constructed on an angle across the river and the road widened on the east side through the village and moved over a few feet from the houses.	Mr P Rabson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	No to both The building of these roads would spoil the beauty and natural habitat of the area	Mr Espley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	T1 - Yes Tiii - No	Clr M A Clark	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	T1 Yes, long overdue T2 No	Mr michael wallis	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	The 'A4146 Water End Bypass' and the 'Tunnel Fields link to New Road' schemes were not included in the second Local Transport Plan 2006/7 - 2010/11 published in March 2006. These scheme proposals have in the past faced local opposition and previous traffic feasibility studies have shown the schemes not to be viable. Neither scheme will be included in the County Council's West Hertfordshire Area Plan which is currently being developed.	Mr Russell Monck	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	The bridge on Leighton Buzzard Road needs to be widened, but not the whole road, although some corners sight lines need improvement for traffic safety. The bridge at Northchurch is useful as it restricts unwanted through traffic to the town.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	The Water End Bypass should still be considered. It is a bottleneck for traffic at certain times of the day and must lead to a loss of quality of life to residents along the road.	Mr Martin Warburton	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes. Congestion is already a problem so further development would make it far more difficult for travelling to employment at least.	Mrs Julie Rabson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti No Tiii No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No Tiii - No	Mr A Bolino	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes, possibly	Mr P Rabson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - new single carriageway A4146 Water End bypass - the present road is inadequate so this proposal should be retained.	Mr Robert Spence	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No	Mr John N. Lawson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No	Mr Colin Campbell	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No Tiii - No	Mr * Playle	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No Tiii - No	Mrs Ann Poole	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No Tiii - No comment Bypass would have a detrimental effect on the Gade Valley environment that would outweigh disturbance to residents by current A4146 traffic which could be controlled by further speed restrictions.	Mr David Nobbs	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No Tiii - No We have too many other uses for this money and any "improvements" would generate even more traffic.	Mr Edward Kirby	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No Tiii - Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti No Tiii No Existing roads should be improved before building new ones. Roads in this area are poorly marked and littered with pot holes.	Mr Lewis Terry	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - No. Tii - No. Will encourage commuter road traffic, which should be pushed towards rail links.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes	Mrs * Pratt	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes	Mrs Woodham	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes	Mr Trevor Church	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes - A water end bypass should be restricted to bypassing the village itself and must be sensitively routed and landscaped, preferably in a curring. Tiii- No - A link to New Road would encourage ratrunning through the Chiltern Park estate.	Mr P.S. Thring	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes to enhance water end and improve safety	Mrs Dorothy Craven	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Current road unsuitable for heavy vehicles that presently use road	MR * FIELDING	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes The present road is inadequate	Mrs Diana Spence	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tii - No	Mr Colin Bayley	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tii - No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Don't know	Mr John Baldwin	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No	Mr Mark Stephens	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No	Mrs P M Proctor	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No	Mr Colin Neal	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No	Mr Derek Proctor	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No	Mrs - Norwood	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No Ti - this road causes heavy congestion at rush hour times and is particularly dangerous to negotiate in the Water End Bridge Area.	Mr Wigmore	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - No. The Town Council opposes the construction of the link road which will lead to heavy traffic flows through a residential area and increase congestion in Billet Lane/Bridgewater Road and the High Street.	Mr Colin Westwood	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mr Keith Long	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Dr Roy King	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Tiii - Yes To eliminate traffic congestion at rush hour times.	Miss Brenda Mariner	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes Traffic from Leighton Buzzard gets bottlenecked everyday	Mr Michael Tate	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes, some improvement seems to be ultimately needed but would need sensitive planning as critical environmental site. Tiii -No.	Mr norman jones	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes. A4146 is quite a dangerous road as it is, and Water End needs preserving as it is a beautiful village.	Mrs Julie Roberts	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - yes. Dangerous bends and bridge, with no pavement Tiii - no	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes. This has been a traffic bottleneck for a long time. Increased housing / employment in the area will only exacerbate the situation.	Mr Peter Balding	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes. As long as it solves congestion problem. Bad traffic congestion on Water End bridge. Traffic not sure when to go - causes tailbacks. Need to bypass bridge.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes. Tii - No. Ti - single carriageway unsustainable with continued growth of Hemel Hempstead but needs to be part of overall transport plan with East of England Plan, plus show mitigating nature conservation benefit as part of north Hemel Hempstead/River Gade corridor. Otherwise bottle neck will just move from Water End to Piccotts End. Tii - impact on Tunnel Fields, are the required levels/visibility achievable? Is there the demand for the link?	Ms Claire Covington	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti - Yes. To preserve character of Water End - but only if new need can be sympathetically blended into the area - north of A4146? I would not argue for a faster road, but a safer one.	Mr Martin Chapman	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes. Tiii No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti and Tiii Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti is through a very environmentally sensitive area and the line would be very difficult to draw - it certainly needs working up to a detailed plan but options to divert traffic from the A4146 Hemel - Dagnall should be considered in parallel Tiii seems a sensible thing but the residents of Hall Park may not be too pleased - noise control measures would be needed	Mr Peter Kloss	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti No Tiii No	Mr Sean Young	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti No Tiii No	Mr Paul Young	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti No Tiii No	Mrs Tracey Young	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti -Yes Tiii - No	Mr * Raggatt	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes Tiii yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes Tiii Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes Tiii Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti Yes. Water End very narrow and dangerous.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti) No. The main traffic on this route is at rush hour and a new road would generally diminish the rural aspect whilst only marginally improving traffic flow at these times.	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti) No. The unique beautiful water meadows would be ruined and the whole programme for the Upper Gade rendered useless. Tiii) No. Roads suck in traffic to capacity and never solve the problems, even if it makes sense on paper.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti) Yes	Mr H G Clapham	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti) Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti) Yes Tii) No	Mr Mark Gardener	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti) Yes Tiii) No	Mr * McClelland	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti) Yes Tiii) Yes	Mr David Bates	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	ti) Yes tiii) Yes reduce congestion and increased safety.	Mr V. Brooks	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti)Yes Tiii)No, to protect waters end it would require careful landscaping	Mr Guy Patterson	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti. Now if not sooner.	Mr W H C Saxon	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti. No Tii. No Inappropriate ideas in defiance of the 'natural' state of these areas. In Tiii there is no provision for the dispersement of traffic after passing through Chiltern Park and either possible route is inappropriate to carry more traffic, of which both Gossoms End and Bridgewater Road are already overloaded.	Mr Peter Such	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti. No Tii. No.	Miss Sarah Young	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Ti. Yes	Mr * Craven	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Tii) No	Mr P L Ammann	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Tiii - Yes because it was in DBC 1991-2011 and without 'major condition change' which has not occurred, cannot be reviewed.	Mr Alan Fantham	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Tiii Yes	Mrs Anne Duvall	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Water End bypass - obvious difficulties with the line but, on balance, yes. There may also be some benefit in starting the bypass before the previous bridge(between Red Lion and the Potten End road) as there is the potential for a serious accident on this blind bend. No views on Tunnel Fields link	Mr david richard norris	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Carlton Humphries	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	YES TO BOTH	Mr William Revolta	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mrs Maureen Driver	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Tim Amsden	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr - Stillwell	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Barry Peach	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	MR Kelvin Archer	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Cllr . Taunton	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr James Williams	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Richard Basterfield	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Anthony William Fox	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Graeme Berry	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Stuart Turner	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Graham Christie	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Robert Bell	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mrs Charlton	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to Both	Mr Paul Havinden	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr Douglas Doolan	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	yes to both, only if local residents agree	Mr Simon Dewar	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both.	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to both.	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes to Ti. No to Tiii.	Mr G Rushton	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes No	Mr Alan Proctor	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
36	Question 36: Retention of Proposals Ti and Tiii	Yes, a Water End bypass is desperately needed. Living there must be absolute hell! Sighting across the present single lane bridge is very bad, and I've seen several near accidents.	Mr Tim Bourne	
37				
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a), b) and c) Yes to all.	Mr Colin Neal	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	(a) A4251 Yes (b) Plough Roundabout Yes (c) Chesham Road Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	(a) Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	(a) Yes (b) Yes, if increased capacity can be achieved without major construction, otherwise no. (c) Yes	Mr norman jones	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a - Yes, b - No	Ms Claire Covington	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a & b No c Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a & c no b yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a & c No b Yes	Mrs Susan -	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a and c no b yes	Mrs - Russell	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) No	Mrs B. McCracken	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) No	Mrs Ann Poole	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) No to all	Mr * Playle	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) No to all	Mr Alan Fantham	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) No to all.	Mr * McClelland	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) - c) Yes to all	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Roundabout No c) Chesham Road No	Mr Sean Young	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Roundabout No c) Chesham Road No	Mr Paul Young	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Roundabout No c) Chesham Road No	Mrs Tracey Young	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Roundabout No c) Chesham Road No	Mr Lewis Terry	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Roundabout No c) Chesham Road No	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Roundabout No c) Chesham Road Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Roundabout No c) Chesham Road No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 No b) Plough Yes c) Chesham Road Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) A4251 Yes b) Plough Roundabout Yes c) Chesham Road Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No	Mr P L Ammann	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No	Mr Martin Chapman	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No	Mr J W Neale	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) and c) Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) No c) Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) No c) Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) No c) Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) No c) Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) No c) Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) No c) Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) Yes	Mr Trevor Church	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) Yes Make full use of bypass.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) Yes c) No	Cllr M A Clark	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) Yes c) Yes	Mr David Bates	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No b) Yes c) Yes	Mr J Biggs	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) no b)no c)no	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No, traffic should use the bypass, this road has residential houses on it, its used as a race track!	Mrs P.A Fulton	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No. Why was the bypass built!! b) No. Already dangerous. c) No. Already dangerous.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) No. b) No. c) No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) no c) Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) No c) Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) yes b) yes c) yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b) Yes c) Yes	Dr Roy King	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes b)Yes C) No Yes to nothern bypass	Mr Guy Patterson	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a) Yes; b) No; c) Don't know	Mr John Baldwin	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a), b), c) No to all.	Mr Edward Kirby	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a)No b)No c)Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a)Yes b)Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a, This is already a good road. b. The Plough roundabout is overcrowded and dangerous in the rush hour. I hope that it can be altered to be a series of traffic lights, and widened to make it easier to see the lanes and turnings. The small roundabouts are too close together for crowded conditions. and will be worse when the Kodak Tower is developed. c. No need it is ample for current use.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a. No b. Yes c. Yes	Mrs Ann Johnson	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a. No.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a. Yes b. No c. Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	a. Yes b. Yes c. No	Mr Peter Such	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All No	Mrs P M Seddon	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All no	Mrs - Cox	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All no	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All Yes	Mr - Stillwell	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All Yes	Mr - Grieve	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	All Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b & c - yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) No	Mr J.E.B James	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) No c) Yes	Mr John Taylor	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) Plough Roundabout Yes.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) Plough Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) Yes	Lord . Corbett	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) Yes	Mrs Gloria Odell	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b) Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	B) YES A) AND C) NO	Mr William Revolta	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	b. Yes.	Mr * Craven	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	c) No	Mrs Charlton	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	c) Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	c) Yes	Mrs * Pratt	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	c) Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	c) yes, in addition to this junction there is a need for a further link road from Chesham Road to Swing Gate Lane through land at Ashlyns Farm to relieve local traffic congestion. This is proposed as part of the masterplan for the site Be/H2 submitted on behalf of our client Legal and General Assurance Society Ltd.	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	c)A41 Chesham Road Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Further detailed consideration should be given to improving road access to the west and north west of Hemel Hempstead. This area has potential for growth to meet regional needs. Improvements might include a Northern Bypass but could also be smaller in scale and related to public transport.	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	It is difficult to see how any additional road capacity can be achieved without significant disruption and expense, but ideally: a) A4251 -Yes b) Plough Roundabout -Yes c) A41 Chesham Road junction - No, but review the phasing/removal of the traffic lights at the Felden junction.	Mr david richard norris	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Minor junction improvements at the Plough roundabout and possible widening of the link road to the A41 bypass should be considered.	Mr N Aitchison	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Most of the congestion on the A4251 in Apsley is due to the poor siting of the retail park, with no thought given to the additional traffic it would bring. No A41/Chesham Road junction phasing of the two sets of lights needs to be improved. No The Plough roundabout - not sure	Mr michael wallis	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Most of the congestion on the A4251 in Apsley is due to the poor siting of the retail park, with no thought given to the additional traffic it would bring. The main problem I've experienced at the A41/Chesham Road junction is the poor phasing of the two sets of lights. Part of the problem at the Plough roundabout is the amount of through traffic using it.	Mr Tim Bourne	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No objection in principle to improvements to the A41 junction however the proximity to the AONB to the west should be borne in mind in any revisions, particularly in connection with the level of light for example.	Mr Colin White	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to a Yes to b and c	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to a) b) and c)	Mr A Bolino	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mrs * Sheldrake	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Miss Sara Constable	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Peter Webber	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr H G Clapham	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	MR * FIELDING	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Tim Amsden	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mrs Woodham	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mrs Abby Fermont	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Richard Basterfield	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mrs Lesley Lee	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Paul Harris	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Phil Pennington	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	no to all	Mr Douglas Doolan	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Wigmore	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all three	Mrs Sheila Daley	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all three	Mrs Anna Barnard	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all Without the extra housing the roads can cope but money should be spent on the existing roads to bring them to a reasonable standard.	Mrs Espley	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all.	MR Kelvin Archer	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all.	Miss Sarah Young	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all.	Mr C A Goman	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to b) and c)	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Northern by pass - yes. Chesham road - no. Plough Roundabout - yes. Can part of the Kodak site be brought into play to alleviate Plough roundabout issues? A4251 - yes.	Mr Mark Stephens	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	No to all	Mr Espley	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mrs Katherine Berman	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	The A4251 congestion problem is the result of so many retail developments having a single ended access from this road. Better to look at a parallel road as part of developments on the Manor Estate / Shendish land to divert traffic and possibly rear exits from the retail parks, eg the Sainsbury site. Sadly, developments of housing across the canal have made access from this direction almost impossible to achieve. Networks are better at handling traffic ..	Mr Peter Kloss	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	The congestion at the Plough roundabout is largely due to traffic from the A41 heading for the M1 or Maylands Industrial Area. An overpass leading directly from Two Waters Road to the dual carriageway St Albans Road would ease this congestion and should be considered.	Mr Michael Putnam	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	The County Council still supports the need to tackle congestion problems on the A4251 and considers that there are limited options to increase capacity at the Plough roundabout. The need for capacity improvements at the A41 Chesham Road junction will be investigated as part of the Berkhamsted Urban Transport Plan.	Mr Russell Monck	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	The question of increasing road capacity is not just a matter for the A4251. Many of the unclassified through routes cannot cope with existing traffic flows and would gridlock if there is any major development.	Mr Michael Putnam	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to a)	Mrs S Gouch	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to A41 Chesham Road junction.	Mr Peter Hobson	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Colin Bayley	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mrs Stella Bayley	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Carlton Humphries	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mrs Maureen Driver	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Sunit Sharma	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Barry Peach	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	MR Kelvin Archer	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr James Williams	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Anthony William Fox	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Graeme Berry	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Stuart Turner	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Derek Proctor	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr R.F Lancaster	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Mr Paul Havinden	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all	Clr Lucy Foster	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all - new road schemes should be looked at due to increased traffic	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to all.		Unknown
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to b) and c)	Mrs Joy Buckle	Unknown
37	Question 37: Inclusion of new road schemes on the A4251, the Plough Roundabout and A41 Chesham Road	Yes to b) and c)	Mr Terence Buckle	
38				
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	If necessary by decking the existing car park - but Riverside has added a completely new Car Park?	Mr Peter Kloss	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Keith Long	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Sean Young	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Paul Young	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Miss Sara Constable	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Peter Webber	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr G Rushton	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr John N. Lawson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Lord . Corbett	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Woodham	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr John Forecast	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Colin Evans	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr D M Farquharson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr J.E.B James	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Clr . Taunton	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs - Cox	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Trevor Church	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Dr Roy King	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Espley	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr C A Goman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Robert Bell	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Charlton	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs JP Millis	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr J Tarbit	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr John Barson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Paul Harris	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Mark Berman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs S Gouch	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr * Playle	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr * Raggatt	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No		Mrs Christa Master
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No, additional parking capacity has recently provided.	Mr david richard norris	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No, only in line with future developments and a charging regime should be continued.	Mr norman jones	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No.	Mr * Craven	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Parking at Hemel Hempstead has become so expensive that it results in people parking in dangerous locations and increases road congestion into London.	Mr Anthony Lucey	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mrs Katherine Berman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	No	Mr Espley	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Q38-39. Additional parking provision would encourage car borne trips into town centres contrary to PPG Notes 13 Transport.	Mr Russell Monck	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr H G Clapham	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr David Bates	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr - Stillwell	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Miss * Lovell	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr James Williams	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	* Bratt	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Keith Burgess	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr - Grievie	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Paul Havinden	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes - a little	Mrs Julie Roberts	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes - and it should go back to the New TownS Commission concept of free parking to attract shoppers into the town. In addition, shop keepers should be allocated spaces to encourage them to car share and not take up too many spaces themselves.	Mr Mark Stephens	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes, but free.	Mr W H C Saxon	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes. With reasonable prices. Park and ride should be advertised more.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes. Free Parking	Mrs Stella Bayley	
38	Question 38: Additional parking in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre	Yes. It is very hard to park now, and with all the development in progress will soon be almost impossible. his will damage the shops trade. Hemel needs several big 24 hour car parks, for business in the day and residents at night.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
39				
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	More parking space is certainly needed in central Berkhamsted but the Town Council rejects the Water Lane feasibility study and it cannot thus be used as a demonstration as to how such increased parking may be achieved. (Councillor Lanchin, Chairman of the Transport Committee).	Mr Colin Westwood	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Keith Long	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Sean Young	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Paul Young	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Janet Rook	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Peter Webber	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr G Rushton	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	MR * FIELDING	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr John Forecast	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Colin Evans	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr D M Farquharson	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Clr . Taunton	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Colin Neal	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Robin Charlton	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Espley	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr C A Goman	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Charlton	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Paul Harris	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs S Gouch	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Anne Duvall	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Parking at Berkhamsted has become so expensive that it results in people parking in dangerous locations and increases road congestion into London.	Mr Anthony Lucey	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Possibly but decking of the existing CP should be preferred?	Mr Peter Kloss	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Q39 No	Mr Espley	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Q39 Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Short term parking should be available within short walking distance to elderly or infirm patients (visiting e.g. chiropodist) who do not qualify for disabled badge.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Mark Stephens	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr H G Clapham	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Paul Fletcher	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr David Bates	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr - Stillwell	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Miss * Lovell	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Trevor Church	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr James Williams	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	* Bratt	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Peter Such	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Ena Hartland	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr - Grieve	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs . Fletcher	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr * Playle	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Clr M A Clark	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes - and more provision should be made for people working in the town. Virtually all Town Centre parking is limited to a maximum of 4 hours which pushes vehicles onto the surrounding residential streets.	Mrs Sarah King	Mrs Sarah King
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes, all the side roads are jammed every day.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes, but how? Need to get the Collegiate School to provide adequately for its staff, pupils and visitors on its own land.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes, with park and ride from either end.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes.	Mr * Craven	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
39	Question 39: Additional parking in Berkhamsted Town Centre	Yes. Free Parking	Mrs Stella Bayley	
40				
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	convenient to shops	Mr B.J. Harris	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Herts County Council would support the need for lorry parking in principle if a need becomes identified.	Mr Russell Monck	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Maybe. If so it should be near Maylands.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Maylands Avenue	Mr Graeme Berry	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Keith Long	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr A Bolino	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Michael Tate	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Sean Young	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Paul Young	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs P.A Fulton	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Miss Sara Constable	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Peter Webber	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr G Rushton	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr V. Brooks	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Woodham	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr David Bates	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Barry Peach	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr John Forecast	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr * McClelland	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Cllr . Taunton	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs - Cox	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Dr Roy King	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr James Williams	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	* Bratt	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Colin Neal	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr C A Goman	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Robert Bell	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Wigmore	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr - Grieve	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Paul Skip	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Paul Harris	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr J Biggs	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs S Gouch	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr * Playle	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr * Playle	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr * Raggatt	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Clr Lucy Foster	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No - should be built into any development on the Maylands industrial estate area	Mr Graham Christie	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No idea, not a lorry driver	Mrs Stella Bayley	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No.	Mr * Craven	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
		Because lorries are parked in certain areas doesn't mean we should encourage the practice by providing space in those areas.		
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Parking at Berkhamstead and Hemel Hempstead has become so expensive that it results in people parking in dangerous locations, e.g. close to a right angle bend in New Road, and increases road congestion into London.	Mr Anthony Lucey	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mr H G Clapham	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes - Bourne End industrial estate off the A41.	Clr M A Clark	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes	Mr Trevor Church	
		Near to M1		
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes, in Maylands Avenue industrial area, instead of lorries parking in the Bus Stops on either side of Breakspear Way	Mr Anthony William Fox	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes, somewhere on the industrial estate and near to motorway access points (Jn 8 M1 and Jn 20 M25) to avoid filling laybys with large trucks at night.	Mr Mark Stephens	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. Buncefield / Maylands	Mr Mervyn Sellick	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. Buncefield.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. Near junction 8 of M1 and off M25 at Kings Langley.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. The Frogmore / Apsley area needs to be looked at, especially since the A41 bypass means more lorries arriving from the west / south of Hemel.	Mr Peter Balding	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. MAYLANDS OR ON DEFUNCT BUNCEFIELD OIL TERMINAL	Mr William Revolta	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. Maylands, if needed.	Lord . Corbett	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. Object to lorries parking on the road without lights in Maylands Business Centre (Boundary Way)	Mrs Ena Hartland	
40	Question 40: Additional site needed for overnight lorry parking	Yes. Buncefield	Mr Phil Pennington	
41				
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Any means of improving public transport access to Maylands park should be considered - access to the rail network is poor because of the distance. Access from the East is a particular issue because of congestion and a P+R service should start back from the Redbourn area at least if a suitable parking location could be found. The Gateway plans complicate this as does the Buncefield exclusion zone issue.	Mr Peter Kloss	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Better to corridor through the East Hemel Hempstead Town Gateway Action Plan?	Ms Claire Covington	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Is not the Maylands area on the eastern side of Hemel Hempstead?	Mr John Barson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr John Baldwin	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Michael Tate	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Paul Young	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	MR * FIELDING	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Wigmore	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No. Waste of money as business people have their own priorities.	Mr W H C Saxon	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No. Park and ride scheme in town centre is sporadic and not used much.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	No. Would it really work?	Mr Edward Kirby	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Q41 Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Q41 Yes	Mr Easley	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	The County Council encourages the provision of Park and Ride schemes subject to these achieving a reduction in overall traffic and as part of an overall traffic management strategy. The need for a scheme and it location needs to be subject to further analysis and study and will no doubt be a consideration in taking forward the Hemel Hempstead Urban Transportation Plan.	Mr Russell Monck	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Robert Spence	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Keith Long	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	yes	Mr Gruff Edwards	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Diana Spence	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Joy Buckle	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr David Bates	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Miss * Lovell	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Colin Evans	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Dr Roy King	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr James Williams	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Espley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Keith Burgess	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Ena Hartland	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Paul Havinden	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr * Playle	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes	Mr Trevor Church	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes (subject to consultation and reasonable charges).	Mr N Aitchison	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes (subject to consultation and reasonable charges.)	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes most definitely	Mr Mark Stephens	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes The constabulary supports this proposal which, if designed to incorporate comprehensive security and safety measures, should relieve traffic and parking pressures in the town. The P & R scheme must, however, be designed to meet 'Safer Parking - Park Mark' to ensure that it contributes to the implementation of the Community Plan and Community Safety Partnership Strategy which seek to reduce crime.	Mr Michael Rachlin	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes, with reservations. Park and Ride's main objective is to reduce town centre traffic and the need for extensive car park development. Thus a development on main ways into town is useful. There is no way a park and ride could 'serve the maylands Business Park', people would continue to their place of work.	Mr norman jones	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mr * Craven	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes. Plus better bus service from town centre and railway station	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes. A good idea	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
41	Question 41: Park and Ride scheme for eastern side of Hemel Hempstead	Yes. This should be considered as part of development of a mixed use urban extension to the east of the town serving the new and existing population.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
42				
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	A resounding 'No'	Mr Colin White	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	I consider there is a good case for expanding the car parking at Tring Station. If more people use the train to commute rather than the A41 etc. this must be beneficial although there is the negative effect of local increased traffic volumes in Station Road. Recent residential development across the county boundary at Pitstone is bound to add to parking demand.	Mr Tim Varley	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr A Bolino	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Michael Tate	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Paul Young	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Miss Sara Constable	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Peter Webber	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr G Rushton	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr V. Brooks	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr John Forecast	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr * McClelland	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Colin Neal	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Robert Bell	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Paul Harris	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No	Clr M A Clark	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No.	Mr Adrian Lee	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Only if there is evidence that commuters are not using the train because of lack of parking.	Mr david richard norris	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Q42 Yes	Mr Espley	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	The County Council supports the current proposal to upgrade Tring station as a whole where it would provide improvements to parking, bus interchange and cycling facilities.	Mr Russell Monck	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Tring Sta. car park could be expanded but it would be better to review development options that could put homes within walking distance of the station (c. 2km radius) without too much adverse impact on the surroundings. This could be a better environmental trade-off	Mr Peter Kloss	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Tring Station car park should be extended in accordance with the proposals of the Tring Station Gateway project.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	y	Mr A Stephenson	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Keith Long	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Paul Fletcher	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr David Bates	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Miss * Lovell	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Espley	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Peter Foster	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr - Grieve	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs . Fletcher	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mrs Dawn Slade	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Tony Tollinton	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr * Playle	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr Steve Austin	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes - if it can be achieved with minimal visual impact.	Ms Claire Covington	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes, if this can be achieved without Green Belt encroachment.	Mr Mark Stephens	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
42	Question 42: Extension to Tring Station car park	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
43				
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Cycle routes should be encouraged	Mr Robert Spence	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	do not feel painted cycle lanes sufficient for cyclists	Mr B.J. Harris	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr John Baldwin	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr David Nobbs	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr John Forecast	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr John Taylor	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr Robert Bell	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr C C F Sutton	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Only if they can be made safe.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Q43	Mrs Katherine Berman	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes		
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Q43	Mr Easley	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes		
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	The line of strategic cycle routes should be identified in the Site Allocations document.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr norman jones	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Keith Long	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Colin White	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	yes	Mr Gruff Edwards	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Diana Spence	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Paul Fletcher	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Joy Buckle	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr David Bates	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr D M Farquharson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermont	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Russell Monck	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Dr Roy King	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr James Williams	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	* Bratt	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Peter Such	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Espley	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Terence Buckle	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Peter Foster	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr John Barson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr * Playle	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Joyce Gray	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes they should, with links to other transport hubs (eg: rail stations) and major facilities a priority. The canal towpath is OK for leisure use but for 'commuting cycling' it is too narrow, the poor surface and obstructions / lack of lighting it make safety a problem. A parallel route, or paths adjacent to the A4251 would be better.	Mr Peter Kloss	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes, a brilliant idea which should be given high priority.	Mr Mark Stephens	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
43	Question 43: Identification of strategic cycle routes in the Site Allocations DPD	Yes.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
44				
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	All proposals should be retained	Mr Guy Patterson	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Be more strategic in approach!	Mr Peter Kloss	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Even more cycle paths as per Milton Keynes	Mr Peter Webber	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	I disagree because of the environmental impact of extracting more water from the aquifers leading to the rivers Gade and Bulbourne drying up and failing to supply water to the Grand Union Canal.	Mr Michael Putnam	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	In total agreement with proposals as detailed in the document.	Mr Mark Stephens	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	It is the best se have.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No	Mr Keith Long	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No	Mr Graham Christie	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No	Mrs - Russell	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No	Mrs S Gouch	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No - all cycle routes should be retained.	Mrs Susan -	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No Retain proposal for cycle route in Tring	Mrs Anna Barnard	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No, current approach of split pathways is a mess. fortunately most cyclist don't use them	Mr James Williams	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Don't know them.	Mr A Bolino	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Only in as much as the Green Belt should not be affected.	Miss Sara Constable	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Retain all for safety reasons.	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Kings Road Berkhamsted - footpath and crossing to Kingshill Way should be included in the Local Plan. Berkhamsted High Street and its drainage needs careful consideration in respect of surface water and sewage capacity.	Mr Colin Westwood	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Off road cycle routes are needed that will take people from the neighbourhoods into the town centre, train/bus stations and industrial estates.	Mr Mark Berman	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. We believe there should be greater numbers of cycle/footpath networks across the county.	Mr Colin Neal	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Would greatly appreciate an improved bus service from Piccotts End to Town Centre, or a bus stop near the Marchmont roundabout served by existing services.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Keep the cycle route proposal in Tring.	Mr Peter Foster	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No. Not all. A route for a tram up the Nicky Line to Maylands area connecting the train station platforms.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Not sufficiently conversant with the proposals to comment.	Mr david richard norris	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Q44	Mrs Katherine Berman	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	No Q44 No Cycling is an environmentally friendly way to travel, yet it is terribly dangerous cycling on the roads. We need off road designated routes from train and bus stations to the towncentre and Maylands.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Q44 Yes	Mr Espley	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	The County Council wish to retain all proposals at present so they can be reviewd through the Urban Transport Plan process.	Mr Russell Monck	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	This Council supports the extension and further implementation of cycle paths within Tring. It also supports the Miswell Lane cycle routes that the report says no longer has full local support.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Colin White	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	yes	Mr Gruff Edwards	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Paul Fletcher	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr David Bates	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermont	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Dr Roy King	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Espley	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs . Fletcher	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr John Barson	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr * Playle	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Clr M A Clark	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr Steve Austin	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes, but do not drop the existing other proposals without fuller consideration.	Mr norman jones	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes. Need improved cycle routes and walk ways in Two Waters and Apsley.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
44	Question 44: Carrying forward the existing proposals	Yes. Two Waters and Apsley proposals only.	Mr Jeremy Child	
45				
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	1	Mrs P M Seddon	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	A mix of option 1. & 2. If the site is large enough to support some community facility	Mr Peter Kloss	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Don't know where C3 is. Should this be looked at in conjunction with the Indoor Facilities Strategy and East of England Plan?	Ms Claire Covington	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Chris Crawley	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr david richard norris	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Keith Long	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Christine Burton	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Julie Roberts	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs P.A Fulton	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr W H C Saxon	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Carlton Humphries	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Paul Fletcher	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Woodham	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Sunit Sharma	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	MR Kelvin Archer	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Allan Sellers	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs - Cox	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Martin Chapman	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Lewis Terry	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Richard Basterfield	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Lesley Lee	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Miss Alison Cockerill	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Colin Neal	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Anthony William Fox	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Graeme Berry	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Sheila Daley	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr R.F Lancaster	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Robert Foster	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Graham Christie	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Robert Bell	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Wigmore	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs . Fletcher	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Paul Skipp	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Susan -	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs - Russell	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr C C F Sutton	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr * Playle	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr Douglas Doolan	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Miss Brenda Mariner	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mrs Ann Poole	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Mr * Raggatt	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1	Cllr M A Clark	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1 - affordable housing	Mr Mark Stephens	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1.	Mrs Ann Johnson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 1.		Mr Richard Lewis
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr norman jones	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Guy Patterson	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Sean Young	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Paul Young	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mrs Tracey Young	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr G Rushton	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Colin Campbell	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr V. Brooks	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr P L Ammann	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Barry Peach	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Peter Butterick	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr * McClelland	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr J.E.B James	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mrs K.A. Birch	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Miss Sarah Young	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Peter Such	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Stuart Turner	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Simon Dewar	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	option 2	Mr Purkiss	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mrs Ena Hartland	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mrs Anna Barnard	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Paul Harris	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr J Biggs	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr Keith Emerton	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mrs S Gouch	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option 2 - delete proposal for C3 and redesignate as open land.	Mr Mark Stephens	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option1	Mrs Espley	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Q45	Mr Espley	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Option1		
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Q45-65. The general comments of the County Council were made at Issues and Options stage for the core strategy and were covered within our response at Q41-53.	Mr Russell Monck	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	Retain the option for community use	Mr Peter Kloss	
45	Question 45: Options for proposal site C3	The hospital must retain some medical facilities until the new hospital is built on the A41. It should not be residential until the mess is sorted out!	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
46				
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	1	Mrs P M Seddon	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	1	Mrs - Russell	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	2	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr norman jones	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr david richard norris	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Sean Young	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Paul Young	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Young	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Julie Roberts	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Woodham	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Allan Sellers	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr John Taylor	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Martin Chapman	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Lesley Lee	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Miss Alison Cockerill	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Stuart Turner	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Sheila Daley	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr R.F Lancaster	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Wigmore	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr P.S. Thring	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs - Norwood	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs - Norwood	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Susan -	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs - Barradone	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Paul Harris	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Mark Berman	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Douglas Doolan	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mrs Ann Poole	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Clr M A Clark	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1	Mr Edward Kirby	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Guy Patterson	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Michael Tate	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mrs Christine Burton	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mrs P.A Fulton	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Colin Campbell	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr V. Brooks	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr P L Ammann	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr * McClelland	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr James Williams	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Colin Neal	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr C A Goman	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Robert Foster	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Paul Skipp	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr J Biggs	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Mr Keith Emerton	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2	Miss Brenda Mariner	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 2, but would prefer it retained as open land.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Keith Long	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr G Rushton	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Paul Fletcher	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Sunit Sharma	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Barry Peach	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr J.E.B James	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mrs - Cox	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Lewis Terry	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Richard Basterfield	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mrs Espley	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Simon Dewar	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mrs . Fletcher	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr * Playle	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr * Playle	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr * Raggatt	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Cllr Lucy Foster	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3 preferred, but Option 1 also supported.		Mr Richard Lewis
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Option 3.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Q46 Option 1	Mrs Katherine Berman	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Q46 Option 3	Mr Espley	
46	Question 46: Options for proposal site H12	Social and community use	Mr Mark Stephens	
47				
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mr A Bolino	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mr Paul Fletcher	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mrs Woodham	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mrs . Fletcher	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No	Mrs S Gouch	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	No.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	OK	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Q47 Yes	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Q47 Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Q47 Yes	Mr Espley	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr norman jones	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	yes	Mr Gruff Edwards	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Sean Young	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr David Bates	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Peter Kloss	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr James Williams	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Espley	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr * Playle	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes - retain as general social and community use	Mr Mark Stephens	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
47	Question 47: Retention of proposal site C2 for a general social and community use	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
48				
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	2	Mrs P M Seddon	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	2	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	2	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr david richard norris	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Julie Roberts	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr David Nobbs	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Miss Alison Cockerill	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Espley	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr Simon Dewar	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr Robert Bell	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr P.S. Thring	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Susan -	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr J Biggs	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr Keith Emerton	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Cllr Lucy Foster	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Cllr M A Clark	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1	Mr Edward Kirby	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1 - retain as Green Belt, delete existing proposal and do not set aside as a community use for the Manor Estate if an alternative within the estate is now available.	Mr Mark Stephens	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1, or retain as open space under option 2 to compensate for open space unofficially used informally around Manor Estate prior to the development plans.	Mr norman jones	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Sean Young	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Paul Young	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Tracey Young	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Christine Burton	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr B.J. Harris	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Miss Sara Constable	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Colin Bayley	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Stella Bayley	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Carlton Humphries	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Maureen Driver	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr V. Brooks	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Woodham	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr P L Ammann	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr David Bates	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	MR Kelvin Archer	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Allan Sellers	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr J.E.B James	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs - Cox	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr James Williams	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Lewis Terry	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Richard Basterfield	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Lesley Lee	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Lesley Lee	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs P M Proctor	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Anthony William Fox	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Anthony William Fox	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Graeme Berry	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Stuart Turner	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Sheila Daley	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Derek Proctor	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr R.F Lancaster	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Wigmore	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs JP Millis	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Alan Proctor	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Paul Skipp	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs - Norwood	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs - Norwood	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr * Playle	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr Douglas Doolan	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Miss Brenda Mariner	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mrs Ann Poole	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr * Raggatt	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2 or 3	Mr Peter Kloss	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 2.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mr Michael Tate	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mr Paul Fletcher	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mr Barry Peach	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mr Colin Neal	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mrs . Fletcher	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Option 3	Mr J W Neale	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	option1	Mr Phil Pennington	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Options 2 or 3 - retain for some form of D1 use class	Mr John Greenaway	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Q48 Option 1	Mr Espley	
48	Question 48: Options for proposal site TWA20	Retain for community use	Mrs Pam Kloss	
49				
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	1	Mrs P M Seddon	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	3	Mrs - Russell	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	3	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	4	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Alternative social and community uses	Lord . Corbett	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	None - keep the hospital	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	None - keep the hospital.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	None of options given - leave it as a hospital	Mr A Bolino	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	None. Hospital should be retained and redeveloped	Mr H G Clapham	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	None. Expand hospital to cope with needs.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	None. Want hospital retained and developed.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr John Baldwin	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Chris Crawley	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Guy Patterson	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Roger Hall	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Peter Balding	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr B.J. Harris	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Stella Bayley	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Roy Iltott	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr P Rabson	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Mark Gardener	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Lord . Corbett	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	MR * FIELDING	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Paul Fletcher	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr David Bates	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Barry Peach	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Jeremy Child	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Allan Sellers	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Ms Claire Covington	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Simon Roberts	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Lewis Terry	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Lesley Lee	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Miss Alison Cockerill	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Colin Neal	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Sheila Daley	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Simon Dewar	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Robert Foster	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Iltott	
49	Qesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	option 1	Mr Purkiss	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Graham Christie	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Robert Bell	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Anna Barnard	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs . Fletcher	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Paul Skipp	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr J Tarbit	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr David Browning	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr J W Neale	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr J Biggs	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Joyce Gray	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Miss Brenda Mariner	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mrs Ann Poole	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Clr Lucy Foster	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr John Greenaway	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr Alan Fantham	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1		Mr R. M Sellwood
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1 - any plans to utilise land currently reserved for hospital staff and parking for hospital visitors should be firmly resisted.	Mr Anthony Lucey	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1 - do not give an inch on anything but hospital use.	Mr W H C Saxon	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1 - keep the hospital	Clr M A Clark	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1 - we should only consider alternative uses for this site if the fight to keep our hospital is lost.	Mr david richard norris	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1, Option 2, Option 3	Mr Peter Lawrence	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1.	Mrs B. McCracken	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1.	Mr Peter Kloss	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1.	Mr * Craven	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1.	Mr N Aitchison	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1.		Unknown Unknown
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1. Retain the exsiting proposal and keep the land for hospital use. It is imperative Hemel retains its hospital. Any thoughts of further expansion are ridiculous if there is not an enlarged hospital. Having no hospital in a town of Hemel Hempstead's size should not be an option.	Mr Mark Stephens	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1. Let's keep these services together and central if possible.	Mr Gordon King	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr michael wallis	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr Sean Young	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr Paul Young	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mrs Julie Roberts	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr John N. Lawson	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	MR Kelvin Archer	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr John Taylor	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mrs - Cox	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr Anthony William Fox	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr R.F Lancaster	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr and Mrs Tominey	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr * Playle	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 2	Mr * Raggatt	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Robert Spence	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Michael Tate	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs P.A Fulton	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr David Nobbs	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Carlton Humphries	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs Diana Spence	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Colin Campbell	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr V. Brooks	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs Woodham	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr J.E.B James	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Martin Chapman	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Peter Hobson	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Dr Roy King	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs JP Millis	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs Jennifer King	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs - Norwood	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs - Norwood	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs - Norwood	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs Susan -	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Mark Berman	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Edward Kirby	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3	Mr Colin Westwood	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 3.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs * Sheldrake	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs Christine Burton	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr G Rushton	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr P L Ammann	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr John Forecast	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs K.A. Birch	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr Keith Burgess	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs Ena Hartland	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr Wigmore	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Miss Carol Lodge	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr Martin Hicks	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr Paul Harris	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mr Keith Emerton	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4	Mrs S Gouch	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4 or part as Option 3 with the better sections retained in open use particularly if housing/community use on part of the hospital site.	Mr norman jones	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4.	Miss Sarah Young	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 4.	Mr Peter Such	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option1	Mrs Espley	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option1	Mr Douglas Doolan	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Q49	Mr Espley	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Option 1		
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Q49 Option 3	Mrs Katherine Berman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	Retain for health services expansion	Mrs Pam Kloss	
49	Quesiton 49: Options for undeveloped proposal site C5	This depends on what happens to the hospital.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
50				
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	A. Residential.	Mr Simon Roberts	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and community use	Mrs P.A Fulton	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community use	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community use	Mr Simon Dewar	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mr Keith Long	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mr Roger Hall	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Miss Sara Constable	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mr P L Ammann	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mr David Bates	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mr Lewis Terry	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community Uses	Mr Colin Neal	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mr Keith Burgess	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mr Derek Proctor	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mr Robert Bell	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mr Wigmore	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mr John Barson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mrs Susan -	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mrs - Russell	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses	Mr J W Neale	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses		Mr R. M Sellwood
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community Uses.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses.	Mrs * Sheldrake	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social and community uses.	Mr Peter Hobson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses.	Mr * Craven	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses.	Mrs P M Proctor	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses.	Mr Peter Foster	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community Uses.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community uses.	Mr N Aitchison	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative Social and Community yes.	Mr Alan Proctor	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Alternative social/community uses	Mrs Pam Kloss	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	b)	Mrs Susan -	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Build a new hospital.	Mrs S Gouch	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	for hospital use	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	housing	Prof Paul Cross	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	I think this land should be used for community use, and housing. There is no community building along this road or a large community building in the town.	Mrs Jill Bartley	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	If residential, then we would need extended hospital facilities to accommodate the increased population!	Mrs Anne Duvall	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Keep in reserve for future plans say 20 years time	Mr Mark Gardener	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Keep it for hospital purposes	Mr H G Clapham	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Keep the hospital open	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of S, C and R	Mr Barry Peach	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social & Commercial & Residential	Mr John Baldwin	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and resi	Mr Colin Bayley	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Sheila Daley	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Colin Westwood	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Chris Crawley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Peter Balding	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mrs K.F. Banks	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	mix of social and community and residential	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mrs Julie Roberts	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr David Nobbs	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Carlton Humphries	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Diana Spence	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mrs P M Seddon	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Colin Campbell	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	mix of social and community and residential	Mr V. Brooks	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Peter Butterick	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Allan Sellers	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Ms Claire Covington	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Martin Chapman	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Dr Roy King	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Lesley Lee	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Graeme Berry	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Stuart Turner	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Robert Foster	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Peter Lawrence	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Anna Barnard	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Jennifer King	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Paul Skipp	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Mark Berman	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mr Phil Pennington	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community and residential	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Joyce Gray	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs Ann Poole	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Edward Kirby	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential	Mrs JP Millis	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential.	Mr J Biggs	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of Social and Community and Residential. Would really like hospital to be retained.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social and community residential	Mrs Christine Burton	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social community and residential	Mrs Stella Bayley	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social community and residential. This could be high density.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mix of social, community and residential.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Mixed use	Mr P.M. Clark	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	None. Need bigger hospital.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Option (c)	Mr Robert Spence	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Option (c) -mixed use	Mr norman jones	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Option 1	Mr P.S. Thring	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Option 4 - we should not be considering this point until the future of the hospital known.	Mr david richard norris	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other - amenity land or employment designation with some integrated housing, but only as a last resort (if not kept for the hospital)	Mr Mark Stephens	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other - keep the hospital open	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other - Land must be used for health needs only.	Cltr Lucy Foster	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other - Medical use, doctor's surgery, mental health facility etc	Miss Alison Cockerill	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other - private hospital use	Cltr M A Clark	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other - Retain as hospital land for related health care needs; keep land available for future needs.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other - still retain it for possible use relating to hospital	Mr David Browning	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other health related use	Mr Guy Patterson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other health related uses	Mr P.S. Thring	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other- Hospital and healthcare purposes.	Mrs Margaret Chapman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other More hospital buildings facilities	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	OTHER DO NOT DEVIATE FROM RETENTION OF HOSPITAL. WEST HERTS NHS TRUST DO NOT HAVE A PLAN FOR HEMEL	Mr William Revolta	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other Ensure land available for future health centre	MR * FIELDING	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other Retain for future expansion of hospital.	Mrs Jennifer Bissmire	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. Reinstate facilities which will surely be needed by an increase in population in the Hemel Hempstead catchment area.	Mrs Julie Rabson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. Retain all land for hospital facilities as it will surely be needed in the future. This includes mental health care and doctors surgeries.	Mr P Rabson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. Competent hospital provision should guarantee there is no surplus land.	Mr Peter Such	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. Land for hospital use only.	Mr Keith Emerton	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. Land must be used either for hospital or other medical services such as GP surgery or health care centre.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. More medical facilities.	Mrs K.A. Birch	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. New medical facilities.	Mr Alan Fantham	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. Oppose any use which is as a result of reduced medical services.	Mr Graham Christie	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. Residential - Low cost	Mrs - Norwood	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other. retain the hospital	Mr B.J. Harris	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other: Land should stay as hospital.	Miss Sarah Young	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Other: Wildlife garden	Mr Gruff Edwards	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Private clinics	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Q50 Mix of S C and R	Mrs Katherine Berman	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr A Bolino	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Michael Tate	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Sean Young	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Paul Young	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mrs Tracey Young	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr G Rushton	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Roy Ilott	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr John N. Lawson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Paul Fletcher	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mrs Maureen Driver	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mrs Woodham	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	MR Kelvin Archer	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr John Taylor	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr * McClelland	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Colin Evans	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mrs - Cox	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Richard Basterfield	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Anthony William Fox	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr C A Goman	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr R.F Lancaster	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	residential	Mr Purkiss	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	residential	Mrs . Fletcher	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr J Tarbit	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr C C F Sutton	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Paul Harris	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr * Playle	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Douglas Doolan	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr * Raggatt	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Sunit Sharma	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Miss Brenda Mariner	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential or mix of social and community and residential	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential Car Park	Mr Peter Webber	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Residential	Mr Jeremy Child	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Retain existing hospital.	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Retain for hospital	Mr James Williams	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Retain for NHS use	Mr John Forecast	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Retain hospital - any plans to utilise land currently reserved for hospital staff and parking for hospital visitors should be firmly resisted.	Mr Anthony Lucey	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Reverse the NHS decision	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	There should be no consideration of alternative uses.	Mr W H C Saxon	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Wildlife reserve / woodland	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
50	Question 50: Options for Hospital land surplus to requirements	Yes - provision should be made to acknowledge the downsizing of the further education establishment and other potential uses within the site.		Mr Jeremy Butterworth
51				
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Bunkers Park to preserve the wild life habitat i.e. it has one of the largest badger set in Hertfordshire	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Keep Green Belt green.	Mr Peter Lawrence	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr david richard norris	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Roger Hall	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr A Bolino	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Michael Tate	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Sean Young	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Peter Balding	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Paul Young	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Miss Sara Constable	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr G Rushton	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr David Nobbs	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr William Revolta	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	MR * FIELDING	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr V. Brooks	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Woodham	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr David Bates	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Barry Peach	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr John Forecast	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr * McClelland	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs - Cox	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Dr Roy King	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr James Williams	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Espley	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr C A Goman	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Robert Foster	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Graham Christie	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Robert Bell	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Wigmore	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs JP Millis	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr N Aitchison	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr John Barson	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs - Russell	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs - Barradone	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Paul Harris	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr J W Neale	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs S Gouch	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr * Playle	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr * Raggatt	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Cllr M A Clark	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No	Mr Mark Stephens	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No but the principle of making sure that new residential development has land designated for community facilities included so there is the option for 'social infrastructure' to be available for the increased population	Mr Peter Kloss	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No Development of M/t1a and M/t1b would have a major impact on Junctions 9 and 10 of the M1, and mitigation measures would have to be considered if the sites were to be progressed. Congestion problems are forecast at peak periods at the junctions without the potential developments	Mr Tony Potter	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No.	Mr Purkiss	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No.	Mr J Biggs	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No.		Unknown Unknown
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	No. Not the Gas site anyway. Near the station and good bus routes should be for residents only.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Pavilion site	Mrs P.A Fulton	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Q51 No	Mr Espley	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	The most sustainable and successful way of designating new social or community uses and ensuring they are developed is to ensure that any major new development (e.g. east of Hemel Hempstead) includes a mix of uses and neighbourhood centres where sufficient land is identified for such uses. Their nature would be determined through consultation with key stakeholders and the public.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes - Berkhamsted. Land east of Swing Gate Lane, south of Upper Hall Park to A41	Mr Colin Westwood	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes - Bunkers Lane should be classified as a place of Outstanding Natural Beauty and left as it is for all to enjoy as 'open use'.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes - The National Film and Television Archive, Berkhamsted for community use such as a nursing home (see site plan and information attached)		Mr Anthony Plumbly
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes, Bunkers Lane left as Amenity Land	Mrs . Fletcher	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes, Jarman Fields - Part of land under developed	Mr B.J. Harris	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes. Bov/h2 and adjacent land. Should be developed to meet local housing need, including a health centre and residential care home with day-care facilities. Remainder of site could accommodate housing and open space.	* Mr & Mrs E J West	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes. Need a new Pavillion.	Mr W H C Saxon	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes. Bunkers Park should be classified as an area of SSI or Outstanding Natural Beauty or open use, or amenity space, or give it local landscape conservation designation.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes. Pavillion site.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes. Possibly top of Marchmont Field	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes. Bunkers Park, Hemel Hempstead	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
51	Question 51: Areas of land to consider for social or community use	Yes. Park space behind the shops in Warners End could be used for development of GP surgery to cope with the increasing population and future possible developments.	Mr Colin Neal	
52				
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Jennifer King	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a	Mr Gruff Edwards	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	A	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a	Mrs P M Seddon	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	A	Miss Sarah Young	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	A	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	A	Mr C C F Sutton	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	A	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr John Baldwin	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Julie Roberts	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Stella Bayley	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Roy Ilott	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	MR * FIELDING	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Maureen Driver	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr V. Brooks	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr P L Ammann	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr David Bates	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Barry Peach	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	MR Kelvin Archer	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr John Taylor	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr * McClelland	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Abby Fermont	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr James Williams	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Anthony William Fox	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Espley	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Purkiss	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Robert Bell	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Wigmore	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Raymond McLoughlin	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs JP Millis	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs - Norwood	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs - Barradone	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Paul Harris	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Phil Pennington	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr J W Neale	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Douglas Doolan	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Ann Poole	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr * Raggatt	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Cllr Lucy Foster	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Colin Bayley	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Miss Brenda Mariner	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Edward Kirby	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr Roger Hall	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr Sean Young	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr Paul Young	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mrs Christine Burton	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) residential	Mr Peter Webber	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr H G Clapham	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr David Nobbs	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr John N. Lawson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mrs Woodham	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mrs Joy Buckle	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr Sunit Sharma	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) residential	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) residential	Mr Colin Evans	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr J.E.B James	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Miss Alison Cockerill	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr Terence Buckle	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr P.M. Clark	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) residential	Mr J Tarbit	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential	Mr Alan Fantham	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential development	Mr A Bolino	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential development	Mr Jeremy Child	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential development	Mr Allan Sellers	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential development	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a) Residential development.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	a.	Mr * Craven	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b	Mr Simon Dewar	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	B	Mr Paul Skipp	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b	Mrs - Russell	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b	Mrs Kaz Bonney	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b - mixed use. Though I am not a member of the Community Church, I value the facilities their premises make available to the community at large.	Mr Tim Bourne	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Michael Tate	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs * Sheldrake	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr B. J. Harris	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr G Rushton	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	B)	Mr William Revolita	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Lord . Corbett	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs K.A. Birch	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs P M Proctor	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Graeme Berry	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr C A Goman	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Stuart Turner	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs Doreen Wall	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Derek Proctor	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs . Fletcher	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr John Barson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Mark Berman	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr J Biggs	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Keith Emerton	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs S Gouch	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Peter Balding	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) but for a church only	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr Guy Patterson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Miss Sara Constable	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr Colin Campbell	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr Paul Fletcher	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr Peter Butterick	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr John Forecast	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mrs - Cox	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr Martin Chapman	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr Graham Christie	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) mix	Mr P.S. Thring	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mr David Browning	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Mrs Joyce Gray	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix	Cllr M A Clark	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community use	Mr Carlton Humphries	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community use	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community use	Miss Deborah Lovelock	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community use	Mr Lewis Terry	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community use	Mr Colin Neal	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community use.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community use.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b) Mix of residential and community uses	Mr Richard Basterfield	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b).	Mrs Ann Johnson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b).	Mr R.F Lancaster	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b)Mix	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b.	Mrs B. McCracken	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b.	Ms Claire Covington	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b.	Mr N Aitchison	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	b.		Mr R. M Sellwood
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	I support the option for mixed residential and community use. The present use of the site offers a range of facilities taken up by many groups outside of the church and to the benefit of the town. If this service to the community were to be withdrawn I believe that the town would be diminished. I recognise that concessions to housing must be considered despite the prohibitive cost in making the site safe to place them there.	Mr terry shayler	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	I want to support the community and residential option. The existing community use that the present use of the site are of great benefit to the town and are not for exclusive church use. without this facility the town ,would be diminished. We see this within the context of a partial housing use of the site.	Mr terry shayler	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	I would prefer a mix of residential and community use.	Mr James Littlechild	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	If development were realised then the mixed residential and community use option, since community facilities should always be provided with major new housing. However, serious consideration to leaving the site as it is should be given as the facilities are currently being greatly used to the benefit of the community and greater use is possible	Mr Peter Kloss	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use	Mrs K.F. Banks	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use	Mrs Lois Swift	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use	Mr Alan Proctor	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use	Mrs Anne Britz	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use.	Mr david richard norris	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use.	Mr * Playle	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mix of residential and community use. New housing developments need community facilities included.	Mrs Pam Kloss	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mixed community and housing. This part of the town is isolated and needs community life of its own..	Mrs Jenny Shaw	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mixed employment and residential use, no community use.	Mr Mark Stephens	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mixed residential and community development. Haven House is the only development of this kind in the whole of Dacorum, and as such makes an extremely valuable contribution to the needs of H.H particularly the youth, who can enjoy social interactive company two nights a week, run by very dedicated people.	Mrs margaret chalkly	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Mixed use on the site both community and residential	Mrs ann burnell jennings	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Needs to be decontaminated.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	No	Dr Roy King	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	No view	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	None until land is clean	Mrs P.A Fulton	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option (b)	Mr norman jones	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option a	Mr Simon Roberts	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option a)	Mrs Julie Rabson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option a)	Mr P Rabson	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option a)	Mrs Lesley Lee	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option b)	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option b)	Mrs Sheila Daley	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Option b)	Mrs Anna Barnard	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Q52 a)	Mr Espley	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Q52 b)	Mrs Katherine Berman	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Residential	Mr Robert Foster	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Residential	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Residential development	Mr michael wallis	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Residential development	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Residential development	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	The former office building is currently in use for Community purposes which form a valuable contribution to the local community. This should be encouraged. Residential development on such a site would be very difficult due to its former Gas Works use.	Mr Martin Warburton	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	The need for a community development is crucial, people obviously need homes, but part of being balanced well adjusted humans, is all areas of life need looking after, and this includes social and spiritual. We as people function better and are more rounded when our emotional needs are catered for, and a facility where folk can meet and make friends is paramount. To build homes without social/spiritual needs catered for would be sad, as we all need an outlet for these aspects of our lives.	Mr andrew bartley	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	Unsure, but where is the gas to be stored if not here?	Mr W H C Saxon	
52	Question 52: Options for the Gas Board site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead	We would like to see both housing and community as there exists a strong community influence already. This has a very positive affect in the area and we like to see this continue amongst a growing housing plan.	Mr Robert Bennett	
53				
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	1	Mr William Revolta	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	1	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	1	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	3	Mrs P M Seddon	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	3	Mrs - Russell	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	As a former teacher I say the advantage of small class sizes is incalculable. Development of this or any other school site for residential use will ultimately encourage more families - more children and therefore a need for more school places.	Mr Martin Warburton	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Does not support redevelopment at Martindale School	Mrs Stella Bayley	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Dont close schools	Mrs P.A Fulton	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	If there are many more houses to be built the schools will be needed. Why knock down to re-build.	Mrs Espley	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Keep all the schools and reduce class sizes.	Mr Mark Berman	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs * Sheldrake	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs B. McCracken	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Mark Gardener	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Jeremy Child	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Colin Evans	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr J.E.B James	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Colin Neal	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Simon Dewar	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs JP Millis	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mr David Browning	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1		Mr R. M Sellwood
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1 - should be kept open as a school	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1 with preferably a D1h use class designation for a place of worship	Mr John Greenaway	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1.	Miss Sarah Young	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1.	Mr N Aitchison	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 1.		Unknown Unknown
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr david richard norris	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr michael wallis	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Sean Young	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Paul Young	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mrs Tracey Young	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr David Bates	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Sunit Sharma	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Barry Peach	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr John Forecast	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Simon Roberts	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	option 2	Mr Purkiss	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Miss Brenda Mariner	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr Edward Kirby	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 2 - residential.		Mr Richard Lewis
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr John Baldwin	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Colin Westwood	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Colin Westwood	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr norman jones	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Guy Patterson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr A Bolino	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Michael Tate	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Peter Balding	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Christine Burton	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr B.J. Harris	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Julie Roberts	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Miss Sara Constable	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Colin Bayley	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Roy Ilott	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Julie Rabson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr David Nobbs	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Carlton Humphries	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr P Rabson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Colin Campbell	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Maureen Driver	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Woodham	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr P L Ammann	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Allan Sellers	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs - Cox	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Martin Chapman	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Dr Roy King	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Richard Basterfield	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Lesley Lee	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Miss Alison Cockerill	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Anthony William Fox	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Graeme Berry	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Sheila Daley	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr R.F Lancaster	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Robert Foster	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Ena Hartland	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Wigmore	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Peter Lawrence	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Anna Barnard	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Jennifer King	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Jennifer King	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Paul Skipp	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs - Norwood	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Susan -	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Paul Harris	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr J W Neale	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr J Biggs	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Keith Emerton	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr * Playle	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mr Douglas Doolan	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Mrs Ann Poole	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Clr Lucy Foster	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3	Clr M A Clark	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3 - designate for a mixed residential and social and community/leisure use, including the provision of a Children's Centre.	Mr Mark Stephens	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3 Martindale would provide an appropriate location for a policing facility in the north west of the town. The provision of a Type 4 facility (Community Access Point) would be in line with the Constabulary's wider Public Access and Visibility Strategy (PAVS). The provisions of PAVS in relation to Dacorum are set out in the accompanying letter. For the reasons set out in response to Q31, the provision of a high visibility Community Access Point would help the Constabulary meet its obligations in the Community Plan and Community Safety Partnership Strategy	Mr Michael Rachlin	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Option 3.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Please retain schools.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Q53 None Keep the schools and reduce the class sizes now, then ther will be enough spare capacity when new reisdents arrive.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Retain social and community use option	Mr Peter Kloss	
53	Question 53: Options for the possible redevelopment of Martindale	Sport England would support options 1 or 3 if they would facilitate the retention of the school's playing fields for community use. Even if no longer required for school use, the playing fields may already be used by the local community or have potential to address any unmet current and latent demand. Objection would be made to option 2 or any other option that would result in the loss of the playing field to development unless it could be demonstrated to Sport England's satisfaction that there was not a need to retain the site for community playing field use or satisfactory replacement playing field provision was made.	Mr Roy Warren	
54				
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	No	Mr A Bolino	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	No	Mrs Woodham	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	No	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	No.		Mr Richard Lewis
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	No. Only if the schools close.	Mr Mark Berman	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	One of the great attractions of Hemel Hempstead is the amount of green spaces amongst the housing. Wherever possible school playing fields should be used for recreational purposes.	Mr Martin Warburton	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Q54 Keep the schools open if they have to close then keep playing fields as open space.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Q54 Yes	Mr Espley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Sport England strongly supports the retention of the existing playing fields at the Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive school sites for open space.	Mr Roy Warren	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Michael Emmett	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Chris Crawley	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr John N. Lawson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Paul Fletcher	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr David Bates	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr David Bates	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Colin Evans	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr J.E.B James	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermon	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Dr Roy King	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr James Williams	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Joan Saxon	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Espley	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs . Fletcher	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr John Barson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr David Browning	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr * Playle	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Anne Duvall	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Clr M A Clark	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes as playing fields within walking distance of housing are valuable. The current trend to move these to the edges of developed areas is deplorable	Mr Peter Kloss	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes, but may depend on the site, Barncroft in particular should be retained and opened to the community as it also provides a link to open country. (it also includes a closed public footpath by reason of school security).	Mr norman jones	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mr W H C Saxon	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mr Colin Neal	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mr N Aitchison	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
54	Question 54: Existing playing fields at Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
55				
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	3	Mrs - Russell	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	option1 for all	Mr Purkiss	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	3 TO ALL	Mr William Revolta	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	a)Pixies Hill Option 2 b)Barncroft Option 2 c)Jupiter Drive Option 2	Miss Alison Cockerill	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	All - Mix	Mr Paul Skipp	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	all - option 1	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	All Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	All Option 1, residential. (slight cut in pressure on Greenfield land).	Mr norman jones	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	All option 2	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	All Option 2.	Mr N Aitchison	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	All Option 3	Mrs Julie Roberts	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	All option 3	Mrs P M Seddon	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Do not close schools	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Don't do anything too soon until new development decided.	Mr * Playle	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	For all three retain at least a reasonable social / community use possibility - all residential option opposed	Mr Peter Kloss	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	I can not understand why three schools are part of a planned closure scheme whilst DBC have to find space for another 5000 houses. where will the children go to school? Are these schools undersubscribed.	Mrs - Cox	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) - iii) Option 1 for all	Mr * McClelland	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) - iii) Option 2 for all.	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) - iii) Option 2 for all.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) - iii) Option 3 for all three schools	Miss Brenda Mariner	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) - Should be mothballed intended to support future housing growth and then use as a school rather than build new school in a few years time.	Mr Alan Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) 2 ii) 2 iii) 2	Mr C A Goman	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Leave for wildlife. ii) Residential and shops, and community, as it is a long way from facilities. iii) Residential and community.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) need for general play/recreation space within estate. Young people reluctant to cross Chaulden Lane to main playing field. Development use depends on remaining area. ii) again need for play/recreation space within estate. iii) need for social and community facilities identified in other plans. Also needs usable recreation space. 2/3 depending on area availability.	Ms Claire Covington	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 1 ii) Option 1 iii) Option 1	Mr John Taylor	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 1 ii) Option 1 iii) Option 1	Mr David Bates	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 1 ii) Option 1 iii) Option 3	Mr David Nobbs	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 1 ii) Option 3 iii) Option 1	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 1 iii) Option 3	Mr P.S. Thring	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 2 ii) Option 1 iii) Option 1	Mr Wigmore	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 2 ii) Option 2 iii) Option 2	Mr Michael Tate	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 2 ii) Option 2 iii) Option 2	Mr G Rushton	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 2 ii) Option 2 iii) Option 2	Mr Martin Chapman	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 2 ii) Option 2 iii) Option 2	Mr Keith Emerton	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 2 ii) Option 2 iii) Option 2	Mrs JP Millis	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 3 Creating a balance for the community. ii) Option 3 Creating a balance for the community. iii) Option 3 Creating a balance for the community.	Mrs Ann Poole	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 3 ii) Option 3 iii) Option 3	Mr Allan Sellers	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 3 ii) Option 3 iii) Option 3	Mr Colin Neal	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Option 3 ii) retain as school fo future iii) if more houses be more children	Mr B.J. Harris	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill - Moth Ball school until sites for new housing are settled, than use as a school.	Mr Derek Proctor	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill - Option 2 with preferably a D1h use class designation for a place of worship	Mr John Greenaway	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill - should be retained/mothballed until level of new development has been decided. Could still be used as a school.	Mrs P M Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill Option 1 Residential ii) Barncroft Option 1 Residential iii) Jupiter Drive Option 1 Residential.		Mr Richard Lewis
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill Option 1 ii) Barncroft Option 1 iii) Jupiter Drive Option 1	Mr Sean Young	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill Option 1 ii) Barncroft Option 1 iii) Jupiter Drive Option 1	Mr Paul Young	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill Option 1 ii) Barncroft Option 1 iii) Jupiter Drive Option 1	Mrs Tracey Young	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill Option 2 ii) Barncroft Option 1 iii) Jupiter Drive Option 2	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill Option 2 ii) Barncroft Option 2 iii) Jupiter Drive Option 2	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Pixies Hill Should be retained until housing figures/sites are decided. For continued use as school.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	i) Retain school ii) Retain school iii) Retain school	Mrs Gloria Odell	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	iii) Consideration should be given to retaining the existing playing fields as open land. These lie immediately adjacent to the open grasslands at Highfield House which have been identified as supporting locally valuable grassland, now detrimentally affected by the access road and recent development on the site. We support any opportunity to enhance this resource.	Mr Martin Hicks	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	iii) Jupiter Drive Option 2	Mrs Ena Hartland	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	iii) leave alone	Mrs . Fletcher	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	iii) None - leave alone	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	iii) None - leave alone	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	iii) Option 1	Mr J Tarbit	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	iii) Use for a mix to include open space. Open ground is important as this is adjacent to Highfield House - ecologically important grassland site.	Miss Carol Lodge	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Leave all as open land.	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Leave all three for open use	Mr John Forecast	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Leave Jupiter Drive alone	Mr Paul Fletcher	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	None	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	None	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	None has an environmental impact been done?	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	None of the specified options	Miss Sara Constable	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	None!	Mr Martin Warburton	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Opposed to redevelopment.	Mr Lewis Terry	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1	Mrs - Norwood	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all	Mr david richard norris	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all	Mr Carlton Humphries	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all	Mr Barry Peach	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all	Mrs Espley	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all	Mr Paul Harris	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all	Mr Douglas Doolan	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all	Clr Lucy Foster	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all three schools	Mrs Woodham	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all three schools	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1 for all.	Miss Sarah Young	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 1, already a residential site	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2	Mr michael wallis	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2	Mr Simon Roberts	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2	Mr Simon Roberts	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all	MR Kelvin Archer	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all	Mrs Sheila Daley	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all	Mr Simon Dewar	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all	Mr Phil Pennington	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all - retain as open land	Mr James Williams	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all.		Unknown Unknown
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 2 for all. Keep all schools as additional houses will mean more children.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3	Mr Colin Bayley	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3	Mrs Susan -	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mr Stuart Turner	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 - mix use of residential and social, with provision of open space too.	Mr Mark Stephens	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mr Colin Bayley	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mrs Stella Bayley	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mrs Julie Rabson	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mr P Rabson	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mrs Maureen Driver	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mr Richard Basterfield	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mr Graeme Berry	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mrs Anna Barnard	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Mr David Browning	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all	Clr M A Clark	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all three school	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all three schools	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 for all.	Mr R.F Lancaster	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Option 3 The Constabulary considers that this could be a possible location for a policing facility to serve this part of the town. The provision of a Type 4 facility (Community Access Point) would be in line with the Constabulary's wider Public Access and Visibility Strategy (PAVS). The provisions of PAVS in relation to Dacorum are set out in the accompanying letter. For the reasons set out in response to Q31, the provision of a high visibility Community Access Point would help the Constabulary meet its obligations in the Community Plan and Community Safety Partnership Strategy	Mr Michael Rachlin	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Pixies Hill - open space, Barncroft - residential/social Jupiter Drive - doctors surgery	Mr Mark Stephens	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Pixies Hill - Option 3 Barncroft - Option 2 Jupiter Drive - Option 3	Mrs Lesley Lee	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Pixies Hill School should remain open	Mrs Joy Buckle	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive - all Option 2.	Mr Colin Westwood	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Q55 All residential	Mr Espley	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Q55 i) Keep the school ii) Keep the school iii)Keep the school	Mrs Katherine Berman	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Retain all in open use	Mr Peter Butterick	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Should be retained as playing fields.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	use for a mix of residential and social and community purposes	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
55	Question 55: Options for possible redevelopment of Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive	Use for a mix of residential and social and community purposes.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
56				
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	As long as there will be no material change	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Bunkers Lane - to avoid any further development like the caravan park	Mrs Julie Rabson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Continue the current policy and retain all green belt and park facilities.	Mr Mark Stephens	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Leave boundaries alone	Mrs P.A Fulton	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Leave boundaries as they are.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr John Baldwin	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr david richard norris	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Keith Long	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Guy Patterson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	no	Mr Gruff Edwards	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Roger Hall	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Sean Young	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Peter Balding	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Paul Young	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs * Sheldrake	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Tracey Young	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Miss Sara Constable	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr W H C Saxon	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr David Nobbs	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Lord . Corbett	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr V. Brooks	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Woodham	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr P L Ammann	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr David Bates	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Barry Peach	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr John Forecast	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr John Taylor	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr * McClelland	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs K.A. Birch	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs - Cox	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Dr Roy King	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr James Williams	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Colin Neal	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Espley	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr C A Goman	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Robert Foster	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Robert Bell	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Wigmore	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs JP Millis	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr N Aitchison	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr P.S. Thring	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr John Barson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Susan -	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs - Russell	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Paul Harris	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr J Biggs	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs S Gouch	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr * Raggatt	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Cllr M A Clark	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No change needed	Mr Michael Tate	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	No.		Unknown Unknown
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Open Land now privately owned and restricted to many people should be allocated open land available to everyone.	Mr J.E.B James	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Q56 Yes We should be planting trees and hedges to define the boundaries and provide habitats for wildlife.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	This chapter refers to both built and open land recreation/leisure sites. Tring has a very active community and all sport, leisure and recreation facilities are well used. The Council would not wish to see any of these sites in Tring removed or decrease in size.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes	Mr * Playle	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes Bunkers Lane open land (including the proposed caravan site)	Mr P Rabson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes - make Bunkers Lane 'openspace' Green Belt	Mr Paul Fletcher	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes - To enable boundaries for sport and recreation to be well defined to limit private development.	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes - to safeguard the space	Mrs Julie Roberts	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes, make Bunkers Park Green Belt	Mrs . Fletcher	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes, use green footpaths	Mr Peter Webber	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. Jarmans Park - was originally given to the people as outside recreational space. Existing facilities have done nothing to improve the look of the area and parts remain undeveloped.	Mr Carlton Humphries	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. New maps giving clear road names and boundaries.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. Amendments should be made to Open Land boundaries (including deleting a number of areas of Open Land) to reflect the representations on sites put forward for consideration, i.e. Barncroft, Greenhills, Jupiter Drive, Pixies Hill.		Mr Richard Lewis
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. Boundary of Open Land designation north of Westwick Farm (H42). This would allow for an extension of the residential allocation at Westwick Farm, but would provide an opportunity to retain an area of open space as open land, but with public access. There is currently no public access to the site.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. Bunkers Park - not for development and kept as Green Belt and open land.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. Limited changes only.	Mr Edward Kirby	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. The opportunity could be taken to plant trees and hedgerows. These will clearly mark boundaries and provide wildlife corridors.	Mr Mark Berman	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. To give more public access to open land - to develop community sports clubs.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. Bunkers Park - keep as Green Belt.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
56	Question 56: Defining existing designated open land boundaries	Yes. Consideration site by site. There could be partitions put up for fenced woodland, for wildlife, people and keep out areas.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
57				
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr A Bolino	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr Paul Young	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr G Rushton	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr John Forecast	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No. The Forum believes that the following should be taken into account: sterilisation of land by parking provision as well as building; visual intrusion and light pollution.	Mr Phil Pennington	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	No: the following should always be taken into consideration: Sterilisation of land by parking provision as well as building. Visual intrusion Light Pollution	Mr Gruff Edwards	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Q56 N	Mr Espley	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Q57 Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	To provide a clear and consistent approach to proposals for build sports facilities on Open Land, it is recommended that a general policy is developed instead of assessing proposals on their merits on a site by site basis. This would provide greater clarity and certainty to developers and the community, and would be more consistent with the guidance in PPG17.	Mr Roy Warren	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr norman jones	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr norman jones	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Keith Long	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Chris Brooks	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Sean Young	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Janet Rook	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr John N. Lawson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Paul Fletcher	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr David Bates	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr J.E.B James	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Peter Kloss	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Simon Roberts	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Dr Roy King	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr James Williams	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Espley	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs . Fletcher	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr John Barson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr David Browning	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Mark Berman	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr * Playle	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Joyce Gray	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Anne Duvall	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Clr M A Clark	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes - more or less	Mr W H C Saxon	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes - this is a sensible approach	Mr Mark Stephens	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes , this would be appropriate.	Mrs caroline garnett	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes but no building on green belt land	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes, but not on Green Belt land	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mr Adrian Lee	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mr Peter Such	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes. As long as available to everyone.	Mr Peter Foster	
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes. Bunkers Park.	Mr Stuart Foster	Mr Peter Lawson
57	Question 57: Assessment of sport facilities on a site by site basis	Yes. School land for sports must remain.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes: The following statuses should be re-confirmed or conferred as appropriate: * Bunkers Park * Wildlife corridor to support Shrubhill Common Local Nature Reserve, as far as Fields End Farm, and bounded by the existing western edge of Hemel Hempstead and Pouchen End Lane. * Marchmont Farm, land adjacent to East West link Road	Mr Gruff Edwards	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	All current fields around Hemel Hempstead.	Mr G Rushton	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Do not know	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Football club	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	LG football club - if they relocate	Mr James Williams	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr david richard norris	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Keith Long	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr A Bolino	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Michael Tate	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Miss Sara Constable	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr David Nobbs	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr V. Brooks	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Woodham	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr P L Ammann	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr David Bates	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Barry Peach	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr John Forecast	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr * McClelland	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Dr Roy King	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Lewis Terry	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Peter Such	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Colin Neal	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr C A Goman	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Robert Bell	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Charlton	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Wigmore	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs JP Millis	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr David Browning	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs - Norwood	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Susan -	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs - Russell	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs - Barradone	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs S Gouch	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr * Raggatt	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Cllr M A Clark	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No, but keep what we have at all costs	Mr Mark Stephens	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No.	Mrs caroline garnett	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	No.		Unknown Unknown
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Not at present but developments may give opportunities for associated open land designations and open space provision should be a criterion.	Mr Norman Jones	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Q58 Yes	Mr Easley	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	retain open spaces	Mr B.J. Harris	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	What is currently open should stay so.	Mr Peter Lawrence	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes - Bunkers lane 'open space' to be retained 'as it is'.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes - Marchmont Farm, Piccotts End, Grovehill and Woodhall Farm	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes - see question 51	Mr Colin Westwood	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes - the smaller field off Fletcher Way. This is in regular use as recreational space during summer and winter. Then covered in snow it is very popular for the sport of tabogganning!	Miss Brenda Mariner	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes - we should make sure there are a variety of accessible areas around the town	Mrs Julie Roberts	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes - Westwick (Leverstojk Green Football Club & Westwick Farm).	Mrs - Cox	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes Bunkers Lane Openspace left as it is	Mrs . Fletcher	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes Anything and everything - to maintain the percentage of open land against any additional built proposal.	Miss Alison Cockerill	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes Land adjacent to Warners End Wood designated as public park; Bunkers Park; Wildlife corridor to support Shrubhill Common Local Nature Reserve, as far as, Fields End Farm, and bounded by the existing western edge of HH and Pouchen End Lane; Marchmont Farm, land adjacent to Link Road.	Mr Phil Pennington	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes The land adjacent to Warners End Wood (it is a large field at the moment owned by Herts County Council). It should be designated as a public park.	Mr Paul Harris	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes, Retory Farm Rectory Lane KL Nature Reserve	Mrs P.A Fulton	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes, the area of land surrounding Leverstock Green football club, i.e. Westwick Farm and surrounding fields for a new stadium for both football clubs (Leverstock Green and Hemel Hempstead town) - reason:- would be easily converted, football club/club house etc. Already there there plus easy access to motorway etc. and would create a buffer zone between housing and the village of Leverstock Green.	Mr Anthony William Fox	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Field between east west Link Road and Gadebridge Park - part of the valley that should be left open before Piccotts End village begins. Maintain as much land near the River gade as possible.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Miswell Lane recreation area.	Mrs Janet Rook	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Vacant primary school sites.	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Warners End Wood and Dell Wood (part of)	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. All Green Belt areas should remain as open land.	Miss Sarah Young	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Buncefield	Mr W H C Saxon	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Bunkers Park as Green Belt, noy for development.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Bunkers Park as Green Belt/open land and not for development.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Land at Rucklers Lane, Hemel Hempstead (plans submitted seperately).	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Release of land at Berkhamsted from the Green Belt to be transferred as woodland to Dacorum Borough Council or Berkhamsted Parish council to be dedicated as public open space in exchange for limited development of two houses in the northern side of the land adjoining the recent barn conversion which has been permitted.	Mr N Aitchison	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Shendish and Rectory Farm.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. The area between Bank Mill Lane and the main A4251 road presently belonging to New Lodge.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Woodland at junction of Silverthorn Drive and Conodean School.	Mrs K.A. Birch	
58	Question 58: Other areas the Council should consider as Open Land	Yes. Leverstock Green Football Club and Westwick.	Mr * Playle	
59				
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Bunkers Park protected for recreational use, protected as Green Belt.	Mrs . Fletcher	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Do not know	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Large existing private gardens should not be built upon unless there are provisions for replacement tree planting.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Leverstock Green fc if they relocate	Mr James Williams	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr norman jones	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr david richard norris	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Keith Long	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr A Bolino	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Michael Tate	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr G Rushton	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr David Nobbs	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr V. Brooks	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Woodham	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr P L Ammann	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr David Bates	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Barry Peach	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr John Forecast	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr * McClelland	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs - Cox	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Dr Roy King	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Colin Neal	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Colin Neal	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Espley	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr C A Goman	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr R.F Lancaster	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr P.M. Clark	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Robert Bell	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Wigmore	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs JP Millis	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr N Aitchison	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr John Barson	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr David Browning	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Susan -	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs - Russell	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs - Barradone	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Paul Harris	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr J Biggs	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs S Gouch	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr * Playle	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr * Raggatt	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Clr M A Clark	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No - Bunkers lane 'open space' to be retained as green belt and left 'as it is'.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No to T/L2 Land at Hastoe Lane/Park Road, Tring for change of use from Green Belt to leisure space. Not only is this land allocated as Green Belt, but is part of the Tring Conservation area and has been designated as part of the Chilterns Area of Natural Beauty. Views across this plot from both directions should be preserved.	Mrs Gillian Green	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No to T/L2 Land at Hastoe Lane/Park Road, Tring for change of use from Green Belt to leisure space. This is a beautiful part of the Tring Triangle with views both out towards the Chilterns from Park Road, and also in the other direction when looking back from either the hill or the very popular walkers A41 bridge that leads to Tring Park. Parking on Park Road and Hastoe Lane is extremely congested with parking on both sides of the road. The situation is even worse at weekends and school holidays when even more visitors come to the area to the Zoological Museum.	Mrs Sian Eden	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No.	Mr Peter Such	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No.		Unknown Unknown
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No. The Green Belt should be left as it is.	Miss Sara Constable	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No. Bunkers Park not for development.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No. Bunkers Park not for development.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	No. Development of M/t1a and M/t1b would have a major impact on Junctions 9 and 10 of the M1, and mitigation measures would have to be considered if the sites were to be progressed. Congestion problems are forecast at peak periods at the junctions without the potential developments	Mr Tony Potter	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	None I am aware of possibly something in Apsley/ Nash Mills end of town	Mr Mark Stephens	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Q59 No	Mr Easley	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Q59 Yes	Mrs Katherine Berman	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Q59 Yes Jarmans Park (in addition to housing)	Mrs Katherine Berman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Site T/L2 (Park Road/Hastoe Lane) should be dropped from further consideration. The land is in the Green Belt and Chilterns AONB, a unique and beautiful part of Tring. T/L2 contributes to at least 3 of the 5 purposes of Green Belt. I cannot see what the very special circumstances are that would justify a change from Green Belt to leisure. There is a parking issue associated with the use of the Zoological Museum on Saturday and Sunday afternoons, and an additional attraction would exacerbate the problem and/or require a new car park in the Green Belt. We have a duty to preserve this unique corner of Tring.	Mrs Ailsa Morris	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	T/L2 (land at Hastoe Lane/Park Road) should not be changed from Green belt to leisure space. This beautiful area is enjoyed by everyone in Tring and is popular with all visitors walking along Park Road and the Chiltern footpaths. There are wonderful views out from the Tring triangle to the Chiltern escarpment or from the hill looking back towards Park Road (picture enclosed). A major difficulty is the parking congestion on Park Road associated with the Zoological Museum at weekends and school holidays. The junction of Akeman Street, Park Street, Hastoe Lane and Park Road is offset and any increase in traffic creates a real problem. Access to any new leisure facility would also be a problem of course.	Greta Brown	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	The Crown Estate's proposal for a sustainable urban extension east of Hemel Hempstead would incorporate a number of leisure proposals including areas of informal open space and more formal uses. These would be based on identified need for such facilities and consultation with key stakeholders and the public.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes - Ashlyns School (see attached plan and supporting information). Locate a modern sports hall for joint community use and designate the land to east of Ashlyns school as further public recreation space and include a new low rise 'pavilion/changing room' for joint use by the community and the football club.	Mr Garrick Stevens	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes - at the time of writing the Council has only identified one area as suitable for the caravan site, currently on Buncefield Lane, Bunkers park. Has issues regarding traffic implications. Would like to see alternative sites identified in the Plan.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes - Bunkers Park	Mrs Julie Roberts	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes - see question 51	Mr Colin Westwood	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes, as above	Mr Anthony William Fox	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes, replace the pavilion	Mr B.J. Harris	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes, shendish park - make available for the people while retaining the history. like combe park coventry.	Mrs P.A Fulton	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. Bov/h2 and adjacent site (as part of a redevelopment scheme for housing and healthcare facilities)	* Mr & Mrs E J West	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. Land between Fennycroft Road. Warners End Wood and Dell Wood.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. Need a new Pavillion.	Mr W H C Saxon	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. Jarman Park (in addition to housing)	Mr Mark Berman	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. Land adjoining Jarmans Park.	Mrs - Norwood	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. Shendish and Rectory Farm	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. The Leverstock Green Lawn Tennis Club would consider their site (approx. 1.2 hectares) being redesignated for housing development. Due to its location the site would lead itself to a mixed housing development. The club would wish to relocate to the periphery of Leverstock Green e.g. Bunkers Park area.	Mr Stuart Foster	Mr Peter Lawson
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. The old Lucas site and the old Kodak sports site (Wood Lane End). Green Lane as well.	Mr Chris Brooks	
59	Question 59: Other sites the Council should consider for specific Leisure proposal	Yes. Waterside Way, land north of Icknield Way, Tring. British Waterways Southern Region's policy is to provide additional off navigation moorings. Scheme proposes a "Marina Gardens" development on the Wendover Arm with associated leisure facilities. Linked to residential proposal.		Mr Stephen Harris
60				
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Do not know	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Keith Long	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Mark Stephens	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr A Bolino	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Michael Tate	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Miss Sara Constable	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr G Rushton	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr David Nobbs	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr V. Brooks	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr V. Brooks	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Woodham	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr P L Ammann	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr David Bates	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Sunil Sharma	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Barry Peach	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr John Forecast	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr * McClelland	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Colin Evans	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs - Cox	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr James Williams	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Colin Neal	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Espley	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr C A Goman	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Graham Christie	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Robert Bell	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Charlton	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Wigmore	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs JP Millis	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr N Aitchison	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr John Barson	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Susan -	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs - Russell	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs - Barradone	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Paul Harris	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr J Biggs	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs S Gouch	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr * Playle	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr * Raggatt	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No.	Mr Robert Foster	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No.	Mr J Biggs	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	No.		Unknown Unknown
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Q60 No	Mr Espley	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Stag Lane	Mrs Anna Barnard	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	This is for the residents of Berkhamsted to comment.	Mr david richard norris	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Yes - see question 51	Mr Colin Westwood	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Yes, Additional areas of community facilities and informal public openspace are proposed as part of the wider proposals for the site Be/H2 on land south of Berkhamsted, as shown in our previously submitted masterplan for this area.	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Yes. The Council and Ashlyns School should look at greater joint provision of facilities.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Yes. Could some leisure facility be incorporated within the Stag Lane development. Could Berkhamsted School be approached for further sharing of their spaces with the community?	Mr Edward Kirby	
60	Question 60: Sites in Berkhamsted that the Council should consider for community provision	Yes. The Indoor Facilities Assessment does not include some buildings which have community use in Berkhamsted such as the Civic Centre and Town Hall. However, I would still expect there to be demand for more facilities and the potential for new build should be included if new sites around the town are released.	Ms Claire Covington	
61				
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Ashlyns School for indoor sports use suggested by others seems eminently sensible to me too	Mr Mark Stephens	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Do not know	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr david richard norris	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Keith Long	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr A Bolino	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Michael Tate	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Miss Sara Constable	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr G Rushton	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr David Nobbs	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Woodham	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr P L Ammann	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr David Bates	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Barry Peach	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr John Forecast	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr * McClelland	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Colin Evans	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs - Cox	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Dr Roy King	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr James Williams	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Peter Such	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Colin Neal	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Espley	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr C A Goman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Robert Bell	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Charlton	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs JP Millis	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr N Aitchison	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr John Barson	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Susan -	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs - Russell	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs - Barradone	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Paul Harris	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr J Biggs	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs S Gouch	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr * Playle	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr * Raggatt	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No, unless in Maylands area and possibly related to Stadium.	Mr norman jones	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No.		Unknown Unknown
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No. Keep schools as community centres, instead of new-build.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	No. (Open space is great for walking in!)	Mr Mark Berman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Q61 No	Mr Easley	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Q61 No Why do we need more buildings? What about walking in the fresh air of open spaces?	Mrs Katherine Berman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	r/o former Waitrose, Water Lane, Berkhamsted	Mrs Anna Barnard	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	The Council should be satisfied that there is adequate provision for cultural facilities and that these are in easily accessible locations by public transport and by road with adequate parking. A needs and impact assessment should have been undertaken for potential sites for cultural facilities and if deficiencies are identified then further qualitative assessments should be made. Cultural facilities are not mentioned in the Issues and Options Paper despite: - one of the community plan's aims being to encourage a variety of accessible clubs, arts and leisure opportunities and activities; and - the draft vision being to 'make provision for a full range of social, leisure and community facilities.'	Ms Rose Freeman	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes - Ashlyns School new sports hall for school and community use.	Mr Colin Westwood	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes - Leverstock Green football club site. We owe the residents of Hemel a bowling facility.	Clr Lucy Foster	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes, Indoor tennis centre in Hemel Hempstead or Leverstock Green.	Mr Peter Webber	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes.	Mr Stuart Foster	Mr Peter Lawson
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes. If the Lucas site is to be developed for sports, it should include indoor tennis facilities.	Mr Carlton Humphries	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes. Indoor leisure facilities are needed on the east / Maylands Avenue area of Hemel	Mr Peter Balding	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes. Arts and exhibition space.	Mr Peter Hobson	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes. For the longer term future - indoor tennis courts, on periphery of Leverstock Green.	Mr Stuart Foster	Mr Peter Lawson
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes. Land adjoining Jarmans Park.	Mrs - Norwood	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes. Sports facilities	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
61	Question 61: Other sites the Council should consider to indoor leisure facilities	Yes. The old Lucas site and the old Kodak sports site (Wood Lane End). Green Lane as well.	Mr Chris Brooks	
62				
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	(a)	Mr Alan Proctor	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	(c) - sensible use of a past sports facility.	Mrs P M Seddon	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	(c) Reasonable access for most of Dacorum northern bypass	Mr Mark Gardener	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	62 c) good access	Mr Colin Bayley	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a	Mrs - Russell	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a very definitely, but with adequate car parking.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs B. McCracken	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr John N. Lawson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr * McClelland	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs P M Proctor	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Derek Proctor	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mrs Susan -	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a)	Mr Douglas Doolan	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) Accessible by public transport	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) alongside running track and ski centre	Mr Peter Webber	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) and c) equally acceptable. No to development in the Green Belt	Mrs Fiona Guest	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) and c) Oppose any development on Green Belt land	Mr Graham Christie	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) Hemel and c) Lucas	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) Hemel Hempstead - the town does not need a stadium. The football and rugby sites should stay where they are and the former Lucas site should be used for residential housing.	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) HH	Mr Sunit Sharma	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) Leave it where it is.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) More accessible to users. NOT b) opposed to use of Green Belt c) generates traffic.	Mr Martin Chapman	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) or c) - definitely not on existing green belt.	Mrs caroline garnett	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) Within Hemel Hempstead settlement	Mr Jeremy Child	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) Within Hemel Hempstead settlement. Leave it where it is.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) The can be no justification developing Green Belt	Mr John Baldwin	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a) To promote base of access for all residents.	Mr J Biggs	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a). The Lucas area should be for a St. Albans and Hemel Hospital.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	a.	Mr N Aitchison	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	B	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mrs Maureen Driver	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b)	Mr Purkiss	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b) The area surrounding Leverstock Green Football club - to include the existing ground - see Q58 and Q59	Mr Anthony William Fox	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b) within green belt or possibly on an existing sports field ie galley Hill. Only needs modest development. Must not be on Prime employment or residential development sites such as the Lucas playing field	Mr Trevor Church	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b) Within the Green Belt surrounding Hemel Hempstead It would reduce the possibility of further traffic congestion within the settlement.	Mr David Nobbs	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b) Within the Green Belt surrounding Hemel Hempstead. Considerable potential for a new town stadium on the Crown Estate's land east of Hemel Hempstead, forming part of a mixed use area. Also potential for sharing with St Albans. Location to the east of the town with provision of new transport infrastructure to support the new development would assist in reducing traffic congestion through the town, as a significant proportion of traffic travelling to the stadium would be from outside Hemel.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b) To avoid traffic congestion and parking problems in already crowded areas	Mr Barry Peach	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b.	Mr Peter Such	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	b. Access more suitable lower grade land by motorway. More flexible location. Other options more suited to other development.	Ms Claire Covington	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c	Mr Gruff Edwards	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	C	Mr michael wallis	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	C	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	C	Mr C C F Sutton	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	C - former Lucas Sports Field because it is an existing sports field.	Mr Colin Westwood	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c The Lucas site	Mr Tim Bourne	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c Access	Mr Dennis Fletcher	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr Keith Long	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mrs Diana Spence	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr V. Brooks	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr Richard Basterfield	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mrs Espley	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mrs - Norwood	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	C)	Mr Paul Harris	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr * Raggatt	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr Edward Kirby	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c)	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Conveneinet for visitors arriving from M1	Mr W H C Saxon	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easy to reach	Mrs Stella Bayley	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - Ideal site - if Hemel FC improve, visiting teams would not have to encroach on the town as is the case in Watford.	Mrs Joy Buckle	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) No need to use the Green Belt if there is an existing facility.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) - Obviously better to use existing facilities.	Mr G Rushton	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) already a sports venue	Mr Simon Dewar	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) As good access and away from residential areas	Mrs - Barradone	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) close to motorway	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Ease of access to all major roads	Mr Wigmore	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easier general traffic access.	Lord . Corbett	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easily accessible and plenty of parking. No disturbance by lighting of residents	Mr Roger Hall	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easily accessible to town and motorway. Good use of existing development. Parking easier than a central location.	Mrs Julie Roberts	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) easy access	Mrs P.A Fulton	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easy Access	Mr Stuart Turner	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easy access and is not Green Belt.	Mr Mark Berman	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) easy access from M1	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easy access to residential roads and properties	Mr Roy Ilott	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Easy access. Could be shared with Leverstock Green FC	Mr Peter Balding	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	C) easy M1 access	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas site including old Kodak sports site but The Football club are keen to move to a site that can also accommodate a community club that includes boys and girls of all ages.	Mr Chris Brooks	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sport field is within town boundaries and has reasonable road access; development would not require any green belt land.	Mr P.S. Thring	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mr Sean Young	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mr Paul Young	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mrs * Sheldrake	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mrs Tracey Young	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mr Lewis Terry	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Miss Alison Cockerill	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mr Robert Foster	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mrs Ena Hartland	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Cllr Lucy Foster	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field in order to use an existing leisure facility while protecting the Green Belt from further development in the area surrounding Hemel Hempstead	Cllr M A Clark	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field	Mr A Bolino	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Haven't we not already a stadium little used?		
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field Best access, avoiding town centre congestion	Mrs Jennifer King	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field. Easy access and clear of residential roads and properties.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Field. Good access when stadium would be in use i.e. evenings and weekends.	Mr Colin Neal	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Former Lucas Sports Ground	Mrs Gloria Odell	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good access	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good access and room for parking.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good access from M1 and would not take traffic through residential areas	Mr Robert Bell	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good access. Does not use Green Belt land.	Mr Keith Emerton	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good road access - not ideally suited to housing. Could be linked to leisure facilities for businesses on Maylands Avenue.	Mr Carlton Humphries	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good transport access	Mr John Barson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) It would utilise previous sports ground and not necessitate intrusion into the Green Belt or utilise land within Hemel Hempstead settlement that could be used for alternative purposes.	Mr David Bates	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mr Guy Patterson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mr Michael Tate	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mr Colin Campbell	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mr Michael Tate	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mr John Forecast	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mr Peter Lawrence	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas	Mr Alan Fantham	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - Ideal place for both football teams	Mr Terence Buckle	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - Easier parking could apply at weekends.	Mr Peter Butterick	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - easy access to sports field and M1	Mr J.E.B James	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - good access to all	Mrs Woodham	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - it has commercial access	Mr J Tarbit	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - It makes sense to use land that is already a sports field	Miss Sara Constable	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - not on green belt land	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - site ready and very accessible.	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - The least intrusive.	Mrs - Cox	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas - This area is already allocated and would not impact other areas required for residential use.	Miss Brenda Mariner	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas, Excellent access without major impact on town centre congestion	Dr Roy King	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Lucas, good position near Jarmans.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) No noise	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Obvious site	Mr James Williams	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Proximity to housing and road infrastructure and proximity to Leverstock Green and the sharing of the ground with them.	Mr Graeme Berry	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Releasing Vauxhall Road for housing.	Mr John Taylor	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Sensible use of existing sports facility.	Mrs Ann Poole	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Best place	Mrs Doreen Wall	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Better parking facilities and M1 access.	Mr C A Goman	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Existing facility with good road access and large enough for parking facilities	MR * FIELDING	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) EXISTING SPORTS FIELD	Mr William Revolta	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good access and hopefully adequate car parking space.	Mrs JP Millis	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Good road access near to motorway.	Mrs S Gouch	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) History of being well used for sport, Good road connections, close to dual carriageways and M1.	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Most sensible.	Mr * Playle	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) Space to develop a good stadium and M1 Road access	MR Kelvin Archer	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c) To limit take from the GB To limit congestion in town centre Away from established housing	Mr Phil Pennington	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c).	Mrs Ann Johnson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c).	Mr R.F Lancaster	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	c. Yes. Area is large enough it is near the M1 which means easy access. It will mean not building on Green Belt.	Miss Sarah Young	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	C. Football stadium does not have to be in town centre, but should not be on Green Belt land.	Mr Paul Skipp	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Former Lucas Sports Field. Not on Green Belt land.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Former Lucas Sports Field. It is the obvious location for it without encroaching on Green Belt, but with easy access.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Former Lucas Sports Ground	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Former Lucas Sports Ground. Should be easy to access by public transport as well as by car and coach.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Former Lucas Sports Ground. Consistent with previous use as a sports field. Close to Leverstock Green FC. Frees land for housing on old site.	Mr Allan Sellers	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Hemel Hempstead - leave it where it is.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Is one really needed?	Mr P.M. Clark	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Leave it in Vauxhall Road	Mrs . Fletcher	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Lucas - local to all amenities - access to roads and surrounding wards	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Lucas, access	Mr B.J. Harris	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Lucas, easy access to M1	Mrs Christine Burton	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	No	Mr Colin Neal	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option (c) - former Lucas sports field	Mr Robert Spence	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option c	Mr david richard norris	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option C	Mr Simon Roberts	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option C It is considered that the former Lucas Sports Field has the best road access and is also away from residential areas, which could otherwise suffer a loss of amenity.	Mr Michael Rachlin	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option c)	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option c)	Mrs Anna Barnard	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option c) Green Belt is inviolable. Not good near a residential area due to problems of traffic and parking congestion and noise. Good access, hopefully parking on site.	Mr P Rabson	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Option c) Sensible use of existing sports facility	Mrs Lesley Lee	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Preferably (c) and certainly not in the Green Belt, a stadium and associated parking would be completely inappropriate.	Mr norman jones	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Q62 c)	Mr Easley	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Q62 c) Easy access, not green belt	Mrs Katherine Berman	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	The former Lucas sports field, although this would also make a great location for a new super hospital for the town and/or St Albans. [Retain the hospital in the town, moving it to a flat green field site and freeing up existing land in the town centre site for other uses.]	Mr Mark Stephens	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	We don't need a stadium it will only cause more congestion!	* Bratt	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Within Hemel Hempstead if possible. Location in Green Belt or Urban fringe makes for access difficulties, usually meaning that all access would be by private transport	Mr Peter Kloss	
62	Question 62: Preferred site for a proposed stadium in Hemel Hempstead	Within Hemel Hempstead settlement. Green Belt should not be used. Lucas site would add to congestion on Maylands Avenue/ Breakspear Way/Leverstock Green Road.	Mr Peter Hobson	
63				
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	3	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	5	Mrs P M Seddon	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	5	Mr William Revolta	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	5	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	63 Option 1	Mr Colin Bayley	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	None	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	None	Mrs . Fletcher	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	None it as it is	Mr Paul Fletcher	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	None of options. Leave facilities in Watford.	Mr W H C Saxon	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	None. Leave it where it is.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs P A Fulton	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Miss Sara Constable	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Peter Webber	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Colin Campbell	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Michael Tate	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Peter Butterick	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr * McClelland	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr James Williams	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs P M Proctor	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Miss Alison Cockerill	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Espley	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr C A Goman	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Doreen Wall	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Derek Proctor	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Simon Dewar	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Robert Bell	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Peter Lawrence	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr N Aitchison	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Alan Proctor	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr John Barson	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Paul Harris	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr J Biggs	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs S Gouch	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1 - housing totally acceptable in this location, but with an improved road access.	Mr Mark Stephens	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1 Retain open land designation.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 1.	Mr Peter Such	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Robert Spence	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr John Baldwin	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr norman jones	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr david richard norris	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Guy Patterson	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr michael wallis	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Sean Young	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Peter Balding	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Paul Young	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Tracey Young	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Julie Roberts	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Roy Ilott	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr David Nobbs	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Diana Spence	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Maureen Driver	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Woodham	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Joy Buckle	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr David Bates	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Barry Peach	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Jeremy Child	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	MR Kelvin Archer	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Allan Sellers	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr John Forecast	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr John Taylor	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Martin Chapman	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Peter Hobson	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Simon Roberts	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Trevor Church	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Dr Roy King	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Colin Neal	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Graeme Berry	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Robert Foster	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Terence Buckle	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	option 2	Mr Purkiss	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Graham Christie	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs JP Millis	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Jennifer King	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr P.S. Thring	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr J Tarbit	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Susan -	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs - Russell	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs - Barradone	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Mark Berman	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Douglas Doolan	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Cltr Lucy Foster	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Edward Kirby	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Alan Fantham	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2 - housing	Mr Tim Bourne	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2 (only if the football club has to be relocated)	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 2.	Miss Sarah Young	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Gloria Odell	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Sunit Sharma	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 3 with preferably a D1h use class designation for a place of worship	Mr John Greenaway	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Carlton Humphries	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Mark Gardener	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr J.E.B James	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Anthony William Fox	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Ena Hartland	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Paul Skipp	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Keith Emerton	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4	Mr * Raggatt	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 4.		Unknown Unknown
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr Michael Tate	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs * Sheldrake	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Christine Burton	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr B.J. Harris	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Stella Bayley	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Lord . Corbett	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr P L Ammann	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs - Cox	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Ms Claire Covington	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr Lewis Terry	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr Richard Basterfield	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Lesley Lee	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr Stuart Turner	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Sheila Daley	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr R.F Lancaster	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr Wigmore	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Anna Barnard	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs - Norwood	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mr * Playle	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Miss Brenda Mariner	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Mrs Ann Poole	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5	Cllr M A Clark	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5.	Mrs B. McCracken	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Option 5.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Options 1,4 and 5	Mr Colin Bayley	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Q63 Option 1	Mr Espley	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Q63 Option 2	Mrs Katherine Berman	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Retain as open land	Mr Peter Webber	
63	Question 63: Options for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site	Sport England would only support development proposed in any of the suggested options on either of the football club sites if the clubs were satisfactorily relocated. The potential benefits of both clubs relocating to share a Hemel Hempstead town stadium are acknowledged. However, before Sport England could support the potential loss of either of the club's existing sites, it would need to be satisfactorily demonstrated that all of the clubs' needs could be met in a shared stadium as the relocation of both clubs to a single site would result in a net loss of football pitches. Sport England may also be concerned about the clubs relocating to the former Lucas Sports Field without any additional replacement playing field provision being made as this would result in the net loss of further playing fields.	Mr Roy Warren	
64				
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	1	Mr Gruff Edwards	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	2	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	3	Mrs - Russell	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	4	Mrs P M Seddon	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	4	Mr William Revolta	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	4	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Mix use retaining social and community use	Mr Peter Kloss	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None	Mr W H C Saxon	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None	Mr Richard Basterfield	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None	Mrs . Fletcher	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None - lease it alone	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None - leave it alone	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None leave it as it is	Mr Paul Fletcher	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None. Keep as a football club	Mr Peter Lawrence	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None. Leave it where it is!	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	None. Leverstock Green FC should remain where it is. It has a lovely pitch and good facilities.	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Robert Spence	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr John Baldwin	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr david richard norris	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Guy Patterson	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Tim Bourne	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr michael wallis	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Roger Hall	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr A Bolino	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Peter Balding	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Roy Illott	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr David Nobbs	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Diana Spence	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Maureen Driver	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Woodham	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Joy Buckle	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr David Bates	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	MR Kelvin Archer	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Allan Sellers	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Simon Roberts	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Trevor Church	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Colin Neal	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Terence Buckle	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Margaret Illott	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr J Tarbit	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Phil Pennington	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Douglas Doolan	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1	Mr Edward Kirby	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1 - housing, again totally acceptable but with improved road access.	Mr Mark Stephens	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1 Residential. If the football club is relocated, The Crown Estate supports its allocation for housing as part of the wider H42 allocation. It would also include some land north of H42 which would allow an area of open land with public access.	Ms Helena Spencer	Ms Helena Spencer
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 1.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Gloria Odell	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Peter Butterick	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs - Cox	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Ron Starbrook	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Doreen Wall	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Ena Hartland	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs S Gouch	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mr * Playle	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2	Mrs Espley	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 2. But leave club where it is.	Mr * McClelland	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs * Sheldrake	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Christine Burton	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Julie Roberts	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs P.A Fulton	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Miss Sara Constable	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Carlton Humphries	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Mark Gardener	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr John Forecast	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr John Taylor	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr James Williams	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs P M Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Anthony William Fox	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr C A Goman	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Stuart Turner	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Derek Proctor	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr R.F Lancaster	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Simon Dewar	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Robert Foster	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Robert Bell	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs JP Millis	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Anna Barnard	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Alan Proctor	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Paul Skipp	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr * O'Brien	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr John Barson	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr David Browning	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mrs Susan -	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr C C F Sutton	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Paul Harris	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr J Biggs	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Keith Emerton	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr * Raggatt	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	ClIr Lucy Foster	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3.	Miss Sarah Young	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 3.		Unknown Unknown
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr norman jones	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Michael Tate	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Sean Young	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Paul Young	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Tracey Young	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr B.J. Harris	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Colin Bayley	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs B. McCracken	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr G Rushton	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Stella Bayley	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Lord . Corbett	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Sunit Sharma	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Barry Peach	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Jeremy Child	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr J.E.B James	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs K.A. Birch	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Ms Claire Covington	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Martin Chapman	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Peter Hobson	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Simon Roberts	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Dr Roy King	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Lewis Terry	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Lesley Lee	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Miss Alison Cockerill	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Graeme Berry	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Sheila Daley	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Wigmore	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Jennifer King	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs - Norwood	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs - Barradone	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mr Mark Berman	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Miss Brenda Mariner	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Mrs Ann Poole	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4	Cllr M A Clark	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Option 4.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	option1	Mr Purkiss	
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Q64	Mr Espley	
		Option 2		
64	Question 64: Options for the Leverstock Green Football Club site	Q64	Mrs Katherine Berman	
		Option 4		
65				
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Disagrees with caravan site. Bird life is being encouraged at some financial cost to the Council.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Leave Bunkers Park alone.	Mrs . Fletcher	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	N/A leave the Bunkers Lane open space alone for all to enjoy	Mr Paul Fletcher	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr A Bolino	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr Peter Webber	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr J.E.B James	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr Colin Neal	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Mr J Biggs	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No - The caravan site should not be developed at all.	Mr John Forecast	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No caravans	Mrs Lisa Segger	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No.	Mr Peter Such	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No. Leave as open space for amenity use for the local community to enjoy.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	No. Leave as open space for community/amenity/local use.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Planning permission should not be granted for any development in Bunkers Park or any other Green Belt land at this sensitive time.	Mr david richard norris	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Q65 Yes As long as it satays an open space and doesn't get built up with a stadium of leidure buildings	Mrs Katherine Berman	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	The site is rather close to Buncefield and stored caravans were damaged in the explosion so this may affect its usefulness	Mr Peter Kloss	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	There should be no caravan site at Bunkers Park.	Mr Chris Crawley	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	This is supported with the provisos contained in the Londgean Park Residents Association letter (attached seperately).	Mr Peter Lardi	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Robert Spence	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Chris Brooks	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	yes	Mr Gruff Edwards	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr michael wallis	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Sean Young	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr W H C Saxon	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Eileen Janacek	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Diana Spence	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr John N. Lawson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Joy Buckle	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr David Bates	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Lorraine Starbrook	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Simon Roberts	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Dr Roy King	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr James Williams	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs P M Proctor	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Ron Starbrook	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Ron Starbrook	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Espley	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr C A Goman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Derek Proctor	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr R.F Lancaster	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Terence Buckle	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Ena Hartland	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Alan Proctor	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr * O'Brien	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr John Barson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr David Browning	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Miss Carol Lodge	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Martin Hicks	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr * Playle	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes . It is important that the whole area remains a leisure area (H/L3) as it replaces areas lost at Jarmen park and Maylands avenue.	Mr Ian Heather	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes, and should incorporate that Bunkers Lane is not a suitable access route.	Mrs caroline garnett	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes, most definitely but with restrictions on road access, i.e. not Bunkers Lane.	Mr Mark Stephens	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes.	Mr norman jones	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes.	Mr Robert Foster	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes. Not even developed as a caravan site.	Mr P Rabson	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes. Action will also need to be taken to ensure caravan traffic uses suitable routes - NOT Bunkers Lane.	Mr Tim Bourne	
65	Question 65: A leisure designation to safeguard Bunkers Park Caravan site	Yes. As long as it is open space leisure i.e. caravan site, parkland etc (no stadium or indoor facilities)	Mr Mark Berman	
66				
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	A map with full details allows better judgement	Mrs Abby Fermont	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Don't know	Mr A Bolino	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	I am not sure if it is appropriate but I would agree with other respondents that Bunkers Park apart from the level section near the Car park should be included given the apparent current threats to the area. Sports Pitches (as per Hemel2020 vision) need to be very limited and housing - no!	Mr norman jones	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	I think the Council's attitude to the Old High Street and surrounding area is disgraceful. This area should be valued and appreciated. Instead it appears to get the minimum of resources and without any aesthetic sensitivity.	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	LDF policies should be prepared taking account of a strong evidence base for the historic environment. This might comprise conservation area appraisals, extensive urban survey reports, historic landscape characterisation data, etc. Be aspirational in terms of enhancement. High quality design, appropriate to its context, will be vital to ensure that the high levels of growth proposed in this district are satisfactorily assimilated.	Katharine Fletcher	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No - Guidance can be completely ignored.	Mrs Susan -	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No - Meaningless assessment standards e.g. how can the Bulbourne Valley be a character area, after a dual carriage way has been ploughed through it?	Mr Derek Proctor	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No You are creating new constraints that are a waste of time and money. If the Bulbourne Valley was a character area the A41 Bypass has already ruined this.	Mr Alan Proctor	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No, Too weak; Landscape Conservation Area should have as much control implemented as the AONB within Dacorum's own planning guidance.	Miss Alison Cockerill	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No. More consideration should be given to the Landscape Character Assessment - it should not be viewed as supplementary planning guidance but should strongly influence planning decisions.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No. Stricter rules should be applied rather than guidelines; guidelines are easily ignored.	Mr Mark Berman	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No. Taking things too far/not needed.	Mrs P M Proctor	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	No. Unnecessary.	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Q66 No Stricter rules should be applied rather than guidelines: Guidelines are too easily ignored.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Q66 Yes	Mr Espley	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Q66 Yes	Mr Espley	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Regarding T/L2 in Tring, this land must be retained as Green Belt. The A41 road is so well landscaped that it does not split the beauty of the area. To look out from the Tring Triangle towards the Chiltern escarpment, or alternatively to stand on the hill and look back towards Park Road and experience the wonderful views, is convincing evidence that there should be no development on this land. The fields between Park Road and the A41 are part of the conservation area.	Ms Greta Brown	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Retain in the supplementary advice (as now).	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Tring TC is keen to protect the areas discussed within this chapter. It supports the view that these areas should be retained in the supplementary advice to the LDF but also sees the benefit of having them shown within the Proposals Map. In conclusion, the Council would opt for Option 2 which is an amalgamation of the Map and Supplementary Advice.	Mrs Susan Johnson	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr norman jones	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Mark Stephens	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Sean Young	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr John N. Lawson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr William Revolta	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr David Bates	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	MR Kelvin Archer	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Colin Evans	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr J.E.B James	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Dr Roy King	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr James Williams	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Espley	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Keith Burgess	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr P.M. Clark	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Graham Christie	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Jennifer King	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr John Barson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr David Browning	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr * Playle	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Joyce Gray	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Clr M A Clark	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes - broadly speaking	Mr W H C Saxon	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes the more protection the better.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes And the outcomes of landscape assessment work are best placed in supplementary planning documents after public consultation.	Mr Graeme Cannon	Mr Michael Stubbs
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes, agree that the Landscape Character Assessment Areas should not be shown on the proposals map and left as SPG.	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mrs Gloria Odell	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
66	Question 66: The Council's approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas	Yes. Gade Valley should be protected as a local place of natural beauty. Piccotts End should be regarded as the gateway to this area north of Hemel Hempstead.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
67				
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Bunkers Park	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Bunkers Park and Longdean Park - chalk meadow and woodland typical of the Chilterns.	Mr Peter Hobson	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Depends upon impact upon landscape of new housing.	Mr W H C Saxon	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Existing approach sounds sensible and suitable - retain it.	Mr Mark Stephens	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Farm should be kept - listed farm	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr A Bolino	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Michael Tate	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Woodham	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr P L Ammann	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr David Bates	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Sunil Sharma	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Barry Peach	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Michael Tate	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr John Forecast	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr * McClelland	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Dr Roy King	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs P M Proctor	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Espley	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr C A Goman	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Robert Foster	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Robert Bell	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Wigmore	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs JP Millis	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr N Aitchison	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Jennifer King	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr John Barson	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr David Browning	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs - Barradone	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr J Biggs	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs S Gouch	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr * Raggatt	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Clr M A Clark	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No.	Mr Colin Neal	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No.	Mr Purkiss	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	No.		Unknown Unknown
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Pouchen End and surrounding area.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Protect the green belt and wildlife plus historical sites	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Q67 No	Mr Espley	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Q67 Yes Bunkers Lane Open Space (if it isn't already)	Mrs Katherine Berman	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	The Gade valley north of Hemel Hempstead. Although parts of the valley lie within conservation areas and the Chiltern AONB, I do not think there is adequate protection in place for the whole of this exceptional landscape.	Mrs Margaret Stanier	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	The Gade Valley/Grand Union stretch from Kings Langley to Apsley/Nash Mills. The canal follows the original meander of the Gade in its natural flood plain in open land (formerly strawberry fields and unique in this part of Dacorum). This area and the adjacent fishing ponds have become a haven for a wide variety of birds and wildlife. This stretch also provides the only natural open Green Belt break between the north of Kings Langley and Apsley.	Mr Marc Boucherat	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	The land behind Berkhamsted from Vrwonlow Road up to Potten End.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Westwick Farm and surrounding. The hedgerows are over 200 yrs old; the farmhouse is a listed building. This should be preserved. Blackwater Wood	Mrs - Cox	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - Suggest that Bunkers Open Space (including the land adjoining Bedmond Road) should be considered for designation as an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.	Mr John Baldwin	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - Bunkers Lane 'open space' highly valued and enjoyed by all the local residents to pursue their outdoor hobbies.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - Bunkers Park conservation is essential (retain what is there now)	Mrs Susan -	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - Bunkers Park. If the Green Belt is used for housing as demanded by the Government, Leverstock Green will be transformed. An oasis of wildlife will need to be preserved.	Clr Lucy Foster	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - Bunkers Park. Needed for wildlife conservation and local public access and enjoyment, without infringing on public sports activities and facilities.	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - I agree in principle but I have no knowledge of specific suitable sites	Mr Colin Campbell	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - I believe the land between the existing urban edge and the M1 to the East of the town forms its landscape setting and should be preserved. The main access into the town is from this direction and this land is highly visible both from the M1 and when approaching Hemel Hempstead.	* Mr and Mrs Gardener	Mr Steven Biart
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - Land between Green Dell Way and Leverstock Green Way as very limited undeveloped land in the section between St Albans Rd A414 and Leverstock Green Way. Tree Preservation orders should be put in place.	Mr Graham Christie	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - Shendish. Area of natural beauty which incorporates a range of wildlife.	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - To retain Bunkers Park conservation	Mrs - Russell	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes - whole of the Gade Valley	Dr R Gilbert	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes Areas like Gadebridge Park and Piccotts End	Mr Paul Havinden	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes- Bunkers Park - Protection of woodland (established and newly planted). Protection of extensive badger setts within woodland.	Mr John N. Lawson	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes Bunkers Park/Wildlife Trust Area	Mr Simon Dewar	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes Shendish as any development would have disastrous visual implications	MR * FIELDING	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes Shrubhill common, Warners End Wood, Bunkers Park, Northridge Park - These are very attractive areas that add to the quality of life in these areas.	Mr Paul Harris	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes The area in Chipperfield formerly designated as having "Landscape and Conservation Area" status. This could prevent it being divided up into a series of paddocks for horses - an increasingly prevalent practice locally.	Mr David Nobbs	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes The Gade Valley must stay as it is. It is one of the few areas not ruined by major roads	MR Kelvin Archer	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes The whole of the Gade Valley, including Marchmont Fields to preserve the essential beauty and history of HH.	Mrs * Pratt	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes, Land between Westwick/Corner Farm and M1 Motorway as a buffer	Mr James Williams	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes, Rectory Farm Kings Langley	Mrs P.A Fulton	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. All Boxmoor Trust land (if not already designated)	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. All green areas between any town and village.	Mrs * Sheldrake	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Conserve the green areas surrounding Gadebridge Park i.e Piccotts End and Cherry Bounce area	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. The farmland around the Ovaltine site.	Miss Sara Constable	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. We would like to see the recommendations of the Urban Nature Conservation Study implemented to the full.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Anywhere, everywhere possible.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Boxmoor along the canal and old A41 from Two Waters to Box Lane; Gadebridge Park; River Gade.	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Country Park (if it is not already).	Mr Mark Berman	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Lane open space.	Miss Sarah Young	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Park	Mr John Taylor	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Park	Mrs K.A. Birch	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Park - local community value this area as an open space.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Park - Very highly valued locally as open space used by the whole community.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Park, Hemel Hempstead to protect the woodland, new and old, wildlife and general beauty of the landscape and vistas looking towards Nash Mills.	Mr Allan Sellers	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Park, highly valued	Mrs . Fletcher	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Bunkers Park.	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Gade Valley	Mrs Ena Hartland	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Kings Langley, preserve natural beauty.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Piccotts End surrounding area including Home Wood surrounds and the whole of Gade Valley because of its exceptional character. There does not seem to be adequate protection in the plan for the whole area.	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Protect the Green Belt and wildlife plus historical sites.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. The AONB designation from Potten End between Bulbeggars Lane and Ivy House Lane to the railway line should be extended down to the A4251.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Upgrade to the Tring Rural to Green Belt.	Mrs Dawn Slade	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Westwick Row with its ancient hedgerow should be included in landscape conservation designation. It is an area of historical and environmental significance.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Westwick Row, Leverstock Green and the surrounding farmland to retain ancient character.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. All open greenland should be re-assessed as some have area mowed regularly which could be tree planted to soften any local expansion of building.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Any areas threatened with unwelcome development, to enforce opposition and give greater protection against urban growth.	Miss Alison Cockerill	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Area previously designated within Chipperfield as a landscape Conservation Area. To protect it from speculative land purchase and from subdivision into paddocks.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
67	Question 67: Areas the Council should consider for local landscape conservation designation	Yes. Westwick Farm. This is a green area we should keep.	Mr * Playle	
68				
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr John Baldwin	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr A Bolino	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Michael Tate	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Miss Sara Constable	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr David Nobbs	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	MR * FIELDING	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr V. Brooks	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Tim Amsden	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Woodham	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr P L Ammann	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr David Bates	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Barry Peach	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr * McClelland	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Dr Roy King	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr James Williams	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Colin Neal	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Espley	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr C A Goman	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Robert Foster	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Graham Christie	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Robert Bell	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Wigmore	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs JP Millis	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr N Aitchison	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr John Barson	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr David Browning	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs - Norwood	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Susan -	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs - Russell	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs - Barradone	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr J Biggs	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr * Raggatt	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No.	Miss Sarah Young	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No.	Mr Purkiss	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	No.		Unknown Unknown
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	None I am particularly aware of, but ones known containing Hertfordshire puddingstone should be protected if possible.	Mr Mark Stephens	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Q68 No	Mr Espley	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	The Gade/Grand Union stretch from Kings Langley to Nash Mills, geologically and geomorphologically this is the only natural and unspoilt meander of the river Gade in the area.	Mr Marc Boucherat	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	The whole of the Chilterns are classified as specially so. The Berkhamsted Castle area is in particular.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes - all the chalk hills and streams within the Dacorum area are of world-wide importance. The Chiltern Hills are unique.	Clr M A Clark	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes - Boxmoor and Berkhamsted Castle - archaeological interest	Mr Colin Campbell	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes - Little Heath Area of National Trust, Potten End, Berkhamsted	Mrs Charlton	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes - Piccotts End, due to Murals and Roman baths	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes - The eastern areas of Leverstock Green bounded by Westwick Row.	Mr John Forecast	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes Ashridge which is the greatest asset that Dacorum has.	Mr Paul Harris	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes. Contact Barbara Chapman who has researched this.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes. The County Council is aware of the previous interest of the RIGS group when contributing to the restoration proposals for Springview Quarry, Flaunden and consider that the site allocations document might be an appropriate process to determine whether this site should be formally designated as a Regionally Important Geological Site.	Mr Russell Monck	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes. 1, 13, 14a, 14b, 14c	Mrs S Gouch	
68	Question 68: Sites the Council should consider for Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphologic sites	Yes. Local guidance to this dates back to very early times.	Mr * Playle	
69				
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	No - only include major wildlife sites and SSSI's etc.	Mr Derek Proctor	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	NO - un-necessary over protection	Mr Trevor Church	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	No. It is the government that want these houses built, therefore there is conflict if the Council are following government guidance.	Mr Mark Berman	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	No. All greenfield mowed areas, some previously wildlife sites that do not have a use other than mowed open space could be corridors of woodland i.e. wide hedge routes with very low maintenance connecting one area of lost conservation area to a higher value of conservation area thus improving the value of the conserved area, complicated but needs to make co-existing populations of wildlife and human beings.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	No. Only list important sites such as SSSI on proposals map.	Mrs P M Proctor	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	No. Only list the main sites such as S.S.S.I which are important. You tend to take assessment too far!	Mr Alan Proctor	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Q69 We don't want these housing developments its the government that does. If the council follows government guidance, they are not following what their electorates want.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Q69 Yes	Mr Espley	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Q69-70. The County Council understands that the Hertfordshire Biological Records Centre has responded directly.	Mr Russell Monck	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Tring TC agrees with DBC's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr John Baldwin	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Guy Patterson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Colin White	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Roger Hall	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Sean Young	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	yes	Mrs Dorothy Craven	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Julie Roberts	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs P.A Fulton	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Peter Webber	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr G Rushton	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr H G Clapham	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr W H C Saxon	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Roy Ilott	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Julie Rabson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr P Rabson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Mark Gardener	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr John N. Lawson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Lord . Corbett	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	MR * FIELDING	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Gloria Odell	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Joy Buckle	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr David Bates	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Jeremy Child	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr * McClelland	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Colin Evans	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr J.E.B James	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Abby Fermont	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Marc Boucherat	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Dr Roy King	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr James Williams	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Lewis Terry	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Peter Such	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Espley	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Margaret Chapman	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Robert Foster	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Charlton	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr P. S. Thring	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr J Tarbit	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Jake Quintin Leith	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr John Barson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr David Browning	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs - Norwood	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Miss Carol Lodge	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr C C F Sutton	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Martin Hicks	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Stephen Brinkman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr J Biggs	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr * Playle	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Joyce Gray	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Clr Lucy Foster	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Clr M A Clark	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes - Include Bunkers Lane 'open space' which includes long grass, headrows and woodland for a wide variety of insects, plants, birds and animals.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes, agree.	Mr norman jones	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes, include Bunkers Lane Park, which attracts wildlife	Mrs . Fletcher	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes, they should be retained and clearly identified on the proposals map	Mr Mark Stephens	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs Janet Rook	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	MR Kelvin Archer	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mr Purkiss	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.	Mrs Dawn Slade	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes. Wants fresh air and green fields, not urban sprawl.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes. But include woods (with badger setts) as wildlife sites - especially at Bunkers Park and hedgerows.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes. Include woods/hedgerows especially at Bunkers Park.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
69	Question 69: The Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites	Yes. The Grand Union Canal and its adjacent River Bulbourne should be protected as a Wildlife site.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
70				
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	All ancient woodland in the area should be protected.	Mr G Rushton	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Every wood in the whole of Dacorum, because we need the trees for oxygen, apart from their historical and aesthetic value.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Existing areas need to be betetr maintained.	Mr W H C Saxon	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr A Bolino	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Michael Tate	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr David Nobbs	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr William Revolta	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	MR * FIELDING	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr V. Brooks	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Woodham	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr P L Ammann	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr David Bates	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr * McClelland	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Dr Roy King	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr James Williams	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Colin Neal	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Espley	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Robert Foster	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Wigmore	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs JP Millis	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr N Aitchison	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr John Barson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr David Browning	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs - Norwood	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Susan -	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Miss Carol Lodge	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs - Russell	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs - Barradone	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr * Playle	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Cllr Lucy Foster	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Edward Kirby	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No - no more than already	Mr Graham Christie	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No, we are unaware of any new woodland sites that would meet this definition, but new data may demonstrate the history of a particular site which may warrant such recognition as Ancient Woodland	Mr Martin Hicks	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No.		Unknown Unknown
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	No. Is Blackwater Woods designated as Ancient Woodland?	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Q70 No	Mr Easley	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Q70 Yes Bunkers Park and Little wood and Blackwater wood	Mrs Katherine Berman	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Rucklers Lane Woods	Mr J Biggs	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Tidys Hill/Nucket Wood should be promoted as a nature reserve.	Cllr Alan Anderson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Tring TC would like to see Stubbings Wood; and the woodland generally from Hastoe to Cholesbury.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Tring Woodland, if not already covered	Mrs Abby Fermont	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - Blackwater Wood - if not already designated	Mr Peter Balding	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - In around Bunkers Park, Pimlico, and the Long Dean nature reserve; Shendish and Rucklers Lane areas.	Mr Mark Stephens	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - All ancient woodland should be protected for conservation, biodiversity and social needs	Mr P.M. Clark	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - Ancient Hedgerows and ancient woodland around Bunkers Lane open space	Mr Paul Fletcher	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - Berkhamsted Long Green and Sandpit Green. Woodland at the top of Castle Hill	Mr Colin Westwood	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - Blackwater Wood	Mr John N. Lawson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - Blackwater Woods	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - Blackwater Woods	Mrs - Cox	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - The Common, Berkhamsted and Ashridge Woods, insofar as not already protected	Mr * Raggatt	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes - the eastern boundaries of Leverstock Green, bounded by Westwick Row	Mr John Forecast	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes , Blackwater on Bedmond Road	Mr Peter Webber	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes to all.	Mr Purkiss	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes ShrubHill Common	Mr Paul Harris	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes Woods along Little Heath Lane/Bullbeggars Lane	Mrs Anna Barnard	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes, all those areas of Ancient Woodland not already designated as such.	Mr david richard norris	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes, ancient woodland and hedgerows in Bunkers Park	Mrs . Fletcher	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes, Protect all woodlands	Mrs P.A Fulton	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. All ancient woodlands to be retained.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Blackwater Woods	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Blackwater Woods (if not already protected)	Mr Robert Bell	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Lockers Park can be traced back many years.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Part of Cocks Head Wood (not already covered by a tree preservation order) and Hens Head Wood, both within Shendish.	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. All	Mr Julian Mincham	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. All existing sites.	Mr Keith Long	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Blackwater wood	Mr Barry Peach	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Blackwater Wood - although in St Albans CDC it is an amenity enjoyed by Hemel Hempstead residents.	Mr Peter Hobson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Blackwater Wood, Leverstock Green/Pimlico	Mrs Barbara Chapman	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Bunkers Park, Black Water Wood and Little Wood (Chambersbury Lane). This is to prevent break up of wildlife corridors.	Mr Mark Berman	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Bunkers Park, Hemel Hempstead, woodlands new and old.	Mr Allan Sellers	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Hobbs Hill Wood School/Longdean School site, contains a remanent of ancient woodland.	Mr John Taylor	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. I assume Homewood is already a Site of Special Scientific Interest. Otherwise Homewood - Old Town and Piccotts End	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Protect all woodlands.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Small areas of woodland up Westwick Road.	Miss Sarah Young	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. The woodland in Kings Langley Common	Miss Sara Constable	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. West end of Bunkers Park, Pheasant Woods (north of A414 to St Albans)	Mrs Joan Saxon	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	yes. Woodland adjoining herts and mids wildlife trust land plus little wood (Bunkers Lane/Chambersbury Lane) Also ancient hedgerows in bunkers lane	Mr B.J. Harris	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Woodland/hedgerows in and sround Bunkers Park and Blackwater Woods.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Woodlands in and around Bunkers Park and hedgerows and Blackwater Woods.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. All ancient woodland should be retained.	Mr Phil Pennington	
70	Question 70: Areas the Council should consider for protecting Ancient Woodland	Yes. Bluebell Woods off Bunkers Lane/Bedmond Road.	Mr C A Goman	
71				
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	All of those mentioned.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	If AASs are defined on the Proposals Map, there should be a caveat to make clear that other sites (designated as such) may also have high archaeological potential.	Katharine Fletcher	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr david richard norris	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr A Bolino	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Michael Tate	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Christine Burton	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Miss Sara Constable	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr David Nobbs	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Carlton Humphries	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Mark Gardener	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	MR * FIELDING	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr V. Brooks	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Woodham	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr P L Ammann	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr David Bates	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Barry Peach	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr * McClelland	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Dr Roy King	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr James Williams	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Richard Basterfield	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Colin Neal	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Espley	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr C A Goman	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Robert Foster	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Graham Christie	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Robert Bell	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Wigmore	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs JP Millis	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr N Aitchison	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Anna Barnard	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr John Barson	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr David Browning	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs - Norwood	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Susan -	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs - Russell	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs - Barradone	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Paul Harris	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Margaret Kelly	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr * Raggatt	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	No.		Unknown Unknown
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Protect the historical sites in and around Leverstock Green	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Q71 No	Mr Espley	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	The Town Council has no suggestions for additional sites.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	There are a number of other areas that the County Council would wish to put forward for consideration as Areas of Archaeological Significance (AAS). However, it may not be appropriate for these to be specifically identified in the Borough's LDD.	Mr Russell Monck	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes	Mrs - Cox	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes	Mr * Playle	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes - between Leverstock Green and the M1, where substantial remains of archaeological importance have been identified.	Mr Robert Spence	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes - Old Roman baths of A4146. Mural in Piccotts End. Designation needed to view of proposed A4146 Water End bypass and North relief road.	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes - Sappi site memorial gardens. Various other buildings that should be protected. Planning policy needs to reflect these concerns.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes - the eastern boundaries of Leverstock Green, bounded by Westwick Row	Mr John Forecast	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes - Westwick Row	Mr John N. Lawson	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes (but didn't list any)	Mr Sean Young	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes (but none identified)	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes our remaining historic industrial heritage particularly the Georgian house of John Dickinson and Sir John Evans within the Sappi Nash Mills site also Stephenson's cottage also on that site, together with some of the former industrial buildings and warehousing that could be used as small factory/manufacturing sites. Also British Paper Frogmore although I suspect that is already covered	Mr Mark Stephens	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes All historical buildings and landscape. They could be utilised for recreation while maintaining their unique historical character.	Mrs Tracey Young	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes All known sites and historic buildings	Mr Paul Young	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes Between Leverstock Green and the M1 where substantial remains of archaeological importance have been identified.	Mrs Diana Spence	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes Hill Farm, Love Lane, Kings Langley. To protect a fine listed farmhouse and its environment from destruction by inappropriate development.	Mr J Biggs	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes, Shendish Manor	Mrs P.A Fulton	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Bridgewater Arms, Little Gaddesden. Dates from about 1815. Lockers Park School built 1874.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Future protection to be guaranteed for all sites still to be discovered e.g. Gadebridge Villa was not known until Leighton Buzzard road building.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Westwick (see LGVM)	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. AAS M1 extension site and Westwick Row area should be extended to whole area surrounding Leverstock Green from M1 to Bunkers Park.	Mr John Taylor	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Existing sites in Leverstock Green and adjacent Wood Lane End/Buncefield to be extended and joined up. Recent finds at Junction 8 have emphasised the importance of the area.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Gadebridge Park and Westwick Road.	Miss Sarah Young	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Piccotts End	Mrs Victoria Lindsey	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Protect the historical sites in and around Leverstock Green.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Sappi Site, Retention of house and Old Cottages/Stables, Memorial Garden.	Mrs K.A. Birch	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Shendish Manor or parkland.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
71	Question 71: Other sites the Council should consider as Areas of Archaeological Significance	Yes. Bunkers open space.	Mrs S Gouch	
72				
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	A should be identified	Mrs P.A Fulton	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Abbots Hill, King Langley	Mr Simon Dewar	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All	Mr Wigmore	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All are extremely important.	Mrs Tracey Young	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All but Chipperfield Manor.	Mrs Joanne Deacon	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All should be identified	Mr David Richard Norris	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All should be protected.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All should be shown on the map	Mrs Susan -	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All should not	Mr Michael Tate	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	All.	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Champneys	Mr Martin Hicks	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Cheverells, Markyate, Rossway, south of Berkhamsted, Champneys, near Wigginton, the Golden Parsonage and Haresfoot.	Miss Sarah Young	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Chipperfield Manor Rossway, south of Berkhamsted Abbots Hill, Kings Langley Westbrook Hay, near Hemel Hempstead Amersfort, Potten End Haresfoot, south of Berkhamsted	Mr Edward Kirby	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Gadebridge Park	Mr Colin Neal	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Haresfoot has been considerably degraded. This area represents a more typical urban fringe environment where historic parkland characteristics have been eroded and piecemeal development has taken place. Accordingly it is an area which could accommodate the further development of community facilities and landscape enhancements to provide a more attractive and accessible urban fringe, retaining some of the former parkland features as signals to its historic past.	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Haresfoot, south of Berkhamsted	Mr Colin Westwood	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Leave all well alone.	Mr W H C Saxon	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	No parks or green belt land should be built on	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	None	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	None , but I am not familiar with them all from first hand experience	Mr Mark Stephens	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	None identified (full list supported)	Pat Livesey	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	None should be excluded.	Mr Cornelius Nicoll	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	None, all to be identified.	Mr norman jones	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	None.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	None. (Appears to believe they are being proposed for residential development)	Mr Paul Young	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Rossway, south of Berkhamsted	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Shendish Manor	Mrs K.A. Birch	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Support the Council's current approach to maintenance of the special character of Ashridge as a historic park/garden	Mr Graeme Cannon	Mr Michael Stubbs
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	They should all be identified	Miss Sara Constable	
72	Question 72: Parks and gardens not to be identified on the Proposals Map	Westbrook Hay Shendish Manor Gadebridge Park The Golden Parsonage	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
73				
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Anywhere and everywhere possible.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Bunkers Park. Only area local to Leverstock Green which can be enjoyed by its residents the function that is was very recently designated and allocated for.	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Data on the survival of historic parks during the 20th century can be found by district on the HELM website.	Katharine Fletcher	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	I agree with the other respondent totally and was pleasantly surprised to find exactly the same answer - namely the walled garden at the Bury in the old town and the Water Gardens of the HH new town centre from the Bury to the canal. More could be made of the Moor End roundabout gardens near Kodak House and Northridge Park - these should also be kept and developed	Mr Mark Stephens	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr david richard norris	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Jeremy Castle	Mr Simon Fife
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr A Bolino	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Michael Tate	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr B.J. Harris	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Julie Roberts	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Miss Sara Constable	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr David Nobbs	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	MR * FIELDING	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr V. Brooks	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Woodham	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr P L Ammann	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr David Bates	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Barry Peach	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Allan Sellers	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr John Forecast	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr * McClelland	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs - Cox	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr James Williams	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Lewis Terry	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Colin Neal	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Espley	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr C A Goman	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Doreen Wall	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Simon Dewar	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Robert Bell	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Wigmore	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs JP Millis	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr N Aitchison	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr John Barson	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr David Browning	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs - Barradone	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr J Biggs	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr * Playle	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr * Raggatt	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Edward Kirby	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	No.		Unknown Unknown
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Q73 No	Mr Espley	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Q73 Yes Bunkers Country Park	Mrs Katherine Berman	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Some of the Stocks garden. in Aldbury	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes - Brockley Park Wheathampstead	Mrs - Russell	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes - Brockley Park, Wheathampsted	Mrs Susan -	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes - Bunkers Lane open space should be given manimum importance and protection for the wildlife to florish and is the only park on that side of town.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes - Chenies Manor	Mrs Charlton	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes - Memoral Gardens, Sappi site.	Cllr Lucy Foster	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes - Stocks in Aldbury	Cllr M A Clark	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes - Tring Park	Mr Graeme Berry	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes Bunkers Park	Mr Graham Christie	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes give Bunkers Park the highest protection	Mrs . Fletcher	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes Castle Village	Mrs Anna Barnard	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes Stocks, Aldbury, Pendley, Tring Both make a contribution equal to others named	Mr Tim Amsden	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes Warners End Wood, Shrubhill Common, Bunkers Park, and Northridge Park and Tring Park. All of which are of vital importance for the people of Dacorum.	Mr Paul Harris	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes.	Miss Sarah Young	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. All, especially Paradise Fields, Bunkers park area, Northridge Park, Keens Field, Shrubhill Common and all the original green public space planned for the New Town of Hemel Hempstead.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. Bunkers Park, Longdeans nature reserve, Pendley Manor, Dunsley Orchard, Tring Park.	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. Bunkers Country Park (if it is not already).	Mr Mark Berman	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. Bunkers Park should be given maximum protection within this policy.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. Bunkers Park should be offered maximum protection within the policy.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. Cell Park in Markyate.	Mrs Jennifer Bissmire	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. Paradise Field Keens Field Tring Park Bunkers Park Northridge Park	Mr Phil Pennington	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes. The Water Gardens, Water House Street, designed by Gelicoe a very forward design allowing wildlife to co-exist with human activity in a town centre and the walled garden in Gadebridge Park.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
73	Question 73: Parks and gardens the Council should consider for inclusion with the policy	Yes: Gaddesden Hoo, Bridens Camp Shantock Hall, Bovingdon Woodcock Hill, Berkhamsted Lockers Park, Hemel Hempstead Water Gardens, Hemel hempstead	Pat Livesey	
74				
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	All of it should be safeguarded.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	All of it.	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	All should be safeguarded	Mr Guy Patterson	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Apsley Paper Trail	Mr - Stillwell	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Distinctive canalside structures are an integral part of the character of the canal itself, and provide important context.	Katharine Fletcher	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Identify sites under pressure	Mr Roger Hall	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs K.F. Banks	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Roy Ilott	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Dennis McQuillan	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs P M Seddon	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Mervyn Sellick	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	MR * FIELDING	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Woodham	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr David Bates	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Jeremy Child	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Michael Tate	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr * McClelland	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Abby Fermont	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Colin Neal	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr C A Goman	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Graham Christie	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Robert Bell	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Wigmore	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs JP Millis	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr N Aitchison	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Paul Harris	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr J Biggs	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Joyce Gray	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr Alan Fantham	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No.	Mrs B. McCracken	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No.	Mrs Margaret Ilott	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	No.		Unknown Unknown
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Nothing specific, but clearly the character of the canalside and its industrial heritage need to be protected.	Mr david richard norris	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Protect the canal and green belt	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Q74 Yes Reach Out projects near Red Lion Lane should be protected.	Mrs Katherine Berman	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Retain characteristic canalside features wherever possible. Keep Nash Mills area suitable for recreational canoeing. (fast water could be affected by Sappi site developments).	Mr norman jones	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	The stretch of the Grand Union/River Gade between Kings Langley and Apsley (adjacent to the Rectory Farm land) is historically and environmentally significant in that it is the only stretch of the canal which follows the original line of the Gade river. The western side represents an unpoilt original river bank leading on to a natural unbuilt flood plain. This is unique. The adjacent fields and nearby ponds have also attracted significant wildlife in recent years not least birds of prey and bats.	Mr Marc Boucherat	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	The towpath and any green space alongside it.	Mr Colin Westwood	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	The whole canal side needs careful protection.	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	The whole of the towpath areas.	Mrs Barbara Chapman	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Tring TC would like to see the following sites safeguarded: 1. Pumping Station at Little Tring 2. Fields along the length of the Wendover Arm (including a marina). It would also like to see safeguarded the Bulbourne Works, which are across the border in Bucks.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr A Bolino	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Yvonne Simpkin	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Joy Buckle	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr John Taylor	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Frederick Glasscoe	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs K.A. Birch	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Dr Roy King	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Espley	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Peter Such	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Espley	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Terence Buckle	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr John Barson	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Mr * Playle	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes	Cllr Lucy Foster	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes - Bridgewater boatyard, Berkhamsted	Cllr M A Clark	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes - Bulbourne particularly the working forge	Mrs Charlton	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes - Frogmore Mill	Mr Peter Balding	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes - the Grand Union Canal is a major attraction for Hemel Hempstead and surrounding countryside and therefore should be safeguarded at all costs.	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes - The lock keepers cottages The Fishery Inn	Mrs - Cox	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes - from the box girder bridge near Kings Langley, illustrated in Bourne's famous London to Birmingham railway, - through Nash Mills including the Sappi Nash Mills site and the canal inlet there, where barges used to unload and there is canal side warehousing: this of course is an important site with the sluices to control the water level of the canal and river Gade at this section - then along to where it meets the new canal basin at Apsley lock	Mr Mark Stephens	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes all of it	Mr Paul Fletcher	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, all	Mrs . Fletcher	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, all canal side areas should have a degree of protection	Mr P. S. Thring	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, all of it	Mrs P.A Fulton	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, Bridgewater Boatyard site, Berkhamsted - to be retained and developed as a community and heritage resource for the local area.	Mr Derek Gowling	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, Bulbourne, particularly the working forge	Mr Robin Charlton	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, Swing Bridge Bourne End	Mrs Christine Burton	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, the pubs	Mr Peter Webber	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, The whole length	Mr James Williams	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes, there should be no more encroachment on the canal, to include its basins, wharfs etc etc.	Mr Anthony William Fox	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes.	Mrs Janet Rook	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes.	Mr - Jewell	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. All existing sites.	Mr W H C Saxon	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. All green areas	Mrs * Sheldrake	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Boatyards	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Frogmore Mill, Bulbourne Works, any original canal buildings like old Lock Cottages, industrial past, Canal Fields Berkhamsted, the whole length of the canal important for leisure and wildlife. Protect the future of the Berkhamsted Sewerage Works and improve local discharge of water.	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Lock Keepers' cottages	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Make sure the tow paths are kept in good repair for walkers at all times	Mrs Stella Bayley	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. The Boatyard, Berkhamsted	Mr * Raggatt	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. The Grand Union Canal in its entirety should be protected	Miss Sara Constable	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. All bridges and acces to canal.	Mrs - Norwood	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. All of it.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. All of it.	Mr Lewis Terry	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. All of the canalside and facilities	Mr Colin Bayley	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. All should be safeguarded and used as a linear park for public enjoyment. It is an attractive historical amenity and should be left alone as is. The historical Bridgewater Boats dry dock should be safeguarded.	Mrs Anne Duvall	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Boxmoor.	Miss Sarah Young	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Lock Keepers Cottage near Sainsbury, Apsley.	Mrs Christine Kavanagh	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Paper Trail buildings	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Protect canal sites in the Green Belt.	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Reach out project facilities should be protected.	Mr Mark Berman	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Rossway Dog Training Centre, Bourne End.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. The whole canal, a unique historical transport system. It is already overdeveloped in many places, particularly approaching London.	Miss Alison Cockerill	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. The whole of this legacy is vitally important for the quality of life in this area.	Mr Edward Kirby	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. They should all be retained.	Mr Graeme Berry	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Winkwell, Two Waters and Apsley, Berkhamsted Station stretch, Tring Station cutting, Boxmoor stretch.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. Yes - all of it!	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
74	Question 74: Sites to be considered for safeguarding along the Grand Union Canal	Yes. The whole length.	Mr Phil Pennington	
75				
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr A Bolino	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr * McClelland	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mrs - Cox	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr Espley	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mrs P M Proctor	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr Keith Burgess	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr Derek Proctor	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mrs Tracey Proctor	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr Ahmed Uddin	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Clr Lucy Foster	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No - leave Bukers open space alone	Mr Paul Fletcher	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No, do not touch Bunkers Park	Mrs . Fletcher	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No, leave urban design alone, save money	Mrs P.A Fulton	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No.	Mr W H C Saxon	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No. Do not agree with H/h54. Leave this area alone.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No. Don't agree with H/h54. Leave this area alone.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	No. Not necessary.	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Tring Town Council supports the ethos of a quality built environment. It supports a strategy for each settlement. However, any proposed zoning within Tring should be subject to meaningful consultation with the Town Council and other interested local organisations and people.	Mrs Susan Johnson	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Where sites come forward for development, opportunities should be taken for enhancement. PPS1 advises that much higher design standards should be applied than have been accepted in the recent past. The Council is already addressing some of these issues in the centre of Hemel Hempstead. The area of retail sheds in the A41 corridor could also provide a focus for reestablishing urban quality. The innovative regeneration of Apsley Paper Mill may, in some sense, provide a catalyst for raising the bar in this area.	Katharine Fletcher	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Colin Westwood	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr david richard norris	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Colin White	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Mark Stephens	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	yes	Prof Paul Cross	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Michael Tate	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Sean Young	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Peter Balding	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Paul Young	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs K.F. Banks	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs * Sheldrake	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Tracey Young	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Christine Burton	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr B.J. Harris	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Miss Sara Constable	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Colin Bayley	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Lisa Seggery	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Stella Bayley	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr David Nobbs	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Carlton Humphries	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Grahame Jeyes	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs P M Seddon	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Mervyn Sellick	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Colin Campbell	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Maureen Driver	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr V. Brooks	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Tim Amsden	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Woodham	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr P L Ammann	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Joy Buckle	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr David Bates	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Sunit Sharma	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Barry Peach	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Peter Butterick	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Anna Marie Grimdsdale	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Allan Sellers	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr John Forecast	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Zena Bullmore	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr & Mrs Tasker	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Ms Claire Covington	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Martin Chapman	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Peter Hobson	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Simon Roberts	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Jennifer Habib	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Dr Roy King	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr James Williams	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Richard Basterfield	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Miss Sarah Young	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Lesley Lee	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Miss Alison Cockerill	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Colin Neal	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Anthony William Fox	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Graeme Berry	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Espley	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr C A Goman	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Stuart Turner	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Sheila Daley	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Doreen Wall	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Alan and Hazel Johnson	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Simon Dewar	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Terence Buckle	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Robert Bell	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Wigmore	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Peter Lawrence	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Raymond McLaughlin	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs JP Millis	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr N Aitchison	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Anna Barnard	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr P.S. Thring	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Anthony John and Valerie Wortley	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Paul Skipp	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr David Browning	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Susan -	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs - Russell	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Kaz Bonney	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs - Barradone	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Paul Harris	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Phil Pennington	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Roseamry Arrowsmith	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr J W Neale	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Keith Emerton	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs S Gouch	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr * Playle	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Joyce Gray	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Margarate Kelly	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Douglas Doolan	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Miss Brenda Mariner	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mrs Ann Poole	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr * Raggatt	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Cllr M A Clark	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Edward Kirby	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes	Mr Alan Fantham	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes		Mr R. M Sellwood
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes, but there should be no further urbanisation in and surrounding Kings Langley. There has been recent development on the Ovaltine site, future development at Huntonbridge and possible development at Nash Mills and Shendish. These would totally alter the character of the area and result in a merged suburban sprawl in a valley which has unique historical, archeological and historical significance.	Mr Marc Boucherat	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.	Mr norman jones	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.	Mrs B. McCracken	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.	Mrs Ann Johnson	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.	Mrs Lauren McQuillan	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.	Mrs Ena Hartland	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.	Mr J Biggs	
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes.		Unknown Unknown
75	Question 75: Defining urban design areas with related policies in towns and large villages	Yes. Identifying housing sites with potentially 10 or more units Some districts are of the view that many small sites, sometimes of less than 10 units, can make a considerable contribution to the dwelling provision within the District. It may be more appropriate to look at a threshold of five units.	Mr Russell Monck	
76				
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Design principles should also extend to cover the whole of the Borough as development of a high standard should also be expected in rural areas.	Mr Colin White	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Keep Kings Langley a village to avoid coalescence	Mrs P.A Fulton	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Make Bunkers Park Green Belt	Mrs . Fletcher	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr david richard norris	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Balding	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Colin Bayley	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Stella Bayley	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr W H C Saxon	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs M.A Macpherson	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Colin Campbell	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Maureen Driver	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Woodham	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr P L Ammann	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr David Bates	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Sunit Sharma	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Ann Wigmore	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Barry Peach	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr K Pilling	Mr N Aitchison
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Pauline Brinkman	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Butterick	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Anna Marie Grimsdale	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr John Forecast	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Raymond Hawkins	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs - Cox	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Kathryn Fortnam	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Martin Chapman	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Marc Boucherat	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	NO	Mrs Jennifer Habib	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr James Williams	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Espley	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Lesley Lee	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Such	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Miss Alison Cockerill	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Anthony William Fox	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Graeme Berry	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Stuart Turner	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Sheila Daley	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	no	Mr Simon Dewar	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Ms Jenny Thorburn	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Peter Lawrence	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs JP Millis	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr J Poole	Mr N Aitchison
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr N Aitchison	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Alan Proctor	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Paul Skipp	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr David Browning	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr and Mrs Tominey	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Susan -	

Site Allocations Issues and Options consultation representations - November 2006

Question	DOCUMENT ELEMENT TITLE	REPRESENTATION SUMMARY	RESPONDENT NAME	AGENT NAME
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs - Russell	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Dennis Fletcher	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Paul Harris	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Phil Pennington	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Sylvia Davidson	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Stephen Brinkman	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Keith Emerton	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr * Playle	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr Douglas Doolan	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Miss Brenda Mariner	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mrs Ann Poole	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No	Mr & Mrs JR Smith	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No		Mr R. M Sellwood
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No.		Unknown
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No.	Mrs Joan Saxon	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	No. Keep boundaries as they are	Miss Sara Constable	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Yes - make Bunkers Lane open space as Green Belt for no future development.	Mr Paul Fletcher	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Yes.	Mr C.R. McGuire	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Yes.	Mr Mark Stephens	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Yes. Bunkers Country Park (if it is not already).	Mr Mark Berman	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Yes. Leave Bunkers Park as Green Belt or open space for no development.	Mrs Carol Fletcher	
76	Question 76: Changes to the urban design boundaries the Council should consider	Yes. Leave Bunkers park as Green Belt or open space for no development.	Mr Laurence Fletcher	