

APPENDIX 1

Copy of the Questionnaire





SITE ALLOCATIONS ISSUES AND OPTIONS PAPER

November 2006

QUESTIONNAIRE

THESE QUESTIONS CAN ALSO BE ANSWERED ON THE DEVELOPMENT PLANS PAGE OF OUR WEBSITE AT www.dacorum.gov.uk .

Agent Details (if applicable)

Title	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Name	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Job Title	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Post Code	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Email address	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

This questionnaire should be read in conjunction with the Site Allocations Issues and Options Paper, or its summary.

Please return your questionnaire to:-

By post

Development Plans
 Planning & Regeneration
 Dacorum Borough Council
 Civic Centre
 Marlowes
 Hemel Hempstead
 Hertfordshire
 HP1 1HH

By fax 01442 228771

By email Development.plans@dacorum.gov.uk

Chapter 1: SETTLEMENT STRATEGY

Selected Small Villages in the Green Belt

Q 1 Is any change required to the existing boundary of the following selected small villages within the Green Belt to enable them to meet local development needs?

Please tick appropriate box(es)

a) Chipperfield

b) Potten End

c) Wigginton

(Please indicate what any change should be)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Major Developed Sites in the Green Belt

Q 2 Which of the following two options do you support for the Major Developed Site at Bourne End Mills?

(Please tick appropriate box)

Option 1 retain current boundaries

Option 2 extend the infill boundary to enable additional future development within the site

Q 3 Which of the following three options do you support for the Major Developed Site at Bovingdon Prison?

(Please tick appropriate box(es))

Option 1 retain current boundaries

Option 2 extend the infill boundary to enable additional future development within the site

Option 3 extend the external boundary to extend the overall size of the site

Q 4 Are there any other sites you wish the Council to consider for designation as Major Developed Sites in the Green Belt?

No

Yes

(If yes please provide details)

.....

.....

.....

The Extent of the Green Belt and Rural Area

Q 5 Which of the following options do you support with regard to compensatory Green Belt designations?

(Please tick appropriate box)

Option 1 make no changes to the existing Green Belt boundary

Option 2 redesignate an area of land north of Lovetts End from Rural Area to Green Belt

Selected Small Villages in the Rural Area

Q 6 Is any change required to the existing boundary of the following selected small villages in the Rural Area?

(Please tick appropriate box(es))

a) Aldbury

b) Long Marston

c) Wilstone

(If yes please provide details)

.....

.....

.....

Towns and Large Villages

Q 7 Are any changes required to the existing boundaries of the Borough's towns or large villages for the sole reason of creating more easily identifiable boundaries on the ground?

(Please tick appropriate box(es))

- a) Hemel Hempstead
- b) Berkhamsted
- c) Tring
- d) Kings Langley
- e) Bovington
- f) Markyate

(If yes please provide details)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Chapter 2: HOUSING

Selecting Housing Sites for the Site Schedules and Unimplemented Local Plan Housing Proposal Sites

Q 8 Do you agree that we should carry all of the existing unimplemented housing proposal sites forward?

No

(Please provide details)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Yes

Urban Capacity Sites

Q 9 Do you think that there should be any exclusions to sites carried forward in the Urban Capacity Study?

No

Yes

(If yes, please provide details)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Q10 Do you agree that we should only specifically identify new housing sites which have the potential to accommodate 10 or more units?

Yes

No

New Sites

Q 11 Are there any particular new sites put forward for consideration that you support?

Yes

(Please list)

.....

.....

.....

.....

No

Q 12 Do you agree with the suggested approach to prioritising new sites?

No

(Please explain why)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Yes

Greenfield Sites and Other Sites

Q 13 Are there any other sites the Council should consider?

Yes

(Please provide a minimum of a site plan and a description for each proposal)

.....
.....
.....
.....

No

Gypsy and Traveller Sites

Q 14 Do you agree that new provision for gypsy and traveller sites should be located:

	YES	NO
a) With good access to local services and facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) In order to avoid local concentrations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) On previously developed land in preference to greenfield sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(If you answered No to any factor please give your reasons.)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Q 15 Do you consider locating gypsy and traveller sites near any of the following settlements would be unsuitable?

(Please tick appropriate box(es))

- a) Hemel Hempstead
- b) Berkhamsted
- c) Tring
- d) Bovingdon
- e) Kings Langley
- f) Markyate

(Please state your reasons)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Q 16 If Hemel Hempstead is proposed for an area of growth in the East of England Plan, should we consider options for gypsy and traveller sites in the new development area(s)?

Yes

No

Q 17 Are there particular sites or locations you consider suitable for gypsy and traveller sites?

Yes

No

(If Yes, please state where they are)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Chapter 3: EMPLOYMENT

Employment Area Boundaries

Q 18 Should any changes be made to the detailed boundaries of the existing General Employment Areas?

Yes No

(Please provide details)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Types of Employment Designations

Q 19 Which of the following options do you support for the Nash Mills General Employment Area?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** retain existing General Employment Area designation over the whole site
- Option 2** redesignate for residential use
- Option 3** redesignate for a mix of employment and residential uses

Q 20 Which of the following options do you support for the Bourne End Mills site?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** site retained for current employment use
- Option 2** site redeveloped for residential use
- Option 3** a mix of the above

Q 21 Which of the following options do you support for the Paper Trail site?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** retain current Local Plan designation for the Paper Trail
- Option 2** allow redevelopment of part of site for residential purposes
- Option 3** allow redevelopment of part of site for non-residential / employment purposes

Unimplemented Employment Proposals

Q 22 Which of the following options do you support for the undeveloped employment land at Miswell Lane, Tring?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** site retained for employment use
- Option 2** site redesignated for residential use
- Option 3** site redesignated for residential use with a new reserve of land allocated to enable the expansion of the GEA westwards.

Other Potential Employment Sites

Q 23 Are there any other areas of land that you would like us to consider designating for employment uses?

Yes

(Please specify)

.....
.....
.....

No

Conversion of Employment Land to Other Uses

Q 24 Should any changes be made to the boundaries of the following sites?

- Gossoms End (West), Berkhamsted
- Gossoms End (East) / Stag Lane (East), Berkhamsted
- Ebbens Road, Apsley, Hemel Hempstead
- Western Road, Tring
- London Road, Markyate

Yes

(Please specify which)

.....
.....
.....

No

Potential Locations for Live/Work Uses

Q 25 Where you do consider Live/Work units could be successfully accommodated?

Maylands business area

Other locations *(Please specify)*

.....
.....
.....

Chapter 4: RETAILING

Town and Local Centre Boundaries

Q 26 Are there any changes to the detailed boundaries of the existing town and local centres that the Council should consider?

No

Yes

(If yes, please state the frontage and the change(s) you would to see)

The Extent of the Primary Shopping Area

Q 27 Do you agree with the Council's approach to defining the primary shopping area in the town centres?

Yes

No

(If no, please outline your alternative)

Town Centre Shopping Frontages

Q 28 Are there any changes to the type and spread of shopping frontages in the town centres of Hemel Hempstead, Berkhamsted and Tring that the Council should consider?

No

Yes

(If yes, please state the frontage and the change(s) you would like to see)

Q 29 Which of the following options do you support for the Riverside development?

(Please tick appropriate box)

Option 1

designate all the parades as main shopping frontages.

Option 2

designate all the parades as mixed shopping frontages.

Option 3

designate a mix of main and mixed frontages.

Local Centre Shopping Frontages

Q 30 Are there any changes to the extent of the defined shopping areas of local centres you would like the Council to consider?

No

Yes

(If yes, please state the centre and the change you would like to see)

.....

.....

.....

.....

The Future of Current Shopping Proposal Sites

Q 31 Do you agree with the Feasibility Study's conclusion regarding how Proposal Site S1 should be brought forward?

Yes

No

Q 32 Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach to Proposal Sites TWA9 and TWA10?

Yes

No

New Shopping Location in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre

Q 33 Do you agree that the Council should allocate land bounded by Bridge Street, Leighton Buzzard Road (south of Bridge Street) and Marlowes (Riverside end) for future shopping floorspace?

Yes

No

New Shopping Location in Tring Town Centre

Q 34 Do you think that the Council should allocate land in the Cattle Market site and Forge Car Park for a new supermarket in Tring?

Yes

No

Main Out of Centre Retailing

Q 35 Should any changes be made to the detailed boundaries of the main out of centre retail locations to encourage their expansion?

No

Yes

(If yes, please provide details)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Chapter 5: TRANSPORT AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Road Proposal Schemes

Q 36 Do you think the following proposals should be retained?

(Please tick as appropriate)

Proposal	YES	NO
Ti – new single carriageway A4146 Water End Bypass		
Tiii – Tunnel Fields, link to New Road, Northchurch. Berkhamsted and associated work to junction of New Road / A4251		

(Please give reasons)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Q 37 Should new road schemes be included for:

(Please tick appropriate boxes)

	Yes	No
(a) increased capacity on the A4251?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(b) increased capacity at the Plough Roundabout?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(c) A41 Chesham Road junction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Parking

Q 38 Should additional car parking provision be made in Hemel Hempstead Town Centre?

Yes

No

Q 39 Should additional car parking provision be made in Berkhamsted Town Centre?

Yes

No

Q 40 Is any additional site needed for overnight lorry parking?

Yes

No

(If yes, please say where should it be located)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Accessibility

Q 41 Should a Park and Ride scheme be promoted on the eastern side of Hemel Hempstead, particularly to serve the Maylands Business Area?

Yes

No

Q 42 Should Tring Station Car Park be extended?

Yes

No

Q 43 Should the line of strategic cycle routes be identified in the Site Allocations document?

Yes

No

Q 44 Do you agree with the approach to carry forward the existing proposals?

Yes

No

(If no, please outline what you would like us to do differently)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Chapter 6: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

The Future of Current Social and Community Facilities Proposal Sites

Q 45 Which of the following options do you support for Proposal Site C3 (land at St Agnells Lane, Hemel Hempstead)?

(Please tick appropriate box)

Option 1 retain proposal site for a general social and community use.

Option 2 delete proposal site and reinstate the Open Land designation.

Q 46 Which of the following options do you support for Proposal Site H12 (land at Wheatfield, Hemel Hempstead)?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** retain site for 100% affordable housing
- Option 2** reallocate for social and community use
- Option 3** allow for open market housing

Q 47 Do you agree that Proposal Site C2 (land at Cambrian Way, Hemel Hempstead) should be retained for a general social and community use?

Yes No

Q 48 Which of the following options do you support for Proposal Site TWA20?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** delete the existing Local Plan proposal; or
- Option 2** retain for other community or leisure needs arising from the enlargement of the Manor Estate, or
- Option 3** some other special need (e.g. religious meeting place to serve a wider area.

West Herts Hospital

Q 49 Which of the following options do you support for the undeveloped social and community proposal site (C5) surrounding the hospital?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** retain the existing Local Plan proposal
- Option 2** reallocate the land for residential development
- Option 3** designate the site for a mix of social/community and residential uses
- Option 4** keep the land in open uses

Q 50 If West Herts NHS Trust decides that some of the Hospital land is surplus to requirement what use would you support?

(Please tick appropriate box(es))

Residential

- Alternative Social and Community uses**
- Mix of Social and Community and Residential**
- Other (please specify)**

Other Potential Social and Community Facility Proposal Sites

Q 51 Are there particular areas of land that you would like us to consider designating for social or community uses?

No

Yes

(Please specify what and where)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Q 52 Which of the following options do you support for the Gas Board Site, London Road, Hemel Hempstead?

- a) Residential development**
- b) Mix of residential and community use**

Release of Existing Land in Social and Community Use

Q 53 Which of the following options do you support for the possible redevelopment of Martindale?

(Please tick appropriate box(es))

- Option 1** retain and designate for social and community reuse, including the provision of a Children's Centre.
- Option 2** designate the site for residential reuse, or
- Option 3** designate for a mixed residential and social and community/leisure use, including the provision of a Children's Centre.

Q 54 Do you consider the existing playing fields should remain in open use at the Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites?

Yes

No

Q 55 Which of the following options do you support for the possible redevelopment of the Pixies Hill, Barncroft and Jupiter Drive sites:

- Option 1** use the site for residential purposes,
- Option 2** use the site for social and community purposes,
- Option 2** use for a mix of residential and social and community purposes

i) Pixies Hill (*Please specify*)

.....

.....

.....

ii) Barncroft (*Please specify*)

.....

.....

.....

iii) Jupiter Drive (*Please specify*)

.....

.....

.....

Chapter 7. LEISURE AND RECREATION

Open Land Boundaries

Q 56 Should any changes be made to the existing designated open land to make their boundaries more clearly defined?

Yes

(Please specify)

.....

.....

.....

No

Q 57 Do you agree that proposals for built sport facilities on open land should continue to be assessed on a site by site basis?

Yes

No

New Potential Open Land Sites

Q 58 Are there any additional areas of land that you would like us to consider designating as Open Land?

Yes

(Please specify)

.....
.....
.....
.....

No

Q 59 Are there any sites you wish the Council to consider for specific designation for a Leisure proposal?

Yes

(Please specify where and the type of use(s) proposed)

.....
.....
.....
.....

No

New Potential Leisure Sites

Q 60 Are there any sites within Berkhamsted that you would like the Council to consider for community provision?

Yes

(Please specify where)

.....
.....
.....

No

Q 61 Are there any new areas of land that you would like us to consider designating for indoor leisure facilities?

Yes

(If yes please specify type of use(s) proposed)

.....
.....
.....

No

Hemel Hempstead Town Stadium

Q 62 If a town stadium is proposed for Hemel Hempstead, which of the following locations would you prefer?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- a) Within Hemel Hempstead settlement
- b) Within the Green Belt surrounding Hemel Hempstead
- c) Former Lucas Sports Field

Please state your reasons:

.....
.....
.....

Q 63 Which of the following options do you support for the Hemel Hempstead Football Club site?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** Retain the existing Open Land designation

- Option 2** Reallocate the land for housing
- Option 3** Designate the site for social/community uses
- Option 4** Develop site for alternative leisure use
- Option 5** A mix of the above

Q 64 Which of the following options do you support for the Leverstock Green Football Club site?

(Please tick appropriate box)

- Option 1** allocate specific housing proposal site
- Option 2** designate for social/community uses
- Option 3** develop site for alternative leisure use(s)
- Option 4** a mix of the above

Bunkers Park Caravan Site

Q 65 Should the new Bunkers Park Caravan site be covered by a leisure designation to safeguard it from alternative development?

- Yes
- No

Chapter 8. LANDSCAPE, BIODIVERSITY AND HISTORIC HERITAGE

Landscape

Q 66 Do you agree with the Council's suggested approach for Landscape Character Assessment Areas?

- Yes
- No

(If no, please give your reasons)

.....

.....

.....

.....

Q 67 Are there any parts of the Borough that you wish the Council to consider for any local landscape conservation designation?

No

Yes

(If yes, please provide details of the area and summarise why the designation would be needed)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Q 68 Are there any other sites that you would wish the Council to consider as a Regionally Important Geological or Geomorphological Site?

No

Yes

(If yes, please provide details of area and summarise why the designation would be needed)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Biodiversity

Q 69 Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach to Wildlife Sites (by identifying them on the Proposals Map)?

Yes

No

(If No, please summarise your reasons)

.....
.....
.....
.....

Q 70 Are there any other areas of Ancient Woodland you wish the Council to consider protecting?

No

Yes

(If yes, please provide details)

.....

.....

.....

Historic Heritage Designations

Q 71 Are there any other sites that you would wish the Council to put forward for consideration as Areas of Archaeological Significance?

No

Yes

(If yes, please provide details of the area and summarise why the designation would be needed)

.....

.....

.....

Q 72 Which of the following parks and gardens should not be identified on the Proposals Map for their importance to the landscape and local history?

(Please tick appropriate box(es))

- Beechwood House, near Markyate
- Chipperfield Manor
- Cheverells, Markyate
- Rossway, south of Berkhamsted
- Gaddesden Place
- Abbots Hill, Kings Langley
- Westbrook Hay, near Hemel Hempstead
- Shendish Manor, near Hemel Hempstead
- Gadebridge Park
- Champneys, near Wigginton

Amersfort, Potten End

The Golden Parsonage, Bridens Camp near Hemel Hempstead

Haresfoot, south of Berkhamsted

Q 73 Are there any other parks and gardens of similar importance which you would like the Council to consider for inclusion within the policy?

No

Yes

(If yes, please provide details of the area and summarise why the designation would be needed)

.....
.....
.....
.....

ISSUE 4 - The Grand Union Canal

Q74 Are there any specific sites or facilities along the Grand Union Canal that you wish us to consider safeguarding?

Yes

No

Chapter 9. DESIGN

Q 75 Do you agree we should define urban design areas (with related policies) in the towns and large villages as recommended in the Urban Design Assessment?

Yes

No

Q 76 If Yes to Question 73, are there any changes you would like the Council to consider to the boundaries of the urban design areas that are recommended in the Urban Design Assessment?

Yes

No

(Please indicate where you consider any changes should be)

.....
.....
.....
.....

This publication is about **Site Allocations, Development Plan Document, Issues and Options Paper**. If you would like this information, or you would like to contact the Council in any language not listed above, please call 01442 867213.

If you would like this information in another format, such as large print or audio tape, please call 01442 228660 or for Minicom only 01442 867877.

這刊物向你諮詢意見，有關本市特定或指定的地點及一般地區的未來土地使用。如果你需要以你的母語寫成的資料，或有任何問題需要聯絡政府，請致電 01442 867212 查詢。

如果你需要這資料的其他形式，例如大字版或錄音帶，請致電 01442 228660 或 01442 867877 (聾人電話)。

આ પ્રકાશન, બરોમાં, નિશ્ચિત અથવા કાર્ય માટે નકકી કરાયેલ જગ્યાઓ અને સામાન્ય વિસ્તારો / સ્થળોનાં ભવિષ્યના વપરાશ માટે તમારો અભિપ્રાય જાણવા માગે છે. જો તમને તમારી ભાષામાં આ માહિતી મેળવવી હોય અથવા બીજીકોઈ બાબત માટે કોઈનિસલનો સંપર્ક સાધવો હોય તો, મહેરબાની કરીને ટેલિફોન નંબર 01442 867212 ઉપર ફોન કરો.

જો તમને આ માહિતી જુદી રીતે મેળવવી હોય તો દા. ત. મોટા અક્ષરો અથવા ઓડીયો ટેપ, તો મહેરબાની કરીને 01442 228660 અથવા માત્ર મીની કોમ 01442 867877 ઉપર ફોન કરો.